

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

# Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

Binary Methods with Functional Decomposition

# *Binary operations*

	<code>eval</code>	<code>toString</code>	<code>hasZero</code>	...
<code>Int</code>				
<code>Add</code>				
<code>Negate</code>				
...				

- Situation is more complicated if an operation is defined over multiple arguments that can have different variants
  - Can arise in original program or after extension
- Function decomposition deals with this much more simply...

# Example

To show the issue:

- Include variants **String** and **Rational**
- (Re)define **Add** to work on any pair of **Int**, **String**, **Rational**
  - Concatenation if either argument a **String**, else math

Now just defining the addition operation is a *different* 2D grid:

	<b>Int</b>	<b>String</b>	<b>Rational</b>
<b>Int</b>			
<b>String</b>			
<b>Rational</b>			

# ML Approach

Addition is different for most `Int`, `String`, `Rational` combinations

- Run-time error for non-value expressions

Natural approach: pattern-match on the pair of values

- For *commutative* possibilities, can re-call with `(v2, v1)`

```
fun add_values (v1,v2) =  
  case (v1,v2) of  
    (Int i, Int j) => Int (i+j)  
  | (Int i, String s) => String (Int.toString i ^ s)  
  | (Int i, Rational(j,k)) => Rational (i*k+j,k)  
  | (Rational _, Int _) => add_values (v2,v1)  
  | ... (* 5 more cases (3*3 total): see the code *)  
  
fun eval e =  
  case e of  
    ...  
  | Add(e1,e2) => add_values (eval e1, eval e2)
```