```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Optional: Racket Macros with define-syntax

Example Racket macro definitions

Two simple macros

If the form of the use matches, do the corresponding expansion

- In these examples, list of possible use forms has length 1
- Else syntax error

Revisiting delay and force

Recall our definition of promises from earlier

Should we use a macro instead to avoid clients' explicit thunk?

```
(define (my-delay th)
  (mcons #f th))
(define (my-force p)
  (if (mcar p)
      (mcdr p)
      (begin (set-mcar! p #t)
              (set-mcdr! p ((mcdr p)))
              (mcdr p))))
(f (my-delay (lambda () e)))
(define (f p)
  (... (my-force p) ...))
```

A delay macro

- A macro can put an expression under a thunk
 - Delays evaluation without explicit thunk
 - Cannot implement this with a function
- Now client should not use a thunk (that would double-thunk)
 - Racket's pre-defined delay is a similar macro

```
(define-syntax my-delay
  (syntax-rules ()
    [(my-delay e)
        (mcons #f (lambda() e))]))
```

```
(f (my-delay e))
```

What about a force macro?

We could define my-force with a macro too

- Good macro style would be to evaluate the argument exactly once (use x below, not multiple evaluations of e)
- Which shows it is bad style to use a macro at all here!
- Do not use macros when functions do what you want