

# MOLECULAR GAS IN GALAXIES

*J. S. Young*

Department of Physics and Astronomy and Five College Radio  
Astronomy Observatory, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,  
Massachusetts 01003

*N. Z. Scoville*

Astronomy Department, California Institute of Technology 105-24,  
Pasadena, California 91125

KEY WORDS: star formation, Hubble sequence, galaxy evolution, interstellar  
medium

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Molecular gas is critical in determining both the morphology and evolution of galactic disks. It is within the giant molecular clouds that interstellar gas is cycled into the next generation of stars, and the most massive of these young stars produce a major part of the galactic luminosity. In addition, the dense interstellar medium, as it is highly dissipative, probably plays a fundamental part in determining the outcome of galactic interactions. Over the last two decades, CO observations have been used to probe the molecular component of hundreds of galaxies from the Local Group to the Virgo cluster, and in luminous galaxies with recession velocities up to  $cz = 45,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

The studies of molecules in galaxies include both detailed analyses of nearby galaxies and comparisons of the global properties of selected samples of galaxies. These two approaches are complementary, and both are necessary to improve our understanding of the large-scale processes that govern star formation and molecular cloud evolution. The CO observations are now sufficient to address statistically the global  $\text{H}_2$  content of galaxies in relation to other components of the interstellar medium (ISM) and the stellar populations, as a function of morphological type, lumi-

nosity, and environment. Specifically:

1. What is the range of  $H_2$  masses in galaxies, and is there a dependence on galaxy type, luminosity, or environment?
2. What is the ratio  $L_*/M_{\text{gas}}$ , or the yield of young stars per unit mass of gas (i.e. the star formation efficiency), within individual galaxies and from galaxy to galaxy?
3. What is the range of  $M(H_2)/M(H\text{ I})$  in galaxies, and is there a dependence on galaxy type, luminosity, or environment?
4. What are the effects of spiral arms on the multiphase interstellar gas and the formation of stars?
5. What is the role of the molecular gas component in galactic interactions, starburst galaxies, and active galaxy nuclei?

The answers to these questions depend on multiwavelength analyses of galaxies. CO observations are used to deduce the masses and distributions of molecular hydrogen in galaxies, which are then compared with other tracers (optical and infrared continuum and  $H\alpha$  and  $H\text{ I}$  line radiation). In Section 2, we first discuss CO as a tracer of  $H_2$  mass in spiral galaxies, including new evidence that global  $H_2$  masses for luminous spirals are generally accurate to  $\pm 50\%$  ( $1\sigma$ ), including both measurement uncertainties and variations galaxy to galaxy in the CO to  $H_2$  conversion constant (Devereux & Young 1990b). We then review the radial distributions of gas and star formation (Section 3), spiral structure (Section 4), molecular properties of galaxies in the Local Group (Section 5), global gas contents (Section 6), star formation rates and efficiencies (Section 7), effects of galactic interactions (Section 8), and nuclear gas concentrations (Section 9).

## 2. MOLECULAR MASS DETERMINATIONS

Since the  $H_2$  molecule has no permanent electric dipole moment and the lowest quadrupole rotational transitions lie in the infrared, indirect techniques must be utilized to estimate the  $H_2$  abundance in cool, dense clouds. A number of papers have discussed the use of CO as a tracer of the mass of molecular hydrogen (see, for example, Sanders et al 1984, Bloemen et al 1986, Dickman et al 1986, Young et al 1986a, Scoville & Sanders 1987, Maloney & Black 1988, Elmegreen 1989, Devereux & Young 1990b). In spite of the high optical depth of the 2.6 mm CO line, the emission is generally believed to trace the mass of giant molecular clouds (GMCs) in luminous galaxies. In this section, we briefly summarize the theoretical, empirical, and observational basis for the use of CO as a tracer of  $H_2$ .

## 2.1 Theoretical Basis

If  $I_{\text{CO}}$  is the CO brightness temperature integrated over the line profile ( $I_{\text{CO}} \equiv \int T_{\text{CO}} dV$ ), one can define the CO luminosity of a cloud as

$$L_{\text{CO}} = D^2 \int I_{\text{CO}} d\Omega \quad 1.$$

where  $D$  is the distance of the cloud. For a uniform, spherical cloud of radius  $R$ , the CO luminosity is given by

$$L_{\text{CO}} = \pi R^2 T_{\text{CO}} \Delta V \quad 2.$$

where  $T_{\text{CO}}$  is the peak brightness temperature in the CO line and  $\Delta V$  is the linewidth. Numerous studies of Galactic molecular clouds have shown that they are self-gravitating. For a cloud of mass  $M$  in virial equilibrium,  $\Delta V = \sqrt{GM/R}$  and

$$M_{\text{Cloud}} = L_{\text{CO}} \sqrt{\frac{4\rho}{3\pi G}} \frac{1}{T_{\text{CO}}}. \quad 3.$$

To the extent that the ratio  $\sqrt{\rho}/T_{\text{CO}}$  does not vary in the mean from galaxy to galaxy and the emission of separate clouds along each line of sight is not overlapped in velocity, Eq. (3) indicates that the total CO luminosity of a galaxy (summing over all individual clouds in the antenna beam) is directly proportional to the total mass of molecular clouds.

In Galactic GMCs, typical mean densities and CO brightness temperatures are  $100\text{--}300 \text{ H}_2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $5\text{--}10 \text{ K}$  (Scoville & Sanders 1987). In the nearby starburst galaxy M82, observations of CO  $J = 3 \rightarrow 2$ ,  $2 \rightarrow 1$ , and  $1 \rightarrow 0$  (Wild et al 1989, Turner et al 1990) indicate the presence of two cloud populations: a cool one ( $T \sim 10\text{--}20 \text{ K}$ ) with low density [ $n(\text{H}_2) < 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ], and a warm one ( $T \sim 75 \text{ K}$ ) with high density [ $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ]. In spite of the markedly different cloud properties in these two components, the difference in  $\sqrt{\rho}/T$  between these two populations and the clouds in the Milky Way is only a factor of  $\sim 1.5$ . Therefore, even in the extreme conditions encountered in M82, the assumption of a constant conversion factor between CO luminosity and  $\text{H}_2$  mass can lead to global molecular gas mass estimates that are reasonably accurate.

Maloney & Black (1988) and Elmegreen (1989) have considered the effects of metallicity variations on the  $\text{H}_2$  masses derived from the CO luminosities, and in particular the case of very low metallicity in irregular galaxies in which a reduction in the UV shielding may lead to smaller molecular cores.


## 2.2 Empirical Basis

**2.2.1 THE GALAXY** A number of observational attempts have been made to determine empirically the constant of proportionality relating  $H_2$  masses to CO luminosities (or equivalently gas column densities to the integrated CO brightness temperature) in Galactic GMCs. The four general techniques that have been applied include (a) correlation of CO emission in nearby clouds with the visual extinction ( $A_V$ ), (b) excitation analysis of  $^{13}CO$  and CO emission, (c) derivation of virial masses from the CO and  $^{13}CO$  cloud sizes and linewidths, and (d) comparison with  $\gamma$ -ray emission. The derived ratios of  $N(H_2)/I_{CO}$  range between  $1\text{--}5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} [\text{K km s}^{-1}]^{-1}$  (see Table 1 in Scoville & Sanders 1987).

In our opinion, the virial theorem analysis provides the most reliable conversion factor, since it is free of uncertainties in the molecular excitation and the cosmic ray density. Figure 1 shows the correlation between the virial masses of Milky Way molecular clouds and their CO luminosities (Scoville et al 1987, Solomon et al 1987). The virial masses were derived for clouds with known sizes and linewidths, and for clouds with mass between  $10^5$  and  $2 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ ,  $N(H_2)/I_{CO} \simeq 3.0 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ , consistent with the  $\gamma$ -ray analysis ( $2.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} [\text{K km s}^{-1}]^{-1}$ ) of Bloemen et al (1986). It is noteworthy that no significant large-scale variations in the conversion ratio are seen at radii 2–10 kpc in the inner Galaxy (Bloemen et al 1986, Scoville & Good 1989), and thus variations in metallicity and cloud properties cannot strongly affect the  $H_2$  mass derivations. Throughout this review, we consistently adopt the value of  $N(H_2)/I_{CO} = 3.0 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} [\text{K } (T_R^*) \text{ km s}^{-1}]^{-1}$ .

Most of the Galactic data used in the above studies are presented in units of  $T_R^*$  (Kutner & Ulich 1981), which does not correct for the coupling between the source and the telescope beam. Since giant molecular clouds have large angular sizes, the coupling efficiency,  $\eta_c$ , is close to unity, and  $T_R = T_R^* \eta_c^{-1} \approx T_R^*$ . For a galaxy filling only the primary diffraction beam, the coupling efficiency  $\eta_c$  is  $\sim 0.8$  on several of the telescopes used for extragalactic CO studies and the correction factors appropriate to this case are discussed by Sanders et al (1991). For more extended galaxies, a number of techniques have been used to compute the total CO emission (Stark et al 1986, Solomon & Sage 1988, Verter 1988, Kenney & Young 1988a) and one should be aware of the different assumptions when using these estimates.

**2.2.2 EXTERNAL GALAXIES** In some of the local group galaxies, several recent investigations have attempted to measure the sizes, linewidths, and CO luminosities of individual molecular clouds to check the applicability



*Figure 1* Comparison of CO luminosities and virial masses of molecular clouds in the Milky Way (Scoville et al 1987, Solomon et al 1987), M31 (Vogel et al 1987), M33 (Wilson & Scoville 1990), and in the low-metallicity galaxy IC 10 (Wilson & Reid 1990). In the Milky Way, the CO luminosity is closely correlated with the virial masses of the clouds, both with and without high mass star formation. This linear proportionality justifies the use of CO as a tracer of the mass of  $H_2$ ; the best fit to these data for clouds with masses between  $10^5$  and  $2 \times 10^6 M_\odot$  yields a constant of proportionality of  $3.0 \times 10^{20} H_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ . The similarity of the clouds in M31, M33, and IC 10 to the Milky Way justifies the use of the same  $\text{CO} \rightarrow H_2$  proportionality in the external galaxies.

of the Galactic CO to  $H_2$  conversion constant in other environments. In order to measure the sizes of individual clouds, it is crucial to have a spatial resolution better than 40 pc, the “typical” size of a GMC.

The spiral galaxies M31 and M33 have both been observed with aperture synthesis in CO at 7" (20 pc) resolution (Vogel et al 1987, Wilson & Scoville 1989, 1990). The CO luminosities and virial masses for the M31, M33, and IC 10 molecular clouds are included in Figure 1 along with the Galactic clouds. The extragalactic molecular clouds are apparently similar to those in the Milky Way, even though they represent regions of lower metallicity (by a factor of 4 relative to the sun; Pagel & Edmunds 1981, Becker 1990,

Wilson & Reid 1991) and are found in galaxies ranging from type Sb (M31) to Scd (M33) to irregular (IC 10).

Moderate resolution studies of the LMC and SMC [8.8' or 140 pc (Cohen et al 1988)] have also been made. Cohen et al (1988) suggest that the molecular clouds in the LMC have six times more mass per unit CO luminosity than molecular clouds in the Milky Way. It must be borne in mind, however, that their resolution of 140 pc is insufficient to resolve individual molecular clouds similar to Galactic GMCs, and the emission may arise from unbound associations of clouds that are not virialized. Higher angular resolution maps of clouds in the LMC and SMC are essential for establishing the virial masses of individual clouds and to test further the accuracy of H<sub>2</sub> mass determinations in environments with low metallicity.

In addition to ascertaining the absolute accuracy of molecular mass determinations derived using the Galactic CO to H<sub>2</sub> conversion constant, establishing the relative accuracy of H<sub>2</sub> mass determinations from galaxy to galaxy is also important. Devereux & Young (1980b) have compared the inner disk gas masses (molecular plus atomic) with the warm dust masses derived from *IRAS* 60  $\mu$ m and 100  $\mu$ m flux densities for 58 luminous spiral galaxies in which the distributions of atomic and molecular gas have been measured. The dispersion of the gas/dust ratio computed from these three independently derived quantities is  $\pm 0.19$  dex, a value that is consistent with 30% uncertainties in each of the gas masses and a 10% uncertainty in the warm dust masses. In principle, this 1 $\sigma$  uncertainty of  $\pm 30\%$  in global H<sub>2</sub> masses represents both measurement uncertainties and global variations from galaxy to galaxy in the CO  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub> conversion constant. Furthermore, Devereux & Young (1990b) point out that the similar scatter for the HI and H<sub>2</sub> dominated galaxies (see Figure 6b) indicates that global molecular gas masses in galaxies are apparently as accurately determined as the atomic gas masses for luminous spirals.

### 3. RADIAL DISTRIBUTIONS IN SPIRAL GALAXIES

The radial distributions of molecular gas have been measured in over 100 galaxies (see Table 1). In this section, we review *azimuthally averaged* CO radial distributions in galaxies as a function of morphological type. This discussion refers to observations at 45–60'' resolution, typically 1 to 4 kpc.

#### 3.1 *Unbarred Spiral Galaxies*

There are 40 Sc galaxies whose CO radial distributions have been measured along the major axis. Figure 2a shows the CO integrated intensity dis-

**Table 1** CO studies in individual galaxies

| Galaxy         | Type <sup>a</sup> | Telescope <sup>b</sup> | Resolution<br>(arcsec) | Reference                   |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| NGC 55         | Sc                | SEST                   | 44                     | Dettmar & Heithausen (1989) |
| NGC 224 (M31)  | SbI-II            | BTL                    | 100                    | Stark (1979)                |
|                |                   | OSO                    | 33                     | Boulanger et al (1984)      |
|                |                   | BTL                    | 100                    | Ryden and Stark (1986)      |
|                |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Ichikawa et al (1987)       |
|                |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Lada et al (1988)           |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Vogel et al (1987)          |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 23                     | Casoli & Combes (1988)      |
| NGC 253        | Sc(s)             | OSO                    | 33                     | Sandquist et al (1990)      |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard et al (1977)        |
|                |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Scoville et al (1985)       |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Canzian et al (1988)        |
| NGC 404        | S0                | IRAM                   | 14, 22                 | Wiklind & Henkel (1990)     |
| NGC 520        | Merger            | OVRO                   | 5                      | Sanders et al (1988a)       |
| NGC 598 (M33)  | Sc(s)II-III       | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982c)    |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Wilson & Scoville (1989)    |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 6                      | Wilson & Scoville (1990)    |
| NGC 891        | Sb on edge        | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Solomon (1982)              |
|                |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Sofue et al (1987)          |
|                |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young (1987)                |
| NGC 1068       | Sb(rs)II          | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Scoville et al (1983)       |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Myers & Scoville (1987)     |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 21                     | Planesas et al (1989)       |
|                |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Kaneko et al (1989)         |
| NGC 1097       | RSBbc(rs)I-II     | OVRO                   | 3                      | Planesas et al (1991)       |
| NGC 1275       | E pec             | IRAM                   | 21                     | Gerin et al (1988)          |
| NGC 1365       | SBb(s)I           | IRAM                   | 21                     | Lazareff et al (1989)       |
| NGC 1569       | SmIV              | OSO                    | 33                     | Sandquist et al (1988)      |
|                |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1984a)         |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Hunter et al (1989)         |
| NGC 2146       | SbII pec          | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)         |
|                |                   | BIMA                   | 7                      | Jackson & Ho (1988)         |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Young et al (1988b)         |
| NGC 2403       | Sc(s)III          | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982c)    |
| NGC 2623       | Merger            | IRAM                   | 12                     | Casoli et al (1988)         |
| NGC 2685       | Polar Ring        | NRO                    | 15                     | Taniguchi et al (1990)      |
| NGC 2841       | Sb                | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982b)    |
| NGC 3031 (M81) | Sb                | NRAO                   | 60                     | Combes & Gerin (1985)       |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 22                     | Brouillet et al (1990)      |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard et al (1977)        |
| NGC 3034 (M82) | Amorphous         | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1984)     |
|                |                   | OSO                    | 33                     | Olofsson & Rydbeck (1984)   |
|                |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Nakai et al (1987)          |
|                |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Lo et al (1987b)            |
|                |                   | BIMA                   | 7                      | Carlstrom (1988)            |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 13                     | Loiseau et al (1988)        |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 9, 12                  | Wild et al (1989)           |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 30                     | Philips & Mampaso (1989)    |
|                |                   | NRAO                   | 22                     | Turner et al (1990)         |
|                |                   | JCMT                   | 13                     | Tilanus et al (1991)        |
|                |                   | IRAM                   | 14, 22                 | Becker et al (1989)         |
| NGC 3077       | Amorphous         | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)         |
| NGC 3079       | Sc pec            | OVRO                   | 7                      | Young et al (1988a)         |
|                |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)         |
| NGC 3147       | Sb(s)I,8          | BIMA                   | 3                      | Meixner et al (1990)        |
| NGC 3227       | Sb                | CSO                    | 30                     | Sargent et al (1989)        |
| NGC 3256       | Irr               |                        |                        |                             |

Table 1 *continued.*

| Galaxy           | Type <sup>a</sup> | Telescope <sup>b</sup> | Resolution<br>(arcsec) | Reference                               |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| NGC 3351         | SBb               | OVRO, FCRAO            | 7, 45                  | Devereux et al (1991)                   |
| NGC 3593         | Sa pec            | NRAO                   | 60                     | Hunter et al (1989)                     |
| NGC 3623         | Sa(s)II           | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1983)                      |
| NGC 3267         | Sb(s)II.2         | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1983)                      |
| NGC 3628         | Sbc               | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1983)                      |
| NGC 3738         | SdIII             | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Tacconi & Young (1985)                  |
| NGC 4038/39      | pair              | OVRO                   | 6                      | Stanford et al (1990)                   |
| NGC 4214         | I                 | NRAO                   | 60                     | Thronson et al (1988)                   |
| NGC 4258         | Sb(s)II           | NRO                    | 15                     | Sofue et al (1989a)                     |
|                  |                   | IRAM                   | 22                     | Krause et al (1990)                     |
| NGC 4418         | S0/Sa             | NRO                    | 15                     | Kawara et al (1990)                     |
| NGC 4419         | SBab              | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Kenney et al (1990)                     |
| Virgo Cluster    | 52 Spirals        | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Kenney & Young (1986,<br>1988a,b, 1989) |
|                  | 47 Spirals        | BTL                    | 100                    | Stark et al (1986)                      |
|                  | 8 Spirals         | OVRO                   | 6                      | Canzian (1990)                          |
| NGC 4438         | Sb (tides)        | IRAM                   | 21                     | Combes et al (1988)                     |
| NGC 4449         | SmlV              | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Tacconi & Young (1985)                  |
|                  |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Thronson et al (1987)                   |
|                  |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Sasaki et al (1990)                     |
| NGC 4472         | E                 | IRAM                   | 21                     | Huchtmeier et al (1988)                 |
| NGC 4485/90      | Pair              | NRAO                   | 60                     | Thronson et al (1989a)                  |
| NGC 4565         | Sb                | BTL                    | 100                    | Richmond & Knapp (1986)                 |
| NGC 4631         | Sc                | NRO                    | 15                     | Sofue et al (1989b)                     |
| NGC 4736         | RSab(s)           | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Garman & Young (1986)                   |
| NGC 4945         | Irr               | SEST                   | 43                     | Whiteoak et al (1990)                   |
| NGC 5005         | Sb(s)II           | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)                     |
| NGC 5055         | Sbc(s)II-III      | OSO                    | 33                     | Johansson & Booth (1987)                |
| NGC 5128 (Cen A) | SO pec            | CSO                    | 30                     | Phillips et al (1987)                   |
|                  |                   | SEST                   | 40                     | Israel et al (1990)                     |
| NGC 5194         | Sbc(s)I-II        | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard & Palmer (1981)                 |
|                  |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Scoville & Young (1983)                 |
|                  |                   | OSO                    | 33                     | Rydbeck et al (1985)                    |
|                  |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Lo et al (1987a)                        |
|                  |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Vogel et al (1988)                      |
|                  |                   | IRAM                   | 12                     | Guelin et al (1988)                     |
|                  |                   | IRAM                   | 12                     | Garcia-Byrillo & Guelin (1990)          |
|                  |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Rand & Kulkarni (1990)                  |
|                  |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Lord & Young (1990)                     |
| NGC 5195         | SBO pec           | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Lord & Young (1990)                     |
|                  |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Sage (1989)                             |
| NGC 5236 (M83)   | SBC(s)II          | NRAO                   | 67                     | Combes et al (1978)                     |
|                  |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Lord (1987)                             |
|                  |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Handa et al (1990)                      |
|                  |                   | OVRO                   | 6                      | Kenney & Lord (1991)                    |
|                  |                   | SEST                   | 43, 23                 | Wiklund et al (1990)                    |
| NGC 5383         |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Ohta et al (1986)                       |
| NGC 5457 (M101)  | Sc(s)I            | NRAO                   | 67                     | Solomon et al (1983)                    |
|                  |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Kenney et al (1991)                     |
| NGC 6946         | Sc(s)II           | NRAO                   | 67                     | Morris & Lo (1978)                      |
|                  |                   | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard & Palmer (1981)                 |
|                  |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982a)                |
|                  |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Ball et al (1985)                       |



Table 1 *continued.*

| Galaxy            | Type <sup>a</sup> | Telescope <sup>b</sup> | Resolution<br>(arcsec) | Reference                    |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| NGC 6946          |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Tacconi & Young (1986, 1989) |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 21                     | Weliachew et al (1988)       |
|                   |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Sofue et al (1988)           |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 14, 23                 | Casoli et al (1990)          |
| NGC 7331          | Sb(rs)l-II        | NMA                    | 7                      | Ishizuki et al (1990b)       |
|                   |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982b)     |
|                   |                   | NRAO                   | 60                     | Heckman et al (1986)         |
| NGC 7469          | Sab pec           | OVRO                   | 5                      | Sanders et al (1989)         |
|                   |                   | BIMA                   | 3                      | Meixner et al (1990)         |
|                   |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)          |
| NGC 7479          | SBbc(s)l-II       | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young et al (1986a)          |
| Arp 55            | Irr               | OVRO                   | 5                      | Sanders et al (1988a)        |
| Arp 220           | Merger            | IRAM                   | 12                     | Casoli et al (1988)          |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 5                      | Scoville et al (1986b)       |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 21                     | Solomon et al (1990)         |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 2                      | Scoville et al (1991)        |
| IC 10             | Im                | NRO                    | 15                     | Ohta et al (1988)            |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 12                     | Becker (1990)                |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Wilson & Reid (1990)         |
| IC 342            | SAB(rs)cd         | NRAO                   | 67                     | Morris & Lo (1978)           |
|                   |                   | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard & Palmer (1981)      |
|                   |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Young & Scoville (1982a)     |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Lo et al (1984)              |
|                   |                   | NRAO                   | 20                     | Ho et al (1987)              |
|                   |                   | OSO                    | 33                     | Wilkind et al (1987)         |
|                   |                   | NRO                    | 15                     | Hayashi et al (1987)         |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 8                      | Maurersberger et al (1990)   |
|                   |                   | CSO                    | 24                     | Wall & Jaffe (1990)          |
| IC 694/NGC 3690   | pair              | NRO                    | 3                      | Ishizuki et al (1990a)       |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 5                      | Sargent et al (1987)         |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 12, 21                 | Casoli et al (1989)          |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 2                      | Sargent & Scoville (1991)    |
| Maffei II         | Sb                | NRAO                   | 67                     | Rickard et al (1977)         |
|                   |                   | IRAM                   | 21                     | Weliachew et al (1988)       |
|                   |                   | NRO                    | 5                      | Ishiguro et al (1989)        |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Hurt & Turner (1991)         |
| Mrk 231           | Seyfert/QSO       | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Sanders et al (1987)         |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 7                      | Scoville et al (1989)        |
| Mrk 297           | Irr               | NRO                    | 15                     | Sofue et al (1990)           |
| Mrk 1014          | Quasar            | NRAO                   | 60                     | Sanders et al (1988b)        |
| VII Zw 31         |                   | FCRAO                  | 45                     | Sage & Solomon (1987)        |
|                   |                   | OVRO                   | 5                      | Scoville et al (1989)        |
| I Zw 1            | Quasar            | IRAM                   | 21                     | Barvainis et al (1989)       |
| IRAS 12112 + 0305 |                   | NRAO                   | 67                     | Mirabel et al (1988a)        |
| LMC and SMC       | SB(s)m            |                        | 120                    | Israel et al (1986)          |
|                   |                   |                        | 530                    | Cohen et al (1988)           |
|                   |                   |                        | 530                    | Rubio et al (1991)           |

<sup>a</sup> Galaxy type from *Revised Shapley Ames Catalogue* (Sandage & Tammann 1981, hereafter RSA).

<sup>b</sup> Key for telescopes: BIMA = Berkeley Illinois Maryland Array, BTL = Bell Telephone Laboratories, CSO = Caltech Submillimeter Observatory, FCRAO = Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory, IRAM = Inst. Radio Astronomie Millimetrique, JCMT = James Clark Maxwell Telescope, NRAO = National Radio Astronomy Observatory, NRO = Nobeyama Radio Observatory, OSO = Onsala Space Observatory, OVRO = Owens Valley Radio Observatory.




Figure 2a Radial distributions of CO integrated intensity, corrected to face-on, for six relatively face-on Sc galaxies (solid lines) and the Milky Way (dashed line, Sanders et al 1984). Galaxy types and references for the CO distributions are found in Table 2 and distances are given in Young et al (1989).

tributions for a sample of Sc galaxies, with the observed intensities corrected for inclination (as described in Young & Scoville 1982a). Also shown in Figure 2a is the Milky Way CO distribution smoothed to 1 kpc resolution (Sanders et al 1984), illustrating the central peak, the absence of gas between 1 and 4 kpc, and the molecular annulus between 4 and 8 kpc. None of the face-on Sc galaxies has CO radial distributions that resemble that in the Milky Way. The dominant characteristic of the CO distributions for the Sc galaxies is a central peak and monotonic intensity falloff with increasing radius. For a given galaxy, there is typically a factor of 2 variation in the CO integrated intensities at different azimuthal angles, relative to the mean value at each radius (Morris & Rickard 1982, Young & Scoville 1982a, Solomon et al 1983, Scoville & Young 1983, Tacconi & Young 1986, 1990, Kenney & Young 1988a, Lord & Young 1990, Kenney et al 1991).

In luminous, face-on, late-type spirals, the azimuthally averaged distributions of  $H_2$  are markedly different from those of HI in the same




Figure 2b Radial distributions of CO integrated intensity, corrected to face-on, for eight Sb/Sbc galaxies. Galaxy types and references for the CO distributions are found in Table 2. The CO distribution for M31 has been scaled down by a factor of 5. Five of these galaxies exhibit central CO depressions.

galaxies (Morris & Rickard 1982, Young & Scoville 1982a, Scoville & Young 1983, Kenney & Young 1989). The central peaks in the  $\text{H}_2$  distributions for Sc galaxies contrast markedly with the central depressions seen in HI and the relatively constant HI surface densities [ $N(\text{HI}) \leq 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ] across the optical disk, outside the center. In NGC 6946 (see Section 7.1), the ratio of  $\text{H}_2$  to HI surface densities decreases from a central value of 30 to unity at a radius of 10 kpc (Tacconi & Young 1986). In most of the luminous Sc galaxies, the molecular gas more than fills in the central hole present in the atomic gas distributions.

The total number of Sb and Sbc galaxies in which the CO distributions have been measured is 25. Among the Sb galaxies that are at distances of less than 25 Mpc (for  $H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ), fewer than half have been found to exhibit CO depressions in their centers. These galaxies include M31 (Stark 1979), NGC 2841 and 7331 (Young & Scoville 1982b), NGC 1068 (Scoville et al 1983, Myers & Scoville 1987), NGC 891 (Sofue 1988,

Young 1987), NGC 4216 (Kenney & Young 1988a), and NGC 488, 2336, 3147, 4725, and IC 356 (Young et al 1991). Figure 2b illustrates the CO radial distributions for a sample of Sb galaxies with and without central CO depressions. There is no obvious correlation of the presence or absence of the central CO depression with other galaxy properties.

Major axis CO distributions in early type spiral galaxies have been published for only a small number of systems, most of which exhibit CO radial distributions that peak in the center and decrease monotonically with radius, like the Sc galaxies and the majority of the Sb galaxies. The central  $H_2$  surface densities in the early type galaxies are as high as those found in the Sc galaxies. The H I distributions for Sa galaxies, on the other hand, have surface densities that peak at  $1\text{--}4\text{ M}_\odot\text{ pc}^{-2}$ , as opposed to  $5\text{--}10\text{ M}_\odot\text{ pc}^{-2}$  found for Sc galaxies (Wevers et al 1986, van Driel 1987).

The isophotal diameters for the CO emission in a large sample of spiral galaxies have been analyzed by Kenney & Young (1988a). Overall, the mean ratio of CO diameter to optical diameter ( $D_{25}$ ) for 68 galaxies has a value of  $0.5 \pm 0.2$ , with a tendency for the largest ratios to be found in the late type spirals.

### 3.2 *Barred Spiral Galaxies*

Optically barred spiral galaxies in which the distribution of molecular matter has been mapped include NGC 5236 (M83) (Combes et al 1978, Lord 1987), NGC 1097 (Gerin et al 1988), and NGC 1365 (Sandquist et al 1988). In all cases, the CO emission is clearly enhanced along the bar. High resolution mapping of CO in NGC 253 has also shown the molecular gas strongly concentrated along the central bar seen in the near infrared (Canzian et al 1988). In the barred spiral galaxy NGC 3351, a nuclear molecular gas bar is found perpendicular to the optical bar (Devereux et al 1991). Several galaxies that do not show obvious barred morphology in the optical have been found to exhibit elongated CO distributions in their centers. High resolution CO observations of IC 342 and NGC 6946 indicate that both of these galaxies have a CO bar in the central arcminute (Lo et al 1984, Ball et al 1985). In IC 342, the CO has been resolved into two offset ridges along the leading edges of the rotating bar (Ishizuki et al 1990). These studies support the theoretical prediction that the bar may promote the inward transfer of gas to the center of the galaxy, thus fueling a central burst of star formation (Sanders & Huntley 1976, Roberts et al 1979).

### 3.3 *$^{13}\text{CO}$ Observations of Galaxies*

A second test of the molecular mass determinations is comparison of the CO radial distribution in a galaxy with that of the more optically thin

$^{13}\text{CO}$  line. The first  $^{13}\text{CO}$  observations in eight galaxies (Encrenaz et al 1979) were followed by additional studies in a small number of other systems (Young & Scoville 1982a, 1984, Stark & Carlson 1984, Rydbeck et al 1985). Defining the ratio of CO to  $^{13}\text{CO}$  integrated intensities to be  $R \equiv I(\text{CO})/I(^{13}\text{CO})$ , Encrenaz et al (1979) found an average value of  $R \sim 12$ , or roughly twice the mean value in the molecular annulus of our Galaxy (Solomon et al 1979, Stark 1983). Rickard & Blitz (1985) and Young & Sanders (1986) measured the CO and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  emission in the disks of several nearby luminous spiral galaxies. In six galaxies, the shapes of the azimuthally averaged distributions for CO and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  were similar. Globally, the value of  $R$  was found to range between 6 and 20 for individual galaxies, with the lower values in NGC 891. The highest value of  $R$  was found in the center of M82, where the ratio of integrated intensities was 20. Within individual galaxies,  $R$  varied by a factor of 2 on the scale of 1 to 2 kpc. Such variations could be caused by changes in the relative percentage of hot and cold clouds, such as is seen in the Milky Way between spiral arm and interarm regions (Solomon et al 1985).

#### 4. SPIRAL STRUCTURE IN M51

Ever since the earliest observations of molecular clouds in galaxies, there has been great interest in determining the extent to which the molecular clouds and their properties are correlated with spiral arms. Density waves, believed to be responsible for the spiral pattern in some galaxies, might provide a mechanism for molecular *cloud growth* either through gravitational instabilities or by increasing the incidence of cloud-cloud collisions and coalescence. Numerous studies have shown, however, that the molecular gas is widespread and not confined solely to the spiral arms. Although the early CO observations employing 45 to 66" beams (Rickard & Palmer 1981, Young & Scoville 1982a, Scoville & Young 1983) had resolution only marginally sufficient to separate the arm and interarm regions, recent higher resolution studies have confirmed that although significant spiral arm concentrations do exist, most of the molecular emission originates from interarm regions.

Perhaps the best example of a galaxy in which to investigate the extent to which the molecules are confined to spiral arms is M51. In this grand design spiral, the exceptionally strong arms probably result from both density waves (in the inner disk) and the tidal interaction with NGC 5195 (in the outer disk). At 33" resolution, i.e. 1.6 kpc, the CO enhancement is 20% in the arms and the underlying axisymmetric, exponential disk contributes  $\sim 75\%$  of the total emission (Rydbeck et al 1985).


Aperture synthesis mapping of the CO in M51 at 7" resolution has been

reported by Lo et al (1987a), Vogel et al (1988), and Rand & Kulkarni (1990). The interferometric data (see Figure 3) show striking concentrations of CO emission along the dust arms, displaced on average by approximately  $7''$  (300 pc) to the inside (upstream direction) of the arms seen in  $H\alpha$ . The masses of these molecular cloud associations are  $10^7$ – $6 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ . The CO emission maxima coincide precisely with regions of enhanced dust obscuration in optical continuum images. Approximately 25% of the total CO emission is contained in the arm-like structures shown in Figure 3, with an arm-interarm contrast of approximately 3:1 averaged over scales of 500 pc (Vogel et al 1988, Guelin et al 1988). Discrete emission concentrations are also seen in the areas between the arms, although they are not so well organized into coherent structures (Rand & Kulkarni 1990). It is noteworthy that the spiral arms seen in nonthermal radio continuum are aligned most closely with the CO ridges shown in Figure 3, whereas the 21 cm HI emission concentrations are displaced downstream near the  $H\alpha$  arms (Rand & Tilanus 1990). Presumably, this HI arises from dissociation of molecular gas by young stars formed in the arms. Even in the downstream areas, the single dish data indicate that the surface density of molecular gas still exceeds that of atomic gas. Thus, the molecular gas is not significantly dissociated by the OB star formation, but is instead distributed into smaller structures (e.g. individual GMCs) that are less easily detected by the interferometer.

The CO studies in M51 suggest a picture in which pre-existing GMCs come into the arm from the backside, concentrate in large cloud complexes as a result of orbit crowding or gravitational instabilities in the potential well of the spiral arm, and form high mass stars slightly downstream of the potential minimum. The OB stars then dissociate some of the molecular gas to produce the  $H\alpha$  and HI ridges downstream from the molecular peak. The disappearance of the molecular cloud *complexes* on the downstream side of the spiral arms could result from expected divergence of the cloud orbits as they come out of the spiral potential or the disruptive effects of high mass star formation. Inasmuch as the quantity of atomic and ionized gas in the downstream direction is significantly less than the abundance of molecular gas at the spiral ridge, the former may be the primary cause.

The extent to which the M51 results may be applied to other spiral galaxies, in particular to those with lower gas densities or those with smaller spiral arm amplitudes, is not at all clear. All of the processes discussed above (orbit crowding, gravitational instability, and cloud disruption as a result of OB star formation) are likely to be most significant in a galaxy like M51 with a strong spiral pattern, a high interstellar gas density, and a high rate of OB star formation. Significant downstream

## M51



**Figure 3** Mosaic map of the CO emission at 7'' (350 pc) resolution in M51 superposed on gray scale images of the red continuum (*upper left*), H $\alpha$  (*upper right*), and 21 cm H I emission (*lower left*) (Rand & Kulkarni 1990). On the lower right, the H I emission contours (Rots et al 1990) are superposed on a gray scale image of H $\alpha$ . The spiral arm concentrations of CO emission are closely aligned with areas of increased dust obscuration seen in the red continuum images (*upper left*), but displaced approximately 300 pc upstream of the spiral arm H II regions (*upper right*) and H I gas (*lower left*). The CO interferometry recovers approximately 30% of the total CO line flux; the remaining molecular emission is more uniformly distributed on scales  $\lesssim 25''$ .

offsets of the H I spiral arms from the dust lanes have been noted by Allen et al (1986) for the barred spiral galaxy M83; on the other hand, Lada et al (1988) found a close correlation between the atomic and molecular ridges in M31 in both velocity and space (see Section 5.1). We therefore caution that the results in either M51 or M31 should not be taken as a general rule; instead, these two situations may represent gas-rich and gas-poor galaxies, respectively.

## 5. LOCAL GROUP GALAXIES

M31 and M33 are prime galaxies in which to study the detailed distribution of molecular clouds, due to their proximity ( $\sim 700$  kpc). Because they cover such large areas on the sky, most studies have concentrated on selected regions, either to determine the CO radial distributions, to correlate CO emission with the spiral arms, or to investigate individual GMCs.

The first investigation of the CO in M31 consisted of observations at  $90''$  resolution along the minor axis (Stark 1979), revealing an absence of molecular clouds in the central region and an annulus of emission coincident with the optical arms. Along the minor axis, the inferred  $H_2$  surface density is less than or equal to that of H I at all radii (Brinks 1985). Numerous investigations of the concentration of molecular clouds in spiral arms have been conducted for M31 (Combes et al 1977a,b, Boulanger et al 1984, Ryden & Stark 1986, Lada et al 1988). Ryden & Stark (1986) found an arm-interarm contrast of  $7 \pm 4$  and kinematic evidence of streaming across the spiral arms.

In a recent CO study, in which individual GMCs in M31 were partially resolved, Lada et al (1988) inferred sizes, densities, and masses similar to those of GMCs in the solar neighborhood. Comparison with H I observations led them to conclude that the GMC-H I complexes have total gas ( $H_2 + H I$ ) masses on the order of  $10^6 M_\odot$ , of which  $\sim 30\%$  is atomic. They infer that the gas column density threshold for  $H_2$  formation is similar to that estimated for clouds in the Milky Way.

CO emission in the nuclear region of M33 has been observed with both single dish and aperture synthesis out to  $3.5'$  (1 kpc) radius by Wilson & Scoville (1989, 1990). The single dish map shows emission complexes with sizes 200–400 pc and masses  $3\text{--}10 \times 10^6 M_\odot$  some of which are associated with the two spiral arms in this area of the galaxy. The structures are neither tidally nor virially bound and must therefore be transient associations of smaller clouds. The interferometric measurements do reveal individual GMC-type clouds with masses and CO luminosities consistent with their being self-gravitating (see Section 2.2.2).



The Large and Small Magellanic Clouds are sufficiently nearby that they provide the opportunity of achieving high spatial resolution ( $1' = 23$  pc) relative to the sizes of the molecular clouds within them. The most comprehensive study is that of Cohen et al (1988), who obtained a fully sampled CO map at  $8.8'$  resolution (200 pc) of the central  $6^\circ \times 6^\circ$  in the LMC and detected CO emission in approximately 10% of the region studied. They found that emission is dominated by a molecular cloud complex extending several kpc south of 30 Doradus. High velocity CO emission was also seen in this region, possibly arising from gas accelerated by stellar winds and supernovae. An extensive high resolution CO survey of the LMC is currently in progress with the SEST telescope.

## 6. GLOBAL $H_2$ , HI, AND IR IN GALAXIES

A major goal of extragalactic CO studies has been to understand the evolution of galaxies through comparison of the gas contents ( $H_2$  and HI) and star formation rates as a function of galaxy type, luminosity, and environment. Initial studies in this area were conducted by the investigators listed in Table 2, with statistical analyses presented by Rengarajan & Verma (1986), Verter (1987), and Young et al (1989). Here, we consider the global properties of over 300 galaxies from numerous studies (see Table 2), in which CO was mapped to determine the global flux.

### 6.1 *Total $H_2$ Masses*

The masses of molecular gas that are derived for galaxies cover a broad range, from  $5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$  for the most massive (Solomon & Sage 1988, Young et al 1989, Sanders et al 1991) to less than  $10^6 M_\odot$  for some low-mass dwarfs (Tacconi & Young 1987), adopting the same  $CO \rightarrow H_2$  conversion constant as for the massive spirals. The range of HI masses found in the same galaxies is similar (Young et al 1989).

### 6.2 *Ratio of $H_2$ to HI*

In order to elucidate the processes that influence molecular cloud formation, it is helpful to analyze the relative amounts of atomic and molecular gas. That there is a morphological type dependence to the (normalized) total atomic gas content of galaxies has long been recognized. In particular, Roberts (1969) showed that the HI mass-to-blue-luminosity ratio,  $M(HI)/L_B$ , increase by a factor of  $\sim 5$  among spiral galaxies going from type Sa to Scd. (This trend is partially due to the contribution of the bulge to the blue luminosity in early type galaxies.)

Young & Knezek (1989) analyzed the global ratios of  $H_2$  to HI for 170

**Table 2** Published surveys of CO in galaxies

| Galaxy Class                  | Sample Size | No. Detected | Telescope <sup>a</sup> | Reference                             |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ellipticals                   | 1           | 1            | IRAM                   | Huchtmeier et al (1988)               |
|                               | 7           | 2            | IRAM                   | Gordon (1991)                         |
| IR Bright                     |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Centers                       | 20          | 10           | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1984b)                   |
| Maps                          | 14          | 14           | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1986a)                   |
| High Luminosity               | 15          | 15           | FCRAO                  | Sanders et al (1986)                  |
| High Luminosity               | 3           | 3            | OVRO                   | Sanders et al (1988a)                 |
| Ultra-high Lum.               | 5           | 4            | SEST                   | Mirabel et al (1988b)                 |
| High Luminosity               | 4           | 4            | OVRO                   | Scoville et al (1989)                 |
| Global Properties             | 124         | 108          | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1989)                    |
| Maps                          | 29          | 29           | NRAO                   | Tinney et al (1990)                   |
| High Luminosity               | 60          | 60           | NRAO                   | Sanders et al (1991)                  |
| Irregulars                    |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Magellanic                    | 6           | 1            | NRAO                   | Elmegreen et al (1980)                |
| Star-forming                  | 1           | 1            | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1984a)                   |
| Star-forming                  | 3           | 3            | FCRAO                  | Tacconi & Young (1985)                |
| Clumpy Irregulars             | 2           | 0            | NRO                    | Sofue et al (1986)                    |
| Dwarf Irr's                   | 15          | 6            | FCRAO                  | Tacconi & Young (1987)                |
| Blue Compact Galaxies         | 12          | 0            | IRAM                   | Arnault et al (1988)                  |
| Isolated and Interacting      |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Maps                          | 26          | 26           | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1986b)                   |
| Maps                          | 93          | 90           | FCRAO/NRAO             | Solomon & Sage (1988)                 |
| Markarian                     | 14          | 14           | IRAM                   | Krugel et al (1990)                   |
| Quasars                       | 1           | 1            | IRAM                   | Barvainis et al (1989)                |
|                               | 1           | 1            | IRAM                   | Sanders et al (1989)                  |
| Radio Bright                  | 21          | 20           | FCRAO/NRAO             | Sanders & Mirabel (1985)              |
| Seyferts                      | 9           | 2            | NRAO/BTL               | Bieging et al (1981)                  |
|                               | 9           | 0            | NRAO                   | Wilson et al (1979)                   |
|                               | 43          | 18           | NRAO                   | Heckman et al (1989)                  |
|                               | 2           | 2            | IRAM                   | Sanders et al (1989)                  |
|                               | 3           | 2            | BIMA                   | Meixner et al (1990)                  |
|                               | 12          | 4            | NRO                    | Taniguchi et al (1990a)               |
| S0s                           |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Centers                       | 11          | 5            | NRAO                   | Sage and Wrobel (1989)                |
| S0-Sa                         | 20          | 11           | FCRAO                  | Thronson et al (1989a)                |
| E-S0                          | 24          | 22           | OSO, SEST, IRAM        | Wiklind & Ikenkel (1989)              |
| Spirals                       |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Gas-rich                      | 29          | 5            | NRAO                   | Rickard et al (1977)                  |
| Nearby                        | 81          | 5            | NRAO                   | Rowan-Robinson et al (1980)           |
| Range of                      |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Arm Types                     | 29          | 20           | BTL                    | Stark et al (1987)                    |
| Hubble Types                  | 19          | 6            | BTL                    | Verter (1983)                         |
| SAB Galaxies                  | 23          | 5            | NRAO                   | Elmegreen & Elmegreen (1982)          |
| Sc's                          | 9           | 9            | FCRAO                  | Young & Scoville (1982b)              |
| Spirals                       | 13          | 11           | NRAO                   | Adler & Iviszt (1989)                 |
| Starbursts                    | 42          | 9            | FCRAO                  | Jackson et al (1989)                  |
| Nuclear Starbursts            | 18          | 16           | FCRAO                  | Young & Devereux (1991)               |
| Virgo Cluster                 |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Centers                       | 25          | 18           | FCRAO                  | Young et al (1985)                    |
| Centers                       | 7           | 7            | OVRO                   | Canzian (1990)                        |
| Major Axis Maps               | 52          | 34           | FCRAO                  | Kenney & Young (1986, 1988a, b, 1989) |
| Total CO                      | 47          | 25           | BTL                    | Stark et al (1986)                    |
| <sup>13</sup> CO Observations |             |              |                        |                                       |
| Centers                       | 8           | 5            | BTL                    | Encrenaz et al (1979)                 |
| Disks                         | 6           | 6            | NRAO                   | Rickard & Blitz (1985)                |
| Disks                         | 6           | 6            | FCRAO                  | Young & Sanders (1986)                |

<sup>a</sup> Telescopes are as in Table 1.

galaxies for which HI masses were available in the literature (Huchtmeier et al 1983). Within this sample, the mean ratio of total HI mass to blue luminosity increases with type by a factor of 5, but the mean ratio of  $H_2$  mass to blue luminosity is roughly the same for types Sa–Sc, then decreasing by a factor of at least 3 for types Scd–Sdm. The  $M(H_2)/M(HI)$  ratio is shown in Figure 4 for the galaxies in several studies (Young & Knezek 1989, Thronson et al 1989b). While there is considerable scatter in the ratio of  $H_2$  to HI within a given type, the mean clearly changes systematically along the Hubble sequence. A volume limited sample of galaxies closer than 20 Mpc displays a similar decrease in the  $H_2/HI$  ratio. Thus, the ratio of molecular to atomic mass decreases by more than a factor of 10 as a function of morphological type for types Sa–Sd. The mean  $M(H_2)/M(HI)$  ratio is  $4.0 \pm 1.9$  for SO/Sa galaxies, and  $0.2 \pm 0.1$  for Sd/Sm galaxies. Verter (1987) reached a somewhat different conclusion—that the CO/HI flux ratio peaks for intermediate morphological types—but her study was based on many fewer galaxies.

The *mean* ratio of total ISM mass ( $HI + H_2$ ) to optical area is  $17 M_\odot pc^{-2}$ , with only a factor of 2 increases from early to late type spirals. Thus, late type galaxies have only marginally greater gas surface densities than the early type galaxies, but the phase of the gas for the cool ISM varies quite systematically along the Hubble sequence. In particular, the late type spiral galaxies have a lower global molecular gas fraction.

Variations in the  $H_2/HI$  ratio can result from changes in the efficiency of  $H_2$  cloud formation or the rate of cloud disruption (Wyse 1986, Tacconi & Young 1986, Shaya & Federman 1987, Wyse & Silk 1989, Wang 1990). The variation with morphological type could indicate that the efficiency of molecular cloud formation is higher in early- than in late-type spirals, thus suggesting that large-scale gravitational effects may influence molecular cloud formation.

Recent observations of molecular and atomic gas in infrared bright galaxies indicate that the ISM in interacting systems may be predominately molecular (Mirabel & Sanders 1989, Knezek & Young 1989). Such a situation could arise through enhanced conversion of atomic to molecular gas during interactions, through removal of the loosely bound atomic gas, or both.

It is well known that the atomic gas content of many Virgo spirals is low by factors ranging from 2 to 10, compared with more isolated galaxies of the same type and optical size (Giovanelli & Haynes 1983, van Gorkom & Kotanyi 1985, Warmels 1986), and this depletion has been commonly interpreted as evidence of gas stripping in the cluster environment. Several surveys of CO emission have been conducted for the Virgo spiral galaxies (Kenney & Young 1986, 1989, Stark et al 1986). These studies show that

Number of Galaxies




Figure 4 Ratio of molecular to atomic gas mass as a function of morphological type for spiral galaxies (Young & Knezek 1989, Thronson et al 1989). The vertical tick mark in each panel represents the median value for that type. Although there is a considerable range in the  $\text{H}_2/\text{HI}$  ratio within each type, the mean value clearly decreases for later type spirals.

the  $\text{H}_2$  is not deficient, that is, the mechanism removing the low density atomic gas has left the denser molecular clouds intact. Since the greatest HI depletion in the Virgo spirals occurs in the outer disks, well outside of the region in which most of the molecular gas is usually located in spiral galaxies, it is not surprising that the molecular gas does not show the same degree of depletion as the atomic gas. In addition, the molecular clouds have high column densities and, residing in the inner galaxy, are more tightly bound. The depletion of the HI in the outer disks of some Virgo spirals is approximately a factor of 10, whereas in the inner disk, it is usually a factor of 2 or less (Kenney & Young 1989). Thus, a possible interpretation of the HI and CO data for the Virgo spirals is that the gas depletion occurs entirely in the areas of the galaxy in which the gas is both tenuous and loosely bound by gravitation (i.e. the outer disk or at moderate  $z$  above or below the galactic plane).

### 6.3 Gas Mass Fractions in Spiral Galaxies

Using the dynamical mass-to-light ratios of Rubin et al (1985)—in which the ratio  $M_{\text{dyn}}/L_{\text{B}}$  is  $6.2 M_{\odot} L_{\odot}^{-1}$  for Sa galaxies,  $4.5 M_{\odot} L_{\odot}^{-1}$  for Sb galaxies, and  $2.6 M_{\odot} L_{\odot}^{-1}$  for Sc galaxies—in conjunction with the blue luminosities from RC2, Young & Knezek (1989) computed the dynamical masses of spiral galaxies for which  $\text{H}_2$  and HI masses are known. The mean ratio of total neutral gas mass  $[\text{HI} + \text{H}_2]$  to dynamical mass,  $M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{dyn}}$ , ranges from 4% for Sa galaxies to 25% for Scd galaxies, as shown in Figure 5. The early type galaxies have thus locked up a much larger fraction of their mass in stars than late type galaxies. It is rather remarkable, then, that the present mean gas surface densities in early type spiral galaxies are only a factor of two lower than in late types (see Section 6.2).

### 6.4 The Gas-to-Dust Ratio in Spiral Galaxies

Comparison of molecular gas masses with *IRAS*-derived dust masses leads to mean gas-to-dust mass ratios of  $\sim 600$  (Young et al 1986a, 1989, Stark et al 1986, Devereux & Young 1990b, Sanders et al 1991), rather than the value of  $\sim 150$ , which is widely used for the Galaxy (Spitzer 1978, Hildebrand 1983, Draine & Lee 1984). The inclusion of atomic gas in spiral galaxies only accentuates the discrepancy. A plausible explanation for the high apparent gas-to-dust ratio is that the bulk of the dust mass is cold ( $T_{\text{dust}} < 30$  K) and radiating beyond  $100 \mu\text{m}$ . Henceforth, we refer to the dust detected by *IRAS* as “warm dust.”

Devereux & Young (1990b) considered not only the molecular gas, but also that fraction of the atomic gas associated with the inner disk, i.e. the area within which most of the star formation and hence infrared emission occurs. They find a tight correlation between the warm dust mass measured

Number of Galaxies




Figure 5 Ratio of total interstellar gas mass ( $\text{HI} + \text{H}_2$ ) to dynamical mass for 150 galaxies (for  $H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ). Dynamical masses were derived by using  $L_B$  from RC2 and the results of Rubin et al (1985) for the ratio  $M_{\text{dyn}}/L_B$  as a function of morphological type. The vertical tick mark in each panel represents the median value for that type.

by *IRAS* and the gas mass ( $\text{HI}$  and  $\text{H}_2$ ) in the inner disk ( $R < R_{25}/2$ ), as shown in Figure 6, and conclude that the warm dust detected by *IRAS* is located in the inner disks and mixed with both  $\text{HI}$  and  $\text{H}_2$  gas. They find the mean inner disk gas-to-warm-dust ratio is  $\sim 1000$  with no significant variations as a function of morphological type, dust temperature, or




Figure 6 (Left panel): Correlation between gas masses in the inner disk ( $R < R_{25}/2$ ) and warm dust masses derived from *IRAS* 60 and 100  $\mu\text{m}$  flux densities (Devereux & Young 1990b). The open circles identify galaxies for which the molecular gas constitutes > 50% of the gas mass; filled circles identify spirals for which atomic gas constitutes > 50% of the gas mass. The solid line represents gas/dust = 100 and the arrows identify spirals with  $2\sigma$  upper limits, where the upper limits are due to nondetections of  $\text{H}_2$ . (Right panel): Histogram illustrating the gas/dust ratio. The shaded portion of the histogram represents the H I-dominated galaxies. The numerical value of the dispersion is indicated by the value of  $\sigma$ , where the limits have been included in the  $2\sigma$  value. The similar widths for the histograms of  $\text{H}_2$  and H I-dominated galaxies suggest that the H I and  $\text{H}_2$  masses have similar accuracy.


whether the H I or  $\text{H}_2$  is the dominant phase of the ISM. If the true gas-to-dust ratio in spiral galaxies is the same as the value of  $\sim 150$  measured within the Galaxy, the high value that is found from this study indicates that 80–90% of the dust mass in spiral galaxies is radiating at  $\lambda > 100 \mu\text{m}$  and has a dust temperature colder than  $\sim 30 \text{ K}$ . Furthermore, the small scatter in the gas/dust ratio in Figure 6b is used to argue that the global  $\text{H}_2$  masses derived from CO observations and a constant CO-to- $\text{H}_2$  conversion factor have a  $1\sigma$  uncertainty of  $\pm 30\%$  for luminous spiral galaxies (see Section 2.2.2).

## 6.5 CO-IR Luminosity Correlation

A large number of CO-IR comparisons have been reported in the literature (Rickard & Harvey 1984, Young et al 1984, Sanders & Mirabel 1985, Young et al 1986a,b, Sanders et al 1986, 1987, Tacconi & Young 1987, Solomon & Sage 1988, Kenney & Young 1988b, Young et al 1989, Tinney et al 1990, Sanders et al 1991). These correlations exhibit at least an order

of magnitude of scatter, which is much more than that expected on the basis of measurement uncertainties.

Figure 7 shows a plot of the infrared (IR) and CO luminosities for more than 200 galaxies from several studies. The CO luminosities were derived as described in Kenney & Young (1988a), whereas the infrared luminosities are based on coadded *IRAS* data and computed following the method of Lonsdale et al (1985). The values of the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  range from 2 to  $200 \text{ L}_\odot \text{ M}_\odot^{-1}$ , with the higher values occurring in interacting and merging galaxies (see Sections 8–9 and Figure 11) and in starburst irregular galaxies. In Figure 7, where the galaxies are coded by dust temperature, it is clear that the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  is closely correlated with dust temperature. Such a separation by dust temperature is not found for the comparison of IR luminosities and H I masses in the IR bright galaxies, thereby strongly



*Figure 7* Comparison of total  $\text{H}_2$  masses and IR luminosities for galaxies from several studies (Stark 1979, Young et al 1986a, Sanders et al 1986, Tacconi & Young 1987, Solomon & Sage 1988, Kenney & Young 1988a, Young et al 1989, Thronson et al 1989a, Tinney et al 1990, Sanders et al 1991). The  $\text{H}_2$  measurements included consist of major axis maps for galaxies larger than  $3''$ , and single observations for the smaller galaxies. *Points* are coded by dust temperature, with *stars* for  $T_{\text{dust}} > 40\text{K}$ ; *triangles* for  $T_{\text{dust}}$  between 30 and 40K; and *circles* for  $T_{\text{dust}} < 30\text{K}$ . The *diagonal lines* shown represent  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2) = 1$  and  $100 \text{ L}_\odot/\text{M}_\odot$ .



suggesting that the dust emission in these galaxies is more closely tied to the molecular than to the atomic gas content. The correlation of the  $T_d$  with the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  can be understood, since a higher radiation energy density (i.e.  $L/M$ ) leads to a higher radiative equilibrium dust temperature.


## 7. STAR FORMATION RATES AND EFFICIENCIES IN GALAXIES

The rate at which the ISM in a galaxy evolves is determined in large part by the rate of star formation within molecular clouds. The most widely available measures of the star formation activity in galaxies are global  $\text{H}\alpha$  fluxes (Kennicutt & Kent 1983, Bushouse 1986, Kennicutt et al 1987) and far infrared fluxes from the *IRAS* survey (Lonsdale et al 1985). Although the  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission traces the young stars, the disadvantages of the  $\text{H}\alpha$  are several. First, the emission traces only high mass stars so that assumptions about the initial mass function (IMF) must be made in order to deduce the overall star formation rate (SFR). Second, the emission suffers extinction, and will therefore provide only a lower limit to the SFR.

An alternative tracer of the rate of high mass star formation in galaxies is provided by the *IRAS* data. Devereux & Young (1990a) have shown that the global far infrared luminosity observed in spiral galaxies is consistent with that of the high mass OB stars required to ionize the gas. Additionally, only high mass stars are capable of heating the dust in galaxies to the dust temperatures observed throughout spiral disks. In the following, we adopt the view that the SFRs are indicated by the IR luminosities (for a more complete discussion see Devereux & Young 1991). The infrared emission, like the  $\text{H}\alpha$ , is nevertheless strongly biased toward the high mass end of the IMF.

From an analysis of the *IRAS* data for 1000 nearby galaxies, Devereux & Young (1991) find that the median high mass SFR is similar for spiral galaxies of types Sa–Scd, while the SFR is an order of magnitude lower for the S0 and Sd–Sm galaxies.

In order to examine the morphological type dependence of the star formation rate per unit mass of gas (or the star formation efficiency, SFE), a number of investigators have determined the  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  ratio for early and late type spirals. Rengarajan & Verma (1986), Young et al (1989), Thronson et al (1989b), Wilkind & Henkel (1989), Devereux & Young (1991) and Allen & Young (1989) all find that early and late type spirals have similar global SFEs, as shown in Figure 8. Thus, the *global* star formation rates and efficiencies in disk galaxies do not depend strongly



*Figure 8* Histograms of the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  for each morphological type among spiral galaxies. The vertical tick mark in each panel represents the median value of  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  for that type. The hatched portion of each histogram represents galaxies with  $\text{H}_2$  upper limits, and  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  lower limits. The constancy of  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  in the mean for spiral galaxies along the Hubble sequence indicates that the global star formation efficiency does not change with type.

on morphology. Global SFEs do, however, depend on environment as discussed in Section 8.

If the present high mass star formation rate in spiral galaxies remains constant, then the molecular ISM will be cycled into high mass stars

( $\geq 5 M_{\odot}$ ) on a time scale of  $10^9$  yrs. Needless to say, the gas used in the formation of high mass stars is returned to the ISM via supernova explosions. Consequently, the concern over short gas depletion time scales depends largely on the low mass star formation rate, which is poorly determined at present.

### 7.1 *The Star Formation Efficiency in the Disks of NGC 6946 and M51*

A complementary approach to understanding galaxy evolution is to compare the detailed distributions of the star formation tracers within the disks of individual galaxies. From a comparison of the CO distribution with past (blue light) and present ( $H\alpha$  or IR) tracers of star formation, one can qualitatively infer the history of the star formation. NGC 6946 (Scd) is a nearby face-on spiral galaxy that is ideal for comparing the distributions of young stars and gas within both the disks and the spiral arms. It is also isolated, with no significant companions within 1 Mpc.

In NGC 6946, the azimuthally averaged distributions ...FIR, blue light,  $H\alpha$ , radio continuum, and CO (see Tacconi & Young 1986 for references)—show the same radial fall-off (Figure 9), which is unlike that of the atomic gas. If the blue light measures the star formation rate integrated over the last  $\sim 2 \times 10^9$  years (cf. Searle et al 1973, Gallagher et al 1984, Sandage 1986), and the  $H\alpha$  flux measures the current rate of formation of high mass stars, the fact that the blue/CO and  $H\alpha$ /CO ratios are constant as a function of radius indicates that both the present-day formation rate for high mass stars and the long-term integrated formation rate for intermediate mass stars are proportional to the available supply of molecular gas; i.e. the star formation efficiency is approximately constant.

In NGC 6946 (and most other luminous spiral galaxies), the  $H_2$  and H I radial distributions are entirely different. If the ratio of  $H_2$ /H I surface densities is taken as a measure of the efficiency with which molecular clouds form, the radial decrease in the  $H_2$ /H I ratio indicates that molecular cloud formation proceeds most efficiently or that the clouds last longer toward the center of the galaxy. Inefficient molecular cloud formation in the outer parts of galaxies could result in part from decreasing gas volume densities as the H I scale height increases (Mihalas & Binney 1981).

Models for the star formation *efficiency* within galactic disks have been proposed by Gusten & Mezger (1982) and Dopita (1985). The density-wave model of Gusten & Mezger predicts that the SFE should depend on the difference between the angular velocity and the pattern speed,  $[\Omega(R) - \Omega_p]$ , which decreases as a function of radius. Dopita's model also depends on the pressure of the ISM and predicts a SFE that is proportional to the stellar surface density, also decreasing with radius. The predictions of these models have been compared with the observationally




Figure 9 Comparison of the radial distributions of CO ( $H_2$ ), HI,  $H\alpha$ , blue and radio continuum in NGC 6946 from Tacconi & Young (1986). References are given in Tacconi & Young (1986).

derived SFE for M51 (Lord & Young 1990), but neither model accounts for the apparent constancy of the SFE with radius.

## 7.2 Arm-Interarm Variations

Azimuthal variations in NGC 6946 and M51 have been analyzed with the goal of determining the ratio of young stars to gas and  $H_2$ /HI in spiral arm and interarm regions (Lord 1987, Tacconi 1987, Tacconi & Young 1990, Lord & Young 1990). Figure 10 shows the distributions of  $H_2$ , HI,  $H\alpha$ , B-, and I-band light,  $H\alpha/H_2$ ,  $H_2$ /HI, and  $H\alpha$ /blue as a function of azimuth in NGC 6946 at a radius of  $1.5'$ . At this radius, the  $H\alpha$  arms at  $45''$  resolution stand out as a factor of  $\sim 4$  enhancements relative to interarm locations; in CO at this resolution, the spiral arms are much less apparent. Thus, the yield of massive stars per unit mass of molecular gas in NGC 6946 is enhanced by more than a factor of 2 on the spiral arms relative to the interarm regions. Tacconi & Young find the arm-interarm




Figure 10 Azimuthal distributions of  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{H I}$ ,  $\text{H}\alpha$ , B-band, I-band,  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2/\text{H I}$ , and  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{blue}$  at a radius of  $1.5'$  in the disk of the Scd galaxy NGC 6946 from Tacconi & Young (1990), all derived at  $45''$  resolution. Azimuths are defined from north through east, with north at  $0^\circ$ . The solid horizontal lines in the lower portion of each panel indicate the locations of the I-band spiral arms before smoothing the data to  $45''$  resolution. The  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}_2$  ratio as a function of azimuth shows enhancements in the spiral arm regions indicating that the star formation efficiency is a function of the  $\text{H}_2$  density.

contrast in the  $H\alpha/H_2$  to increase with radius to a value that exceeds 10 beyond a radius of  $2'$ .

Like NGC 6946, M51 also exhibits a factor of  $\sim 2$  enhancement in the star formation efficiency on the spiral arms (Lord 1987, Vogel et al 1988, Lord & Young 1990). In particular, Vogel et al (1988) inferred arm/interarm contrasts of 2–5 in CO and 10 in  $H\alpha$  on scales of  $\sim 500$  pc. A similar arm/interarm contrast was measured for CO in M51 by Garcia-Burillo & Guelin (1990). These studies indicate that the yield of high mass stars per unit  $H_2$  mass in spiral arms of luminous Sc galaxies is enhanced relative to the interarm regions by at least a factor of 2.

The elevated  $H\alpha$ /CO ratios associated with spiral arm gas concentrations indicate that there is a nonlinear dependence of the OB star formation rate on the local gas surface density. The variations on and off the spiral arms in NGC 6946 and M51 are consistent with the *high mass* SFR depending quadratically on the  $H_2$  surface density. Based on a similar increase in the number of radio H II regions relative to the density of GMCs in the spiral arms of the Galaxy, Scoville et al (1986a) suggested that OB star formation may be linked to the collisions of GMCs. A bias for the formation of *high* mass stars during cloud-cloud collisions could arise, since the gas will be shock heated and the first stars to form as the gas cools would be high mass stars (cf. Silk 1987). It is not clear whether the IMF within the arms is biased toward high mass stars, or whether more stars of all masses form in these regions. We suspect that there may well be separate modes of star formation for low and high mass stars (cf. Larson 1987). Perhaps the low mass star formation *rate* depends linearly on the gas density and the high mass mode quadratically.

How is it, then that the azimuthally averaged  $H\alpha$  and CO radial distributions are so similar in NGC 6946 (and M51), while the  $H\alpha$  shows greater enhancement on the spiral arms? This inconsistency could be resolved if the spiral arms comprise a small fraction of the surface area of a galaxy and only a small fraction of the total molecular gas and  $H\alpha$  resides in the arms. Thus, spiral arms are best studied by investigating gas and star formation as a function of azimuth, whereas azimuthal averaging reveals the characteristics of the underlying disk.

## 8. GALACTIC INTERACTIONS

Galaxy-galaxy interactions are known to disrupt the stellar component of disks (Toomre & Toomre 1972), and to enhance the star formation rate (cf. Larson & Tinsely 1978, Lonsdale et al 1984). Through investigations of the molecular content along with the star formation rate, we now have a handle on the star formation efficiency in interacting galaxies.

### 8.1 Star Formation Efficiencies

Several studies have investigated the star formation efficiency in interacting/merging galaxies (Sanders & Mirabel 1985, Young et al 1986a,b, Solomon & Sage 1988, Sanders et al 1991, Tinney et al 1990) and found that *strongly* interacting galaxies have mean  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  ratios 5–10 times higher than isolated galaxies. A similar enhancement is found in the  $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/M(\text{H}_2)$  ratios for interacting/merging galaxies versus isolated galaxies (Allen & Young 1989). Most of the studies have also indicated that only the most closely interacting systems have a significant enhancement. These results suggest that the perturbations due to galaxy-galaxy interactions result in more efficient production of massive stars.

Figure 11 shows a plot of the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  versus dust temperature for 150 galaxies, in which the points are coded by the galaxy's environment (dwarf irregulars, isolated, group/cluster, and interacting/merging gal-




Figure 11 Comparison of the ratio  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  with  $S_{60}/S_{100}$  for the galaxies in Figure 7. The galaxies are coded by environment as follows: *filled circles* for isolated galaxies, *open squares* for group and cluster galaxies, *stars* for interacting/merging galaxies, and *open triangles* for dwarf and irregular galaxies. The merging/interacting galaxies have high values of both  $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$  and  $S_{60}/S_{100}$  relative to the isolated galaxies.

axes). Although the most strongly interacting galaxies often have high star formation efficiencies, there is an order of magnitude scatter in the  $L/M$  observed among both the isolated and interacting galaxies. This scatter, which is considerably larger than the measurement uncertainties ( $\pm 30\%$ ), may reflect time evolution of the IR luminosity and/or the  $H_2$  content for the starbursts in the interacting galaxies (Young et al 1986a,b). Scalo (1987) has suggested that bursts of star formation control the evolution even in isolated galaxies, and this might account for the large scatter in the star formation efficiency for the normal galaxies.

Several mechanisms for the enhancement in the yield of young stars per unit mass of molecular gas in interacting/merging galaxies have been suggested. On one hand, the galaxy-galaxy interaction may cause a new star formation mechanism to operate such that more stars form per unit molecular mass. Alternatively, the physical process that causes high mass stars to form in merging/interacting galaxies may be no different than that in isolated galaxies, but the interaction may enhance its effectiveness. We favor the latter explanation, i.e. the interaction causes a star formation mechanism already present to operate at an increased level, as opposed to invoking a new mechanism.

One process that probably becomes important during galaxy-galaxy interactions is that of cloud-cloud collisions. Noguchi & Ishibashi (1986) and Olsen & Kwan (1990) have performed numerical simulations of galaxy-galaxy interactions including gas clouds as well as stars. They find that the cloud-cloud collision rate increases as bridges and tails develop during violent galaxy-galaxy encounters. In the specific cases treated by Noguchi & Ishibashi, the cloud collision rate is elevated by an order of magnitude at  $3 \times 10^8$  years after the closest approach of the perturber. If cloud-cloud collisions are responsible for high mass star formation galaxies (Scoville et al 1986a), the enhanced rate of cloud-cloud collisions in interacting galaxies should result in an increase in the star formation rate per unit  $H_2$  mass.

## 8.2 *Interaction Morphology*

One of the interacting systems for which the most complete data exist and for which extensive dynamic modeling has been done is the “antennae” system, NGC 4038/39 ( $D = 20$  Mpc for  $H_0 = 75$  km/s/Mpc). Knots of intense  $H\alpha$  emission are found throughout both galaxies (Rubin et al 1970), and a total molecular gas mass of  $5.3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$  (Young et al 1989) is seen distributed throughout this system. Aperture synthesis at  $6''$  resolution of the CO emission has recently been reported by Stanford et al (1990). The integrated CO intensity contours are shown in Figure 12 superposed on a  $6500 \text{ \AA}$  image of the inner region of this system. Three concentrations of CO emission are evident: Two are centered near the





*Figure 12* CO integrated intensity maps in two interferometer fields are overlaid on an R band image of Arp 244 (NGC 4028/39), the “Antennae” system (Stanford et al 1990). The inset is a large scale, deep exposure of the galaxies. The northern and southern CO concentrations coincide with the nuclei of NGC 4038 and NGC 4039; the eastern emission complex is at the interaction interface of the two galaxies. Contour levels are 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% of the peak flux ( $89 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1} \text{ beam}^{-1}$ ).

nuclei of NGC 4038 and NGC 4039, closely correlated with  $\text{H}\alpha$  and radio continuum peaks; a third CO emission region lies about  $25''$  northeast of the NGC 4039 nucleus where the two galaxies overlap. The masses of these molecular gas concentrations are in the range  $0.2\text{--}1.2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ . If the results obtained in this system are representative, it appears that a galactic collision such as is occurring in this system may result in a large mass of gas concentrating in the nucleus and in the region of direct overlap of the galactic disks. Similar characteristics are found for the CO emission in Arp 299 (IC 694/NGC 3690) (Sargent et al 1987, Sargent & Scoville 1991).

Both the “Antennae” system and Arp 299 may be in an intermediate phase of galactic interaction; examples of more advanced mergers may be found in the ultraluminous *IRAS* galaxies (Section 9.3).

## 9. GALACTIC NUCLEI

In the center of our Galaxy, the predominant gas phase is molecular and a total  $\text{H}_2$  mass of approximately  $1\text{--}5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$  is estimated for the inner 800 pc radius. The dominant large-scale morphological feature is an expanding ring or arms at approximately 200–300 pc radius, made up of extremely massive clouds ( $\geq 10^6 M_\odot$ ). The mean gas densities in these clouds are at least a factor of 10 higher than those of typical Galactic GMCs.


In all but the nearest external galaxies, high resolution observations are required to detect the existence of such structures, if they exist. Three of the nearby spiral galaxies (IC 342, NGC 6946, and NGC 253) for which aperture synthesis observations have been obtained, exhibit elongated bar-like distributions for the molecular gas in the central kpc (Section 3.2). In three other nearby galaxies studied at high resolution (Maffei 2, NGC 2146, and NGC 3079), elongated distributions are also seen in the nuclei, but these galaxies are observed at high inclination, and the observed structures may simply be inclined nuclear disks (Nakai et al 1989, Young et al 1988a,b, Jackson & Ho 1988). In the center of M51 (see Figure 3), there is an apparent deficiency of molecular gas within the central 600 pc (Lo et al 1987b, Rand & Kulkarni 1990). Two galaxies analyzed in considerably greater detail are the nearby irregular galaxy M82 and the Seyfert II galaxy NGC 1068.

### 9.1 *M82*

M82 is one of the first galaxies in which CO was detected (Rickard et al 1975, Solomon & de Zafra 1975), and the CO emission in this nearly edge-on irregular galaxy has been mapped extensively (see Table 2 for references). The total  $\text{H}_2$  mass within the inner 6 kpc could be as high as  $2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$  (assuming the standard galactic CO to  $\text{H}_2$  conversion), in which case, it is an order of magnitude greater than the HI mass within the same region. It is one of the few galaxies in which the molecular emission is sufficiently bright to enable studies of molecules other than CO. Rickard & Palmer (1977) first detected HCN and CS here. In addition,  $^{13}\text{CO } J = 1\text{--}0$  is observed with an intensity approximately 1/20 that of CO (Stark & Carlson 1984, Young & Scoville 1984), and the CO  $J = 2\text{--}1$  emission is significantly brighter than the  $J = 1\text{--}0$  emission at the central position (Knapp et al 1980, Sutton et al 1983). Both of these characteristics

have led a number of authors to suggest that the CO emission in the center of M82 is optically thin, an excitation regime seldom seen in galactic GMCs.

Carlstrom (1988) has published high resolution aperture synthesis maps of the CO,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , HCN, and 3 mm continuum emission, as shown in Figure 13 together with the 6 cm and 2  $\mu\text{m}$  continuum. The 2  $\mu\text{m}$  continuum arises mostly from late-type giant stars; the 6 cm continuum is mainly synchrotron emission from high energy particles injected into the ISM from supernovae; and the 3 mm continuum is predominately free-free emission from H II regions, ionized by young stars. All of the distributions show an elongation of the central galactic disk, and within the disk all of



*Figure 13* The central disk of M82 with CO (5.5'' resolution) on an R-band gray scale image; the 2  $\mu\text{m}$  continuum (Telesco et al 1990);  $\text{HCO}^+$  (10'' resolution); HCN (10'' resolution); 6-cm radio continuum (0.35'' resolution, Kronberg et al 1985); and 3 mm continuum (6'' resolution). The diamond denotes the position of the 2  $\mu\text{m}$  "stellar" peak. The molecular images and the 3 mm continuum are from Carlstrom (1988).


the molecular line maps show a clear double-peaked structure. The latter feature was first discovered by Nakai et al (1987) and is generally interpreted as an edge-on torus at 200 pc radius. The total mass of gas in this structure is  $0.6\text{--}1 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ , which is 10% of the dynamical mass within the same region. The central peak in the  $2 \mu\text{m}$  continuum probably represents the nuclear star cluster with a significant enrichment by super-giant stars produced from the starburst. It is noteworthy that the distribution of nonthermal radiation shown in the 6 cm continuum shows roughly the same spatial extent as the molecular torus, but no evidence of the two peaks seen in the molecular emission. Comparison of the 3 mm continuum map with the distribution of gas seen in the CO,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HCN suggests that at present, the formation of high mass stars as probed by the distribution of free-free emission is occurring predominately to the west of the nucleus.

Based upon an excitation analysis of the HCN and  $\text{HCO}^+$  emission, Carlstrom finds that the density of the gas in the emitting regions probably exceeds  $5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , that is, a factor of 200 higher than the mean volume densities of galactic GMCs. This dense gas has a filling factor  $\lesssim 0.1\%$ . The Lyman continuum production rate required to explain the 3 mm free-free emission is  $6 \times 10^{53} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  (Carlstrom 1988), exceeding by a factor of four the Lyman continuum production rate required for the entire Milky Way (Mezger 1978). The implied rate of star formation within the molecular torus is  $\dot{M} \simeq 6\text{--}10 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  assuming a Miller-Scalo initial mass function with an upper mass cutoff of  $45 M_{\odot}$  and lower mass cutoffs of  $0.1\text{--}1 M_{\odot}$  (see Scoville & Soifer 1991). At this rate, the gas in the torus at 200 pc radius would be entirely converted into stars in  $10^7$  years (if low mass stars do indeed form) and in order to maintain the nuclear starburst, gas must flow in from further out in the galaxy. If low mass stars do not form, the starburst can be sustained for a considerably longer time.

## 9.2 NGC 1068

NGC 1068 is the nearest galaxy with both a Seyfert II active nucleus and a high rate of star formation in its inner disk. Half of the total luminosity ( $2 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ ) originates from an extended disk of young stars at radii  $< 1.5 \text{ kpc}$  (Telesco & Harper 1980); the remainder arises in a source  $< 0.5''$  in size, centered on the Seyfert nucleus. This galaxy has been the focus of numerous molecular line studies (see Table 1), with the highest resolution corresponding to 260 pc.

In Figure 14, the integrated CO emission at  $2.9''$  resolution is shown superposed on a U-band optical image (Planesas et al 1991). Two spiral arms of molecular gas are seen encircling the nucleus at a radius of approximately 1.5 kpc, with many distinct emission complexes of size up to 500 pc and masses up to  $7 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ . The arms, containing a total  $\text{H}_2$



**Figure 14** Map of the integrated CO emission in NGC 1068 overlaid on a U-band image of the inner region of the galaxy (Planesas et al 1991). The major features seen in CO are the inner spiral arms ( $\sim 5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ ) of molecular gas at  $\sim 15''$  radius (1.5 kpc), which originate from the ends of the central stellar bar and a compact source ( $\sim 3''$ ) coincident with the Seyfert 2 nucleus.

mass of  $5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ , originate at the outer ends of a stellar bar seen in the near infrared (Scoville et al 1988, Thronson et al 1989c). The kinematics of the molecular ring can be fit by circular rotation if the position angle of the major axis is  $90^{\circ}$  or by rotation plus expansion if the major axis position angle is taken as  $40^{\circ}$  (Planesas et al 1991).

In Figure 14, an emission peak may also be seen coincident with the Seyfert nucleus. Although approximately half of this emission is radio continuum from the central non-thermal source, the remainder is probably CO emission. The total mass of  $H_2$  in the nuclear source at a radii  $< 130$  pc is approximately  $8 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ . The dust associated with this gas may be responsible for obscuring the direct line of sight to the Seyfert I nucleus hypothesized on the basis of optical spectropolarimetry (Antonucci & Miller 1985).

### 9.3 Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies

Perhaps most dramatic in starburst activity are the ultraluminous ( $L_{IR} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ ) galaxies highlighted by the *IRAS* survey. At the highest

luminosities, one sees a preponderance of galaxies with double nuclei and/or extended tidal tails indicative of galactic interactions or the merging of two galaxies (Sanders et al 1988c). The optical spectra of the ultra-luminous galaxies are dominated by emission line ratios characteristic of a narrow line AGN or Seyfert nucleus rather than the thermal, H II region, line ratios seen in lower luminosity galaxies. This qualitative assessment of the optical data strongly suggests that the highest luminosities are initiated by galactic collisions, and the energy is supplied probably by the combination of an extremely energetic starburst and an embedded, nonthermal AGN.

Virtually all of the luminous *IRAS* galaxies have been shown to be extremely rich in interstellar gas, predominantly molecular hydrogen (see Figure 9). This gas is also highly concentrated in the nuclei of the galaxies. Over the last four years, aperture synthesis maps have been made of the CO emission in approximately 25 of the luminous and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (Scoville et al 1986b, 1989, 1991; Sargent et al 1987, Sargent & Scoville 1991, Sanders et al 1988a, Meixner et al 1990, Ishizuki et al 1990). The results for 18 of these systems are summarized in Scoville et al (1991). In most cases the size of the CO-emitting region is  $\lesssim 1$  kpc in radius, and the masses of molecular gas in the nuclear sources are  $10^9$ – $4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  (assuming the Galactic CO-to-H<sub>2</sub> conversion factor). The galaxies span the luminosity range  $2 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  to  $3 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$  (for  $\lambda = 8$ – $1000 \mu\text{m}$ ), and the global infrared luminosity-to-total-molecular mass ratios range from  $4 L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$ , like the Milky Way, to  $200 L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$  for Mrk 231.

Scoville et al (1991) computed the gas mass fraction ( $M_{\text{H}_2}/M_{\text{dyn}}$ ) for those galaxies in which the nuclear mass concentration is resolved and for which the velocity dispersion can be estimated from the CO line-width. For the six cases in which this ratio has been evaluated, the interstellar gas constitutes a significant fraction of the total mass in the nucleus. This is consistent with numerical simulations of merging galaxies (Hernquist 1989) including a gas component. The simulations show that the gas sinks to the center of the merged system more readily than the stellar component because gas is much more dissipative than the stars.

Virtually all of the very luminous infrared galaxies show evidence of a significant galactic interaction (cf. Sanders 1990). The interstellar matter can play a central role in the dynamics of a galactic interaction, since the gas is dissipative and hence responds irreversibly to the perturbation, sinking toward the center of the potential well. The H<sub>2</sub> masses for the luminous infrared galaxies are large but, in most cases, not more than would be found in two galaxies such as M51 or M83 ( $M_{\text{H}_2} \sim (1\text{--}3) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ ). In most cases, it is therefore plausible that the luminous infra-

red galaxies result from the merging of two gas-rich spiral galaxies, and that the disturbed dynamics in the merging system results in dissipation of kinetic energy (and outward transport of angular momentum) in the ISM, thus leading to the deposition of a significant fraction of the original interstellar matter in the central region of the system. There, binary processes such as cloud-cloud collisions or stimulated star formation can enhance the overall efficiency and rate of conversion of interstellar gas into young stars.

Arp 220, the prototype ultraluminous infrared galaxy, has an infrared luminosity at  $\lambda = 8\text{--}1000\ \mu\text{m}$  of  $1.5 \times 10^{12}\ L_{\odot}$ , exceeding that in the visual by nearly 2 orders of magnitude and placing it in the luminosity regime of quasars. Single dish measurements show the CO emission extending over a velocity range of  $900\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ , and the derived  $\text{H}_2$  mass is  $3.5 \times 10^{10}\ M_{\odot}$ , approximately a factor of 15 greater than that of the Galaxy (Solomon et al 1990). At optical wavelengths, the galaxy appears approximately spherical with a central dust lane and tidal tails, both characteristics of galactic merging, extending up to 70 kpc away (Sanders et al 1988c). The CO emission, observed at  $2''$  resolution (Scoville et al 1991), can be separated into two components: a core  $1.4 \times 1.9''$  and an extended component  $7 \times 15''$  containing 2/3 and 1/3 of the flux, respectively. For the adopted distance of 77 Mpc (for  $H_0 = 75\ \text{km s}^{-1}\ \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ) the  $\text{H}_2$  masses in the core and extended components are  $1.8 \times 10^{10}\ M_{\odot}$  and  $9 \times 10^9\ M_{\odot}$ , respectively. The mean diameter of the core component ( $\sim 1.7''$ ) corresponds to a radius of 315 pc, and the  $\text{H}_2$  density, smoothed out over the volume, is  $2900\ \text{cm}^{-3}$ . Solomon et al (1990) deduced a higher density ( $10^4\text{--}10^5\ \text{cm}^{-3}$ ) based on CS measurements. Within the core source of radius 315 pc, the dynamical mass is  $\geq 2.5 \times 10^{10}\ M_{\odot}$ , i.e. almost precisely equal to the total gas mass ( $\text{H}_2 + \text{He}$ ) derived from the CO line flux for the core component.

#### 9.4 Quasars

Many of the properties of the ultraluminous galaxies (luminosity, non-thermal optical emission line ratios, and spectral energy distributions) are similar to those of previously identified optical quasars. The detection of CO emission in Mrk 231 (Sanders et al 1987) stimulated a search for CO emission in normal optical quasars, since Boksenburg et al (1977) had speculated on the basis of the optical emission lines that this galaxy might harbor a dust-embedded quasar. Most spectacular are the detections of CO emission in the optical quasars IZw1 and Mrk 1014 (Barvainis et al 1989, Sanders et al 1988b), the latter at a redshift of  $47,000\ \text{km s}^{-1}$  ( $z = 0.17$ ). These detections are not just impressive in their own right, but also potentially of great importance to our understanding of the

evolutionary circumstances that lead to AGNs and quasars. Recently, Alloin et al (1991) have reported the detection of CO in the quasar E1821 + 643 at a redshift of 0.30. If this detection is confirmed, the measured CO luminosity indicates a total  $H_2$  content exceeding  $10^{11} M_\odot$ .

Scenarios elucidating a sequence of events whereby gas-rich galactic mergers promote the evolution of a nuclear starburst cluster to form a central AGN have been put forward by David et al (1987), Norman & Scoville (1988), and Hernquist (1989). In these models, the standard optical quasar represents a phase subsequent to the gas-rich nuclear starburst. In the early evolution of such mergers, the bulk of the luminosity is emitted in the far infrared due to the large abundance of interstellar dust; however, the high luminosity generated by the nuclear starburst and by accretion onto the AGN will eventually disperse the interstellar gas and dust, leaving a normal optical quasar.

## 10. CONCLUSIONS

The explosive growth of molecular line observations (primarily CO) in galaxies over the last decade have provided a detailed characterization of the dense interstellar medium and its relationship to star formation in galaxies. The total  $H_2$  content of approximately 400 galaxies has now been determined, and characterizations of the  $H_2$ ,  $H_2/HI$ ,  $L_*/H_2$ , and gas mass fraction as a function of morphological type, galactic luminosity, and environment are becoming well established. In addition, high resolution studies in recent years have at last provided the spatial resolution to define the role of molecular gas in spiral structure and starburst galactic nuclei.

Major advances are anticipated for the next decade from radically improved instrumental capabilities. The very large aperture single dish telescope will enable detection of CO emission from gas-rich galaxies out to  $z \sim 1$  and perhaps enable detection of true protogalactic objects. The greatly increased speed and sensitivity expected for millimeter-wave aperture synthesis arrays should provide a similar explosive increase in high resolution imaging of galaxies. The order of magnitude increase in speed expected for focal plane arrays in conjunction with single dish telescopes will provide the unique capability of imaging large, nearby galaxies. Lastly, observations at submillimeter wavelengths will enable more detailed analysis of the physical conditions in the molecular gas from multitransition studies and high resolution observations of the far infrared continuum.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the extensive work of Leona Kershaw in preparation of this manuscript. The authors wish to thank Drs. Nick



Devereux and Dave Sanders for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by NSF Grants AST 88-15406 (J. Y.) and AST 87-14405 (N. S.). J. Y. also wishes to thank the JCMT and University of Hawaii for generous support during the completion of this manuscript.

### Literature Cited

- Adler, D., Liszt, H. 1989. *Ap. J.* 339: 836
- Allen, L., Young, J. S. 1989. In *2nd Teton Conf. on ISM in Galaxies*, ed. H. Thronson, M. Shull. Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Allen, R. J., Atherton, P. D., Tilanus, R. P. J. 1986. *Nature* 319: 296
- Alloin, D., Barvainis, R., Antonucci, R., Gordon, M. 1991. *Astr. Ap.* In press
- Antonucci, R., Miller, J. 1985. *Ap. J.* 297: 621
- Arnault, P., Casoli, F., Combes, F., Kunth, F. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 205: 41
- Ball, R., Sargent, A., Scoville, N., Lo, F., Scott, S. 1985. *Ap. J. Lett.* 298: L21
- Barvainis, R., Alloin, D., Antonucci, R. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 337: L69
- Becker, R. 1990. PhD thesis, Univ. Bonn
- Becker, R., Schilke, P., Henkel, C. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 211: L19
- Bieging, J., Blitz, L., Lada, C., Stark, A. 1981. *Ap. J.* 247: 443
- Bloemen, J. B. G. M., Strong, A., Blitz, L., Cohen, R. S., Dame, R. S. et al. 1986. *Astr. Ap.* 154: 25
- Boksenberg, A., Carsuck, R. F., Allen, D. A., Fosbury, R. A. E., Penston, M. V., Sargent, W. L. W. 1977. *MNRAS*, 178: 451
- Boulanger, F., Bystedt, J., Casoli, F., Combes, F. 1984. *Astr. Ap.* 140: L5
- Brand, J., Aouterloot, J., Becker, R., Stripe, G. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 211: 315
- Brinks, E. 1985. PhD thesis, Sterrewacht Leiden
- Brouillet, N., Baudry, A., Combes, F., Kaufman, M., Bash, F. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* In press
- Bushouse, H. 1986. PhD thesis, Univ. Illinois
- Canzian, B. 1990. PhD thesis, Calif. Inst. Technol.
- Canzian, B., Mundy, L., Scoville, N. 1988. *Ap. J.* 33: 157
- Carlstrom, J. 1988. PhD thesis, Univ. Calif., Berkeley
- Casoli, F., Combes, F., Dupraz, C., Gerin, M., Encrenaz, P., Salez, M. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 192: L17
- Casoli, F., Clausset, F., Viallefond, F., Combes, F., Boulanger, F. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 233: 357
- Casoli, F., Combes, F. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 198: 43
- Casoli, F., Combes, F., Augarde, R., Fijon, P., Martin, J. M. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 224: 31
- Cohen, R. S., Dame, T., Garay, G., Montani, J., Rubio, M., Thaddeus, P. 1988. *Ap. J. Lett.* 331: L95
- Combes, F., Dupraz, C., Casoli, F., Pagani, L. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 203: L9
- Combes, F., Encrenaz, P. J., Lucas, R., Weliachew, L. 1977a. *Astr. Ap.* 55: 311
- Combes, F., Encrenaz, P. J., Lucas, R., Weliachew, L. 1977b. *Astr. Ap.* 61: L7
- Combes, F., Encrenaz, P. J., Lucas, R., Weliachew, L. 1978. *Astr. Ap.* 63: L13
- Combes, F., Gerin, M. 1985. *Astr. Ap.* 150: 327
- David, L. P., Durisen, R. H., Cohn, H. 1987. *Ap. J.* 313: 556
- Dettmar, R. J., Heithausen, A. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 344: L61
- Devereux, N., Young, J. S. 1990a. *Ap. J. Lett.* 350: L25
- Devereux, N., Young, J. S. 1990b. *Ap. J.* 359: 42
- Devereux, N., Young, J. S. 1991. *Ap. J.* (April 20). In press
- Devereux, N., Young, J., Kenney, J. 1991. *Astron. J.* Submitted
- Dickman, R. L., Snell, R., Schloerb, F. P. 1986. *Ap. J.* 309: 326
- Dopita, M. A. 1985. *Ap. J. Lett.* 295: L5
- Draine, B. T., Lee, H. M. 1984. *Ap. J.* 285: 89
- Elmegreen, B. G. 1989. *Ap. J.* 338: 178
- Elmegreen, B., Elmegreen, D. 1982. *Astron. J.* 87: 626
- Elmegreen, B. G., Elmegreen, D. M., Morris, M. 1980. *Ap. J.* 240: 455
- Encrenaz, P. J., Stark, A. A., Combes, F., Wilson, R. W. 1979. *Astr. Ap.* 78: L1
- Frerking, M., Langer, W., Wilson, R. 1982. *Ap. J.* 262: 590
- Gallagher, J. S., Hunter, D. A., Tutukov, A. V. 1984. *Ap. J.* 284: 544
- Garcia-Burillo, S., Guelin, M. 1990. In *Dynamics of Galaxies and Molecular Cloud Distribution*, ed. F. Combes, F. Casoli. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Garman, L., Young, J. S. 1986. *Astr. Ap.* 154: 8
- Gerin, M., Nakai, N., Combes, F. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 203: 44

- Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P. 1983. *Astron. J.* 88: 881
- Gordon, M. 1991. *Ap. J.* (April 20). In press
- Guelin, M., Garcia-Burillo, S., Blundell, R., Cernicharo, J., Despois, D., Steppe, H. 1988. *Highlights Astron.* Vol. 8
- Gusten, R., Mezger, P. 1982. *Vistas Astron.* 26: 159
- Handa, T., Nakai, N., Sofue, Y., Hayashi, M., Fujimoto, M. 1990. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 42: 1
- Hayashi, M., Handa, T., Sofue, Y., Hasegawa, T., Nakai, N., Lord, S. D., Young, J. S. 1987. In *IAU Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Heckman, T., Beckwith, S., Blitz, L., Skrutskie, M., Wilson, A. 1986. *Ap. J.* 305: 157
- Heckman, T., Blitz, L., Wilson, A., Armus, L., Miley, G. 1989. *Ap. J.* 342: 735
- Hernquist, L. 1989. *Nature* 340: 687
- Hildebrand, R. H. 1983. *Q. J. R. Astron. Soc.* 24: 267
- Ho, P. T. P., Turner, J. L., Martin, R. N. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 322: L67
- Huchtmeier, W., Bregman, J., Hogg, D., Roberts, M. S. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 198: L17
- Huchtmeier, W., Richter, O.-G., Bohnenstengel, H.-D., Hauschildt, M. 1983. In *A General Catalog of H I Observations of External Galaxies*. ESO Preprint No. 250
- Hunter, D. A., Thronson, H., Casey, S., Harper, D. 1989. *Ap. J.* 341: 697
- Hurt, R., Turner, J. L. 1991. *Ap. J.* In press
- Ichikawa, T., Nakano, M., Tanaka, Y. D. 1987. In *IAU Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Ishiguro, M., Kawabe, R., Morita, K.-I., Okumura, S. K., Chikada, Y., et al 1989. *Ap. J.* 344: 763
- Ishizuki, S., Kawabe, R., Ishiguro, M., Okumura, S. K., Morita, K.-I., et al 1990. *Nature* 344: 224
- Ishizuki, S., Kawabe, R., Ishiguro, M., Okumura, S. K., Morita, K.-I., et al 1990b. *Ap. J.* 355: 436
- Israel, F., DeGraauw, T., Van de Stadt, H., DeVries, C. 1986. *Ap. J.* 303: 186
- Israel, F., van Dishoeck, E. F., Baas, F., Koornneff, J., Black, J. H., de Graauw, T. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 227: 342
- Jackson, J., Ho, P. T. P. 1988. *Ap. J. Lett.* 324: L5
- Jackson, J., Snell, R., Ho, P., Barrett, A. 1989. *Ap. J.* 337: 680
- Johansson, L., Booth, R. 1987. In *IAU Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Kaneko, N., Morita, K., Fukui, Y., Sugitani, K., Iwata, T., et al 1989. *Ap. J.* 337: 691
- Kawara, K., Taniguchi, Y., Nakai, N., Sofue, Y. 1990. *Ap. J. Lett.* 365: L1
- Kenney, J. 1987. PhD thesis, Univ. Massachusetts, Amherst
- Kenney, J., Scoville, N., Wilson, C. 1991. *Ap. J.* In press
- Kenney, J., Lord, S. 1991. *Ap. J.* Submitted
- Kenney, J., Young, J. 1986. *Ap. J. Lett.* 301: L13
- Kenney, J., Young, J. 1988a. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 66: 261
- Kenney, J., Young, J. 1988b. *Ap. J.* 326: 588
- Kenney, J., Young, J. 1989. *Ap. J.* 344: 171
- Kenney, J., Young, J., Hasegawa, T., Nakai, N. 1990. *Ap. J.* 353: 460
- Kennicutt, R. C. Jr., Kent, S. M. 1983. *Astron. J.* 88: 1094
- Kennicutt, R. C. Jr., Keel, W., van der Hulst, J. M., Hummel, E., Roettiger, K. 1987. *Astron. J.* 93: 1011
- Knapp, G. R., Phillips, T. G., Huggins, P. J., Leighton, R. B., Wannier, P. 1980. *Ap. J.* 240: 60
- Knezek, P., Young, J. S. 1989. In *2nd Teton Conf. on Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. Thronson, M. Shull. Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Krause, M., Cox, P., Garcia-Barreto, J. A., Downes, D. 1990. In *IAU Symp. No. 146, Dynamics of Galaxies and Molecular Cloud Distribution*, ed. F. Combes, F. Casoli. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Kronberg, P. P., Biermann, P., Schwab, F. R. 1985. *Ap. J.* 291: 693
- Krugel, E., Steppe, H., Chini, R. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 229: 17
- Kutner, M. L., Ulich, B. L. 1981. *Ap. J.* 250: 341
- Lada, C. J., Margulis, M., Sofue, Y., Nakai, N., Handa, T. 1988. *Ap. J.* 328: 143
- Larson, R. 1987. In *Galactic and Extragalactic Star Formation*, ed. M. Fich, R. Pudritz
- Larson, R., Tinsley, B. 1978. *Ap. J.* 219: 46
- Lazareff, B., Castets, A., Kim, D., Jura, M. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 336: L134
- Lo, K. Y., Berge, G., Claussen, M., Heiligman, G., Leighton, C., et al 1984. *Ap. J. Lett.* 282: L59
- Lo, K. Y., Ball, R., Masson, C., Phillips, T., Scott, S., Woody, D. 1987a. *Ap. J.* 317: L63
- Lo, K. Y., Cheung, K., Masson, C., Phillips, T., Scoville, N., Woody, D. 1987b. In *IAU Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Loiseau, N., Reuter, H.-P., Wielebinski, R., Klein, U. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 200: L1
- Lonsdale, C. J., Helou, G., Good, J. C., Rice, W. L. 1985. *Catalogued Galaxies and Quasars Observed in the IRAS Survey*. Washington, D.C.: US GPO

- Lonsdale, C., Persson, E., Matthews, K. 1984. *Ap. J.* 287: 95
- Lord, S. D. 1987. PhD thesis, Univ. Mass.
- Lord, S. D., Young, J. S. 1990. *Ap. J.* 356: 135
- Maloney, P., Black, J. 1988. *Ap. J.* 325: 389
- Mauersberger, R., Schulz, A., Baars, J. W. M., Steppe, H. 1990. In *IAU Symp. No. 146, Dynamics of Galaxies and Molecular Cloud Distribution*, ed. F. Combes, F. Casoli. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Meixner, M., Puchalsky, R., Blitz, L., Wright, M., Heckman, T. 1990. *Ap. J.* 354: 158
- Mezger, P. G. 1978. *Astr. Ap.* 70: 565
- Mihalas, D., Binney, J. 1981. *Galactic Astronomy*. New York: W. H. Freeman
- Mirabel, F., Booth, R., Garay, G., Johansson, L., Sanders, D. 1988b. *Astr. Ap.* 206: L20
- Mirabel, F., Kazes, I., Sanders, D. 1988a. *Ap. J. Lett.* 324: L39
- Mirabel, F., Sanders, D. B. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 340: L53
- Morris, M., Lo, K. Y. 1978. *Ap. J.* 223: 803
- Morris, M., Rickard, L. J. 1982. *Annu. Rev. Astr. Astrophys.* 20: 517
- Myers, S. T., Scoville, N. Z. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 312: L39
- Nakai, N., Hayashi, M., Handa, T., Sofue, Y., Hasegawa, T., Sasaki, M. 1987. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 39: 685
- Nakai, N., et al. 1989
- Noguchi, M., Ishibashi, S. 1986. *MNRAS* 219: 305
- Norman, C. A., Scoville, N. Z. 1988. *Ap. J.* 332: 124
- Ohta, K., Sasaki, W., Saito, M. 1986. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 38: 677
- Ohta, K., Sasaki, W., Saito, M. 1988. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 40: 653
- Olofsson, H., Rydbeck, G. 1984. *Astr. Ap.* 136: 17
- Olsen, K., Kwan, J. 1990. *Ap. J.* 361: 426
- Pagel, B. E. J., Edmunds, M. G. 1981. *Annu. Rev. Astr. Astrophys.* 19: 77
- Phillips, T. G., Ellison, B. N., Keene, J. B., Leighton, R. B., Howard, R. J., et al. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 322: L73
- Phillips, J. P., Mampaso, A. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 218: 24
- Planesas, P., Gomez-Gonzales, J., Martin-Pintado, J. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 216: 1
- Planesas, P., Scoville, N. Z., Myers, S. T. 1991. *Ap. J.* 369: 364
- Rand, R., Kulkarni, S. 1990. *Ap. J. Lett.* 349: L43
- Rand, R., Tilanus, R. P. J. 1990. In *The Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. A. Thronson, J. M. Shull, p. 525. Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Rengarajan, T. N., Verma, R. P. 1986. *Astr. Ap.* 165: 300
- Richmond, M. W., Knapp, G. R. 1986. *Astron. J.* 91: 517
- Rickard, L., Palmer, P., Morris, M., Zuckerman, B., Turner, B. 1975. *Ap. J. Lett.* 199: L75
- Rickard, L. J., Blitz, L. 1985. *Ap. J. Lett.* 292: L57
- Rickard, L. J., Harvey, P. M. 1984. *Ap. J. Lett.* 268: L7
- Rickard, L. J., Palmer, P. 1981. *Astr. Ap.* 102: L13
- Rickard, L., Turner, B., Palmer, P. 1977. *Ap. J. Lett.* 218: L51
- Roberts, M. S. 1969. *Astron. J.* 74: 859
- Roberts, W. W. Jr., Huntley, J. M., van Albada, G. D. 1979. *Ap. J.* 233: 67
- Rots, A. H., Bosma, A., van der Hulst, J. M., Athansoula, E., Crane, P. C. 1990. *Astron. J.* 100: 387
- Rowan-Robinson, M., Phillips, T., White, G. 1980. *Astr. Ap.* 82: 381
- Rubin, V. C., Burstein, D., Ford, W. K., Thonnard, N. 1985. *Ap. J.* 289: 81
- Rubin, V. C., Ford, W. K. Jr., D'Odrico, S. 1970. *Ap. J.* 160: 801
- Rubio, M., Garay, G., Montani, J., Thaddeus, P. 1991. *Ap. J.* In press
- Rydbeck, G., Hjalmarson, A., Rydbeck, O. E. H. 1985. *Astr. Ap.* 144: 282
- Ryden, B., Stark, A. A. 1986. *Ap. J.* 305: 823
- Sage, L. 1989. *Ap. J.* 344: 200
- Sage, L., Solomon, P. M. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 321: L103
- Sage, L., Solomon, P. M. 1989. *Ap. J.* 342: L15
- Sage, L., Wrobel, J. 1989. *Ap. J.* 344: 204
- Sandage, A. 1986. *Astr. Ap.* 161: 89
- Sandage, A., Tammann, G. A. 1981. *The Revised Shapley-Ames Catalog*. Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Inst. RSA
- Sanders, D. B. 1990. In *IAU Symp. No. 146, Dynamics of Galaxies and Molecular Clouds Distribution*, ed. F. Combes, F. Casoli. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Sanders, D. B., Mirabel, I. F. 1985. *Ap. J.* 298: L31
- Sanders, D., Scoville, N. Z., Sargent, A. I., Soifer, B. T. 1988a. *Ap. J. Lett.* 324: L55
- Sanders, D., Scoville, N., Soifer, B. T. 1988b. *Ap. J.* 335: L1
- Sanders, D. B., Scoville, N. Z., Soifer, B. T. 1991. *Ap. J.* In press
- Sanders, D., Scoville, N., Young, J., Soifer, T., Danielson, G. 1986. *Ap. J. Lett.* 305: L45
- Sanders, D. B., Scoville, N. Z., Zensus, A., Soifer, B. T., Wilson, T. L., et al. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 213: L5
- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., Madore, B. J., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., Scoville, N. Z. 1988c. *Ap. J.* 325: 74

- Sanders, D. B., Solomon, P. M., Scoville, N. Z. 1984. *Ap. J.* 276: 182
- Sanders, D. B., Young, J., Scoville, N., Soifer, T., Danielson, G. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 312: L5
- Sanders, R. H., Huntley, J. M. 1976. *Ap. J.* 209: 53
- Sandquist, A., Elfhag, T., Jorsater, S. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 201: 223
- Sandquist, A. A., Elfhag, T., Lindblad, P. O. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 218: 39
- Sargent, A. I., Sanders, D. B., Phillips, T. G. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 346: L9
- Sargent, A. I., Sanders, D. B., Scoville, N. Z., Soifer, B. T. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 312: L35
- Sargent, A. I., Scoville, N. Z. 1991. *Ap. J. Lett.* 366: L1
- Sasaki, M., Ohta, K., Saito, M. 1990. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 42: 361
- Scalo, J. 1987. In *Galactic and Extragalactic Star Formation*, ed. M. Fich, R. Pudritz
- Scoville, N. Z., Good, J. 1989. *Ap. J.* 339: 149
- Scoville, N., Matthews, K., Carico, D., Sanders, D. 1988. *Ap. J. Lett.* 327: L61
- Scoville, N. Z., Sanders, D. B. 1987. In *Interstellar Processes*, ed. D. Hollenbach, H. Thronson, p. 21. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Scoville, N. Z., Sanders, D. B., Clemens, D. 1986a. *Ap. J. Lett.* 310: L77
- Scoville, N. Z., Sanders, D., Sargent, A., Soifer, T., Scott, S., Lo, K. Y. 1986b. *Ap. J.* 311: L47
- Scoville, N. Z., Sargent, A. I., Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T. 1991. *Ap. J. Lett.* 366: L5
- Scoville, N., Sanders, D., Sargent, A., Soifer, T., Tinney, C. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 345: L25
- Scoville, N. Z., Soifer, B. T. 1991. In *Massive Stars and Starburst*, ed. K. Leithercr. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Scoville, N. Z., Soifer, B. T., Neugebauer, G., Young, J. S., Matthews, K., Yerka, J. 1985. *Ap. J.* 289: 129
- Scoville, N. Z., Young, J. S. 1983. *Ap. J.* 265: 148
- Scoville, N. Z., Young, J. S., Lucy, L. B. 1983. *Ap. J.* 270: 443
- Scoville, N., Yun, M., Clemens, D., Sanders, D., Waller, W. 1987. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 63: 821
- Searle, L., Sargent, W. L. W., Bagnuolo, W. 1973. *Ap. J.* 179: 427
- Shaya, E., Federman, S. 1987. *Ap. J.* 319: 76
- Silk, J. 1987. In *Galactic and Extragalactic Star Formation*, ed. M. Fich, R. Pudritz, Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Sofue, Y. 1988. In *Molecular Clouds in the Milky Way and External Galaxies*, ed. R. Dickman, R. Snell, J. Young. Berlin: Springer-Verlag
- Sofue, Y., Doi, M., Ishizuki, N., Nakai, N., Handa, T. 1988. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 40: 511
- Sofue, Y., Doi, M., Krause, M., Nakai, N., Handa, T. 1989a. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 41: 113
- Sofue, Y., Hasegawa, T., Nakai, N., Handa, T., Hayashi, M. 1986. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 38: 161
- Sofue, Y., Handa, T., Nakai, N. 1989b. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 41: 937
- Sofue, Y., Nakai, N., Handa, T. 1987. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 39: 47
- Sofue, Y., Taniguchi, Y., Handa, T., Wakamatsu, K., Nakai, N., et al. 1990. *Proc. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* 42: L45
- Solomon, P. M. 1982. In *Greenbank Workshop on Extragalactic Molecules*, ed. L. Blitz
- Solomon, P. M., Barrett, J., Sanders, D. B., de Zafra, R. 1983. *Ap. J. Lett.* 266: L103
- Solomon, P. M., de Zafra, R. 1975. *Ap. J. Lett.* 199: L79
- Solomon, P. M., Radford, S. J. E., Downes, D. 1990. *Ap. J. Lett.* 348: L53
- Solomon, P. M., Sage, L. 1988. *Ap. J.* 334: 613
- Solomon, P. M., Rivolo, R., Barrett, J., Yahil, A. 1987. *Ap. J.* 319: 730
- Solomon, P. M., Sanders, D. B., Rivolo, A. R. 1985. *Ap. J. Lett.* 292: L19
- Solomon, P. M., Scoville, N. Z., Sanders, D. B. 1979. *Ap. J. Lett.* 232: L89
- Spitzer, L. 1978. In *Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium*, p. 162. New York: Wiley-Interscience
- Stanford, S. A., Sargent, A. I., Sanders, D. B., Scoville, N. Z. 1990. *Ap. J.* 349: 492
- Stark, A. A. 1979. PhD thesis, Princeton Univ.
- Stark, A. A. 1983. In *Kinematics, Dynamics, and Structure of the Milky Way*, ed. W. Shuter, p. 127. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Stark, A. A., Carlson, E. R. 1984. *Ap. J.* 279: 122
- Stark, A., Elmegreen, B., Chance, D. 1987. *Ap. J.* 322: 64
- Stark, A. A., Knapp, G. R., Bally, J., Wilson, R. W., Penzias, A. A., Rowe, H. 1986. *Ap. J.* 310: 660
- Sutton, E., Masson, C., Phillips, T. G. 1983. *Ap. J.* 275: L49
- Tacconi, L. 1987. PhD thesis, Univ. Mass.
- Tacconi, L., Young, J. S. 1985. *Ap. J.* 290: 602
- Tacconi, L., Young, J. S. 1986. *Ap. J.* 308: 600
- Tacconi, L., Young, J. S. 1987. *Ap. J.* 322: 681
- Tacconi, L., Young, J. S. 1989. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 71: 455
- Tacconi, L., Young, J. S. 1990. *Ap. J.* 352: 595

- Taniguchi, Y., Kameya, O., Nakai, N., Kawara, K. 1990a. *Ap. J.* 358: 132
- Taniguchi, Y., Sofue, Y., Wakaimatsu, K., Nakai, N. 1990b. *Ap. J.* 100: 1086
- Telesco, C. M., Campins, H., Joy, M., Dietz, K., Decher, R. 1990. *Ap. J.* Submitted
- Telesco, C. M., Harper, D. A. 1980. *Ap. J.* 235: 392
- Thronson, H., Tacconi, L., Kenney, J., Greenhouse, M., Margulis, M., et al. 1989b. *Ap. J.* 344: 747
- Thronson, H., Hereld, M., Majewski, S., Greenhouse, M., Johnson, P., et al. 1989c. *Ap. J.* 343: 158
- Thronson, H., Hunter, D., Casey, S., Latter, W., Harper, D. 1989a. *Ap. J.* 339: 803
- Thronson, H., Hunter, D. A., Telesco, C. M., Greenhouse, M., Harper, D. A. 1988. *Ap. J.* 344: 605
- Thronson, H., Hunter, D. A., Telesco, C. M., Harper, D. A., Decher, R. 1987. *Ap. J.* 317: 180
- Tilanus, R. P. J., Tacconi, L. J., Zhu, S., Sanders, D. B., Sutton, E. C., et al. 1991. *Ap. J. Lett.* In press
- Tinney, C. G., Scoville, N. Z., Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T. 1990. *Ap. J.* 362: 473
- Toomre, A., Toomre, J. 1972. *Ap. J.* 178: 623
- Turner, J., Martin, B., Ho, P. T. P. 1990. *Ap. J.* 351: 418
- van Driel, W. 1987. PhD thesis, Univ. Groningen
- van Gorkom, J., Kotanyi, C. 1985. In *ESO Workshop on the Virgo Cluster of Galaxies*, ed. O.-G. Righter, B. Binggeli, p. 61. Garching: ESO
- Verter, F. 1983. PhD thesis, Princeton Univ.
- Verter, F. 1987. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 64: 555
- Verter, F. 1988. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 68: 129
- Vogel, S., Boulanger, F., Ball, R. 1987. *Ap. J. Lett.* 316: 243
- Vogel, S., Kulkarni, S., Scoville, N. 1988. *Nature* 334: 402
- Wall, W. F., Jaffe, D. T. 1990. *Ap. J. Lett.* 361: L45
- Wang, Z. 1990. *Ap. J.* 360: 529
- Warmels, R. H. 1986. PhD thesis, Groningen
- Weliachew, L., Casoli, F., Combes, F. 1988. *Astr. Ap.* 199: 353
- Wevers, B., vander Kruit, P., Allen, R. 1986. *Astr. Ap. Suppl.* 55: 505
- Whiteoak, J. B., Dahlem, M., Wielebinski, R., Harrett, J. I. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 231: 25
- Wiklind, T., Henkel, C. 1989. *Astr. Ap.* 225: 1
- Wiklind, T., Henkel, C. 1990. *Astr. Ap.* 227: 394
- Wiklind, T., Rydbeck, G., Hjalmarson, A., Bergman, P. 1990. *Astron. Astrophys.* 232: L11
- Wiklind, T., Rydbeck, G., Hjalmarson, A., Rydbeck, O. 1987. In *IAU Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Wild, W., Eckart, A., Genzel, R., Harris, A., Jackson, J., et al. 1989. In *2nd Teton Conf. on Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. Thronson, M. Shull, Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Wilson, C., Reid, M. 1991. *Ap. J. Lett.* In press
- Wilson, C., Scoville, N. 1989. *Ap. J.* 347: 743
- Wilson, C., Scoville, N. Z. 1990. *Ap. J.* In press
- Wilson, T., Fricke, K., Biermann, P. 1979. *Astr. Ap.* 79: 245
- Wyse, R. 1986. *Ap. J. Lett.* 311: L41
- Wyse, R., Silk, J. 1989. *Ap. J.* 339: 700
- Young, J. S. 1987. In *IUA Symp. No. 115, Star Forming Regions*, ed. M. Peimbert, J. Jugaku. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Young, J. S. 1990. In *The Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. Thronson, M. Shull. Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Young, J. S., Claussen, M., Scoville, N. 1988a. *Ap. J.* 324: 115
- Young, J. S., Devereux, N. A. 1991. *Ap. J.* In press
- Young, J. S., Gallagher, J. S., Hunter, D. A. 1984a. *Ap. J.* 276: 476
- Young, J. S., Kenney, J., Lord, S., Schloerb, F. P. 1984b. *Ap. J. Lett.* 287: L65
- Young, J. S., Claussen, M., Kleinmann, S. G., Rubin, V., Scoville, N. 1988b. *Ap. J. Lett.* 331: L81
- Young, J. S., Knezek, P. 1989. *Ap. J. Lett.* 347: L55
- Young, J. S., Kenney, J., Tacconi, L., Claussen, M., Huang, Y.-L., et al. 1986b. *Ap. J. Lett.* 311: L17
- Young, J. S., et al. 1991. *Ap. J.* Submitted
- Young, J. S., Sanders, D. B. 1986. *Ap. J.* 302: 680
- Young, J. S., Schloerb, F. P., Kenney, J., Lord, S. D. 1986a. *Ap. J.* 304: 443
- Young, J. S., Scoville, N. Z. 1982a. *Ap. J.* 258: 467
- Young, J. S., Scoville, N. Z. 1982b. *Ap. J. Lett.* 260: L11
- Young, J. S., Scoville, N. Z. 1982c. *Ap. J. Lett.* 260: L41
- Young, J. S., Scoville, N. Z. 1984. *Ap. J.* 287: 153
- Young, J. S., Scoville, N. Z., Brady, E. 1985. *Ap. J.* 288: 487
- Young, J. S., Tacconi, L., Scoville, N. Z. 1983. *Ap. J.* 269: 136
- Young, J. S., Xie, S., Kenney, J., Rice, W. L. 1989. *Ap. J. Suppl.* 70: 699