



# COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Decision Trees (Lecture Set 5)

Rao Kotagiri

School of Computing and Information Systems
The Melbourne School of Engineering

Some of slides are derived from Prof Vipin Kumar and modified, http://www-users.cs.umn.edu/~kumar/



### Rule based classification

#### We need labelled data to build a classifier


Headache	Cough	Temperature	Sore	Diagnosis
severe	mild	high	yes	Flu
no	severe	normal	yes	Cold
mild	mild	normal	yes	Flu
mild	no	normal	no	cold
severe	severe	normal	yes	Flu




#### Rule based classification


#### One good approach is

- Construct a decision tree
- Extract one rule for each leaf node
- Example:
  - Rule1: if (headache = severe) then it is Flue
  - Rule2: if (headache = mild) and (Sore = yes) then it is Flu
  - Rule3: if (headache = mild) and (Sore = no) then it is Cold
  - Rule 4: if (headache = no) then it is Cold















Patient#	Headache	Sore	Diagnosis				
p1	severe	mild	high	yes	Flu		
P2	no	severe	normal	yes	Cold		
Р3	mild mild		normal	yes	Flu		
P4	mild	no	normal	no	cold		
р5	severe	severe	normal	yes	Flu		

#### Issues:

- How to build optimal Decision Tree?
- What does optimality mean?
- How to choose attribute values at each decision point (node)?
- How to choose number of branches at each node and attribute values for partitioning the data?
- When to stop the growth of the tree?





#### Issues:


- How to build optimal Decision Tree for a given training data set?
- •What does optimality mean (minimal number of nodes!)?
- How to choose attribute values at each decision point (node)?
- How to choose number of branches at each node and attribute values for partitioning the data?
- When to stop the growth of the tree?

Optimal construction of a Decision Tree is NP (non-deterministic polynomial) hard.

#### So we use heuristics:

- Choose an attribute to partition the data at the node such that each partition is as homogeneous (least impure) as possible. This means we would like to see most of the instances in each partition belonging to as few classes as possible and each partition should be as large as possible.
- We can stop the growth of the tree if all the leaf nodes are largely dominated by a single class (that is the leaf nodes are nearly pure).






#### **Measures of Node Impurity**


- Gini Index
- Entropy
- Misclassification error











Gain = (M0 - M12) vs (M0 - M34)Where M is some measure of impurity (discussed later).



# One Measure of Impurity: GINI Index

Gini Index for a given node t:

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_{j} [p(j | t)]^{2}$$

(Where p( i | t) is the relative frequency of class i at node t).

- Maximum value of Gini index =  $(1 1/n_c)$  when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information or most impure.
- Minimum is (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information or most pure or most homogeneous
- Examples:

C1	0
C2	6
Gini=	0.000

C1	2
C2	4
Gini=	0.444

$$1 - (0/6)^2 - (6/6)^2 = 0$$

$$1-(1/6)^2-(5/6)^2=0.278$$

$$1-(2/6)^2-(4/6)^2=0.444$$

1- 
$$(1/6)^2$$
- $(5/6)^2$  = 0.278 1-  $(2/6)^2$ - $(4/6)^2$  = 0.444 1 -  $(3/6)^2$  -  $(3/6)^2$  = 0.5

#### **COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies**

#### **GINI INDEX of Countries**

Half of world's wealth now in the hands of 1% of population (Wednesday 14 October 2015, The Guardian)








Figure 15: Japan 1970-2006



Geni Index Japan 32.11% (in 2008)

Figure 3: Chinese Income Distribution 1970-2006



China 42.06 (in 2010)

#### **COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies**

Income bracket (in 2010 adjusted dollars)	% of Population 1979	% of Population 2010
Under \$15,000	14.6%	13.7%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	11.9%	12.0%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	12.1%	10.9%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	15.4%	13.9%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	22.1%	17.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	12.4%	11.4%
\$100,000 - \$149,999	8.3%	12.1%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	2.0%	4.5%
\$200,000 and over	1.2%	3.9%
Total Households	80,776,000	118,682,000
United States' Gini on pre-tax basis	0.404	0.469



# **Examples for computing GINI**

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_{j} [p(j | t)]^{2}$$

$$P(C1) = 0/6 = 0$$
  $P(C2) = 6/6 = 1$ 

Gini = 
$$1 - P(C1)^2 - P(C2)^2 = 1 - 0 - 1 = 0$$

$$P(C1) = 1/6$$
  $P(C2) = 5/6$ 

Gini = 
$$1 - (1/6)^2 - (5/6)^2 = 0.278$$

$$P(C1) = 2/6$$
  $P(C2) = 4/6$ 

Gini = 
$$1 - (2/6)^2 - (4/6)^2 = 0.444$$



# Splitting Based on GINI

Used in CART, SLIQ, SPRINT.

When a node p is split into k partitions (children), the quality of split is computed as,

$$GINI_{split} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} GINI(i)$$

where,  $n_i = number of records at child i$ ,

n = number of records at parent node p.


If GINI(j) - GINI split (j) > delta then split the node j.



#### Binary Attributes: Computing GINI Index

# **Splits into two partitions Effect of Weighing partitions:**

Larger and Purer Partitions are sought for.



	Parent				
C1	6				
C2	6				
Gini = 0.500					

# Gini(N1)

$$= 1 - (5/7)^2 - (2/7)^2$$

= 0.408

#### Gini(N2)

$$= 1 - (1/5)^2 - (4/5)^2$$

= 0.32

	N1	N2						
C1	5	1						
C2	2	4						
Gini=0 371								

Average Gini(Children)

= 7/12 \* 0.408 +

5/12 \* 0.32

= 0.371



#### Categorical Attributes: Computing Gini Index

For each distinct value, gather counts for each class in the dataset
Use the count matrix to make decisions if the parent node has instances: 5 Family;
3 Sports and 2 Luxury its Gini Index is 0.62.

Multi-way split

	CarType								
	Family	Sports	Luxury						
C1	1	2	1						
C2	4	1	1						
Gini		0.393							

Two-way split (find best partition of values)

	CarT	уре					
	{Sports, Luxury}	{Family}					
C1	3	1					
C2	2	4					
Gini	0.400						

	CarType							
	{Sports}	{Family, Luxury}						
C1	2	2						
C2	1	5						
Gini	0.419							



Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index

Use Binary Decisions based on one value Several Choices for the splitting value

Number of possible splitting values
 Number of distinct values


Each splitting value has a count matrix associated with it


 Class counts in each of the partitions, A < v and A ≥ v

Simple method to choose best v

- For each v, scan the database to gather count matrix and compute its Gini index
- Computationally Inefficient! Repetition of work.

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes







#### Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index...

For efficient computation: for each attribute,

- Sort the attribute on values
- Linearly scan these values, each time updating the count matrix and computing Gini index at points where class label changes (at points A and B)
- Choose the split position that has the least Gini index

								A ↓	,					<b>1</b>	3   										
	Cheat	No		No		No		)	No		Yes		Ye	Yes Yes		es	No		N	lo	N	No		No	
Taxable Income																									
Sorted Values			60		70	)	7	5	85	5	90	)	9	5	10	00	12	20	12	25		220			
<b>Split Positions</b>	55		5	6	65 7		72 8		80 8		7	92		97		110		12	22	2 17		230			
		<b>\=</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>"</b>	^	<b>\=</b>	^	<b>\=</b>	^	<=	^	<=	<b>^</b>	<b>&lt;=</b>	^	<=	^	<b>&lt;=</b>	^	<b>&lt;=</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\=</b>	>		
	Yes	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	1	2	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0		
	No	0	7	1	6	2	5	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	2	6	1	7	0		
	Gini	0.4	120	0.4	100	0.3	375	0.3	343	0.4	117	0.4	100	<u>0.3</u>	<u>800</u>	0.3	343	0.3	375	0.4	100	0.4	20		



Alternative Splitting Criteria based on INFORMATION gain Entropy at a given node t:

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log p(j \mid t)$$

(Where  $p(j \mid t)$  is the relative frequency of class j at node t).

- Measures homogeneity of a node.
  - Maximum (log n<sub>c</sub>) when records are equally distributed among all classes implying high impurity
  - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying least impurity
- Entropy based computations are similar to the GINI index computations



# Examples for computing Entropy

C1	0
C2	6

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_{j} p(j \mid t) \log_2 p(j \mid t)$$

$$P(C1) = 0/6 = 0$$
  $P(C2) = 6/6 = 1$   
Entropy =  $-0 \log 0 - 1 \log 1 = -0 - 0 = 0$ 

C1	1
C2	5

P(C1) = 1/6 P(C2) = 5/6  
Entropy = 
$$-(1/6) \log_2 (1/6) - (5/6) \log_2 (1/6) = 0.65$$

$$P(C1) = 2/6$$
  $P(C2) = 4/6$   
Entropy = - (2/6)  $log_2(2/6) - (4/6) log_2(4/6) = 0.92$ 



# Splitting Based on INFO...

Information Gain: 
$$GAIN_{split} = Entropy(p) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} Entropy(i)\right)$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions; n<sub>i</sub> is number of records in partition i

- Measures Reduction in Entropy achieved because of the split. Choose the split that achieves most reduction (maximizes GAIN)
- Used in ID3 and C4.5 (Decision Tree software)
- Disadvantage: Tends to prefer splits that result in large number of partitions, each being small but pure.



# Splitting Based on INFO...

$$SplitINFO = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Gain Ratio:

$$GainRATIO_{split} = \frac{GAIN_{Split}}{SplitINFO}$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions n<sub>i</sub> is the number of records in partition i

- Adjusts Information Gain by the entropy of the partitioning (SplitINFO).
   Higher entropy partitioning (large number of small partitions) is penalized!
- Used in C4.5
- Designed to overcome the disadvantage of Information Gain



Splitting Criteria based on Classification Error

Classification error at a node t:

$$Error(t) = 1 - \max_{i} P(i \mid t)$$

Measures misclassification error made by a node.

Maximum  $(1 - 1/n_c)$  when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information

Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information



# **Examples for Computing Error**

$$Error(t) = 1 - \max_{i} P(i \mid t)$$

$$P(C1) = 0/6 = 0$$
  $P(C2) = 6/6 = 1$ 

Error = 
$$1 - \max(0, 1) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$P(C1) = 1/6$$
  $P(C2) = 5/6$ 

Error = 
$$1 - \max(1/6, 5/6) = 1 - 5/6 = 1/6$$


$$P(C1) = 2/6$$
  $P(C2) = 4/6$ 

Error = 
$$1 - \max(2/6, 4/6) = 1 - 4/6 = 1/3$$




# Comparison among Splitting Criteria

# For a 2-class problem:





#### Misclassification Error vs Gini



	Parent		
C1	7		
C2	3		
Gini = 0.42			
MissClass = 0.3			

Gini(N1)  
= 
$$1 - (3/3)^2 - (0/3)^2$$
  
= 0

Gini(N2)  
= 
$$1 - (4/7)^2 - (3/7)^2$$
  
= 0.489

MissClass(N1) = 
$$1 - (3/3) = 0$$

MissClass(N2) = 1 - 4/7 = 3/7

	N1	N2			
<b>C1</b>	3	4			
C2	0	3			
Gini = 0.342					
MissClass =0.3					

Gini(Children)

= 3/10 \* 0

+ 7/10 \* 0.489

= 0.342

Gini improves !!

MissClass = 3/10 \*0 + (7/10)\*(3/7) = 0.3

Missclassification unchanged!



#### Tree Induction

#### Issues

- Determine how to split the records
   How to specify the attribute test condition?
   How to determine the best split?
- Determine when to stop splitting

# Greedy strategy

 Choose the Attribute and Splitting test to partition the records that optimizes certain criterion. E.g. GINI index, Entropy, MissClassification Rate.



# Stopping Criteria for Tree Induction

Stop expanding a node when all the records belong to the same class. That is the node is pure. One can stop e.g. when the GINI index is close to zero.

Stop expanding a node when all the records have similar attribute values.

This means we cannot partition the data anymore even if the node is impure.


Early termination (to be discussed later)



# Decision Making using Decision Tree


Traverse the branch of the decision tree from the root node matching the corresponding attribute values of the test record and the traversal reaches the Leaf Node. Make the decision based on the Leaf Node Class distribution. E.g. label the test data as the class of highest frequency class in the Leaf Node.





Cold:0

Test data					
Patient#	Headache	Cough	Temperature	Sore	Diagnosis
рх	no	severe	high	yes	?



Cold:1

Cold:0



#### **Decision Tree Based Classification**

## Advantages:

- Inexpensive to construct
- Extremely fast at classifying unknown records
- Easy to interpret for small-sized trees
- Accuracy is comparable to other classification techniques for many simple data sets



Example: C4.5

Simple depth-first construction.

**Uses Information Gain** 

Sorts Continuous Attributes at each node.

Needs entire data to fit in memory.

Unsuitable for Large Datasets. But this problem can be addressed easily.

Needs disk based sorting.

You can download the software from:

http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~quinlan/c4.5r8.tar.gz



#### **Practical Issues of Classification**

**Underfitting and Overfitting** 

Missing Values

Costs of Classification