UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
12/900,011	10/07/2010	David W. PARKINSON	AAI-70021	6936	
45483 AUTOLIV ASI	7590 07/20/201 P, INC	1	EXAMINER		
Attn: Sally J. Br	rown ESQ		FLEMING	, FAYE M	
3350 Airport Rd OGDEN, UT 84405			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	12/900,011	PARKINSON ET A	PARKINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Faye M. Fleming	3616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this condition S from the Mailing date of this condition S J.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the pract	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2010 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing (s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing (s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) 1ail Date rmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 12/900,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quioc, et al. (2006/0043716) in view of Robbins, et al. (2010/0307775).

Quioc discloses a gas generator comprising a housing having oppositely disposed first and second ends 12a, 12b, adjacent the first end, the housing at least in part defining a first chamber 42 containing a quantity of ignition material 44 and, adjacent the second end, the housing at least in part defining a second chamber 70 containing a quantity of gas generant material 50; and an initiator 52 operatively associated with the first chamber and in reaction initiating communication with at least a portion of the quantity of ignition material, the initiator, upon actuation, to initiate reaction of at least a portion of the quantity of ignition material to form ignition products; wherein the second chamber 70 includes at least one container 22 wherein at least a portion of the quantity of gas generant material is contained. The second chamber 70 includes a plurality of the containers. At least one container 22 comprises a cylindrical tube. The

first chamber is in tablet form. The gas generant 50 material within the at least one container is in wafer form. The gas generant material within the at least one container comprises a plurality of wafers. Quioc teaches the claimed invention except for a perforated side wall.

Robbins discloses a device comprising gas generating devices having perforated side walls as shown in the drawings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the housing of Quioc to have perforated side walls to provide proper gas flow for relatively consistent gas production throughout the burning of the gas.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 13-14 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye M. Fleming whose telephone number is (571)272-6672. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-7742. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 12/900,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Faye M. Fleming/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616