INSTITUTO TECNOLÓGICO DE AERONÁUTICA



Pedro Kuntz Puglia

ORBITAL MANEUVER OPTIMIZATION

Trabalho de Graduação 2025

Curso de Engenheria Aeroespacial

Pedro Kuntz Puglia

ORBITAL MANEUVER OPTIMIZATION

Orientador

Prof. Dr. Willer Gomes dos Santos (ITA)

Coorientador

Prof. Emilien Flayac (ISAE-SUPAERO)

ENGENHERIA AEROESPACIAL

São José dos Campos Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação-na-Publicação (CIP) Divisão de Informação e Documentação

Puglia, Pedro Kuntz Orbital Maneuver Optimization / Pedro Kuntz Puglia. São José dos Campos, 2025. 24f.

Trabalho de Graduação – Curso de Engenheria Aeroespacial
– Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, 2025. Orientador: Prof. Dr. Willer Gomes dos Santos. Coorientador: Prof. Emilien Flayac.

1. Optimization. 2. Control. 3. Orbital Mechanics. I. Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica. II. Título.

REFERÊNCIA BIBLIOGRÁFICA

PUGLIA, Pedro Kuntz. **Orbital Maneuver Optimization**. 2025. 24f. Trabalho de Graduação – Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, São José dos Campos.

CESSÃO DE DIREITOS

NOME DO AUTOR: Pedro Kuntz Puglia TITULO DO TRABALHO: Orbital Maneuver Optimization. TIPO DO TRABALHO/ANO: Trabalho de Graduação / 2025

É concedida ao Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica permissão para reproduzir cópias deste trabalho de graduação e para emprestar ou vender cópias somente para propósitos acadêmicos e científicos. O autor reserva outros direitos de publicação e nenhuma parte deste trabalho de graduação pode ser reproduzida sem a autorização do autor.

Pedro Kuntz Puglia Rua H8C, Ap. 303 12.228- 462 – São José dos Campos- SP

ORBITAL MANEUVER OPTIMIZATION

ssa publicação foi aceita como Relatório Final de Trabalho de Grad	duaçã
Pedro Kuntz Puglia	
Autor	
Willer Gomes dos Santos (ITA)	
Orientador	
Emilien Flayac (ISAE-SUPAERO)	
Coorientador	
Profa. Dra. Cristiane Martins Coordenadora do Curso de Engenheria Aeroespacial	

 $\operatorname{dedicar}...$

Agradecimentos

Resumo

RESUMO

Abstract

This work presents the development and characterization process of a cold gas thruster vectorization system. The motor is required to have a thrust of 2 N and a chamber pressure of 5 bar. The chosen vectorization method for testing was the jet vane. The constructed motor had slight deviations from the requirements, with a specific impulse of 46.6 s. This motor was mounted on a control mechanism of the deflecting blade, and this assembly was coupled to a three-component scale for force and moment characterization. As a final result, the control derivatives for lateral force and moment were obtained. Finally, the methodological issues encountered and engineering trade-offs identified for the system were presented.

Lista de Figuras

Lista de Tabelas

Lista de Símbolos

F

 M_{δ}

F	Empuxo propulsivo
\dot{m}	Vazão mássica
v_e	Velocidade de exaustão média
p_c	Pressão de câmara
p_e	Pressão de saída média
p_{amb}	Pressão ambiente
A_c	Área da seção transversal da câmara
A_e	Área da seção transversal da saída da tubeira
A_t	Área da seção transversal da garganta
ε	Razão de expansão
I_{sp}	Impulso específico
C_F	Coeficiente de empuxo
C^*	Velocidade característica
F_x	Força horizontal, transversal ao motor foguete
F_y	Força vertical, na direção do empuxo propulsivo
M	Torque resultante
δ	Deflexão da lâmina (jet vane)
$F_{x\delta}$	Derivada da força lateral em relação à deflexão da lâmina

Derivada de momento em relação à deflexão da lâmina

Sumário

1	Int	roduction	14
	1.1	Context	14
	1.2	Problem statement	16
	1.3	Hypotheses	17
	1.4	Objetivos	17
	1.5	Justificativa	17
	1.6	Organização do trabalho	17
2	The	eory and fundamentals	18
	2.1	Controle Ótimo	18
	2.2	Orbital Mechanics	18
	2.2	2.1 Lambert's Problem	18
	2.3	Orbital Maneuvers	18
	2.3	3.1 Propulsion models	18
	2.3	Primer vector theory	18
3	\mathbf{Bib}	oliographic survey	19
4	ME	TODOLOGIA	20
	4.1	Orbit Propagation	20
	4.2	Nonlinear solver	20
	4.3	Lambert problem formulations	20
	4.4	Optimal impulsive maneuver problem statement	20
5	\mathbf{RE}	SULTADOS E DISCUSSÃO	21

SUMÁRIO	xiii

5.1 Preliminary direct optimization results	21
5.1.1 Primer vector theory application	21
5.2 Future results	21
6 CONCLUSÃO	22
Referências	23
Apêndice A – Future Planning	24

1 Introduction

1.1 Context

primeiro satelite manobravel discutir manobra interplanetária vs órbita terrestre

daedalus

GOCE

Space exploration relies on clever resource management, since satellites have a finite amount of resources (propellant and other consumables) to fulfill their mission. Up to this date, all space hardware is expendable, that is, when the consumables required for mission maintenance are finished, the mission ends, marking the end of the exploration of a very expensive engineered system. Thus the need for optimization arises in this domain.

Contrary to science fiction, where spaceships seem to be constantly propelled by their thrusters, real life satellites change their courses in discrete moments of maximum thrust application, surrounded by (usually long) coasting periods. This is due to the relatively high power delivered by traditional rocket engines, which can, in the matter of seconds or minutes, greatly alter a satellite's orbit. Certain more modern propulsion systems, such as electric rocket engines, are somewhat of an exception; this technicality will be discussed in further sections.

Orbital maneuvers are necessary in all stages of a satellite's lifecycle. In the beginning of a mission, the satellite is released by the launch vehicle in an orbit that is usually not the mission's orbit. Therefore, an *injection maneuver* is necessary to bring the satellite into an operational orbit. This is usually the biggest maneuver a satellite must execute during its lifecycle, consuming a high fraction of its propellant storage CITE.

During a mission, the satellite must perform sporadic maintenance maneuvers, which are small course correction maneuvers to mitigate external perturbations such as atmospheric drag, oblateness effects (if undesired), influence of celestial bodies, and solar radiation pressure. Their frequency and magnitude vary depending on mission require-

ments, and in industrial applications, must not conflict with other, possibly simultaneous events (such as observation of a ground target), as well as taking into account pointing constraints, since a spacecraft might have sensitive sensors that must not be pointed at the sun, or have solar panels that need uninterrupted illumination. Those are by far the most common type of maneuver, and a loose, non-exhaustive classification arises naturally.

The simplest type of maneuver is that of orbit raising, which consists in bringing the satellite from a (often circular) orbit and increasing its semimajor-axis (and thus, its period) until a desired value is reached. This maneuver is commonly found in LEO applications, due to the presence of atmospheric drag; notably, it is performed by the ISS about once a month CITE. From a theoretical standpoint, it presents a simple, introductory case, often restricted to two dimensions instead of three. There are plenty of theoretical results about it, most notably the Hohmann transfer, a two-impulse maneuver which is known to be the two-impulse optimal from a plethora of theoretical tools. Other more elaborate results include the bielliptic transfer, which can be shown to surpass Hohmann's performance in certain conditions by allowing a third impulse. Another scenario that falls under this category is that of high orbit injections, such as LEO to GEO or LEO to MEO.

A second type of maneuver is a plane change maneuver. Satellites move (approximately) in a plane which contains its position and velocity vectors and the center of Earth. By changing the direction of the velocity, this plane may be change. Common cases include an inclination change during orbital insertion, which may be required if the inclination of the target orbit is different to the latitude of the launch center CITE. Another plane change instance is that of a change in the right ascension of the ascending node (RAAN), which is especially useful for SSOs. SSO injection requires that the orbit be placed approximately perpendicular to the Sun; this requires careful positioning of the ascending node. Another interesting case is that of a combined plane change and orbit raising maneuver, such as that starting from an inclined LEO orbit targeting a GEO (thus, equatorial) orbit. A clever combination of both requirements can allow for great performance gains as compared to sequential maneuvers.

A final type of maneuver is the *phasing* maneuver. This maneuver consists in changing the position occupied by the satellite within the same orbit at a certain time. This maneuver is very important for *orbital rendez-vous*, where not only it is required that two vessels share the same orbit, but also they must have the same position and velocity at the same time. Another application for this type of maneuver is that of *rideshare injection*, where a swarm of satellites is carried by a launcher hub and they must be distributed around a shared orbit, with certain angular intervals in between. The execution of such a maneuver usually involves placing the satellite in an intermediate orbit with slightly different period than the initial one, and waiting multiple revolutions for the convergence of the satellite and the (mobile) target. A notable, recurring example of this is the rendez-

vous of the Soyuz capsule with the ISS, which can take up to 3 days CITE.

Finally, at the end-of-life, there are legal constraints on where a satelite may be disposed of. LEO missions have a deadline for deorbiting into Earth's atmosphere, while GEO satellites are usually placed into a cemetery orbit which does not intersect the highly prized GEO region. As an end-of-life procedure, feasibility is of utmost importance, while ensuring optimality increases the lifespan of the mission.

1.2 Problem statement

This work aims to develop modern numerical methods for orbital maneuver optimization in Earth orbit. Combinations of propulsive and orbital models are to be paired with adequate numerical schemes and theoretical tools to produce feasible maneuvers that also satisfy certain optimality conditions. The main deliverable shall be a code package capable of generating and optimizing maneuvers between an initial and final orbital state, for an allowed time of flight in between, as well as the mathematical formulation and derivation of such a problem.

The main models to be studied are those of two body Keplerian dynamics and impulsive maneuvers, as they offer the most opportunities for validation with analytical results. Further models to be studied, if time allows it, are continuous thrust propulsion models and two body dynamics with oblateness perturbations (J2 effects).

It is desired to validate the numerical algorithms with certain known analytical results, such as the Hohmann transfer, reproduce certain methods from the literature, and apply some of the formalism of optimal control (in the form of primer vector theory) to the solutions obtained.

It is not in the scope of this work to compare different numerical schemes; a sufficient one shall be found and exploited throughout. However, a novel, experimental method for optimal control synthesis based on polynomial optimization may be attempted if time allows it CITE.

The problem of orbital maneuvers is very general and it is possible to abstract it from the specifics of a particular satellite's hardware by reasoning with position and (changes in) velocity. Therefore, application cases shall be representative of classes of maneuvers, instead of restricting their application to the specifics of one mission. This works focuses on Earth exploration activities, thus excluding lunar and interplanetary transfers.

1.3 Hypotheses

All hardware restrictions such as need for contact with a ground station, pointing constraints, mission objectives (such as observation of a ground target), and possibility of hardware failure and imprecisions are neglected. Attitude dynamics are assumed to be as fast as needed and always precise. Further assumptions depend on the propulsion and orbital model chosen and shall be discussed in future sections.

1.4 Objetivos

programar um otimizador de órbitas com verificações teóricas

1.5 Justificativa

brasil começa a ter satélites em LEO

1.6 Organização do trabalho

2 Theory and fundamentals

- 2.1 Controle Ótimo
- 2.2 Orbital Mechanics
- 2.2.1 Lambert's Problem
- 2.3 Orbital Maneuvers

Conway

- 2.3.1 Propulsion models
- 2.3.2 Primer vector theory

3 Bibliographic survey

4 METODOLOGIA

- 4.1 Orbit Propagation
- 4.2 Nonlinear solver
- 4.3 Lambert problem formulations
- 4.4 Optimal impulsive maneuver problem statement

algo usado

5 RESULTADOS E DISCUSSÃO

- 5.1 Preliminary direct optimization results
- 5.1.1 Primer vector theory application
- 5.2 Future results

6 CONCLUSÃO

Referências

Apêndice A - Future Planning

FOLHA DE REGISTRO DO DOCUMENTO								
1. CLASSIFICAÇÃO/TIPO TC	 DATA 25 de março de 2015 	3. DOCUMENTO Nº DCTA/ITA/DM-018/2015	4. № DE PÁGINAS 24					
^{5.} TÍTULO E SUBTÍTULO: Orbital Maneuver Optimiza	5. TÍTULO E SUBTÍTULO: Orbital Maneuver Optimization							
6. AUTOR(ES): Pedro Kuntz Puglia								
7. INSTITUIÇÃO(ÕES)/ÓRGÃ Instituto Tecnológico de Ae	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ÕES):						
8. PALAVRAS-CHAVE SUGER Cupim; Cimento; Estrutura								
9. PALAVRAS-CHAVE RESUL Propulsão; Gás Frio; Vetori	•							
Mecânica. Área de Sistema	as Aeroespaciais e Mecatrô	grama de Pós-Graduação em F nica. Orientador: Prof. Dr. A 05/03/2015. Publicada em 25/	dalberto Santos Dupont.					
12. GRAU DE SIGILO: (X) OSTENS	IVO () RESI	ERVADO () SEC	CRETO					