

Topological large fields, their generic expansions to differential fields and transfer results.

Pablo Cubides (Université de Caen)
Françoise Point (FNRS-FRS, UMons)

Konstanz, October 3rd 2018.

Outline of the talk

- dp-minimal fields with a generic derivation,
- Transfer results : elimination of imaginaries, continuous definable functions, open core,
- Applications to dense pairs,
- Further directions.

Definition

A theory T is **not dp-minimal** if there is a model \mathcal{M} of T , $a_{ij} \in M$ and uniformly unary definable sets $X_i, Y_j \subseteq M$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_{ij} \in X_{i'} \leftrightarrow i = i',$$
$$a_{ij} \in Y_{j'} \leftrightarrow j = j'.$$

A structure is dp-minimal if its theory is.

Examples of dp-minimal fields

Let $\mathbf{K} := (K, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1)$.

- $(\mathbf{K}, <)$ an ordered real-closed field, ie a model of RCF [o-minimal theory]
- (\mathbf{K}, v) a non-trivially valued algebraically closed field, respectively of ACVF [C-minimal theory],
- (\mathbf{K}, v) a p -adically closed valued field of rank d , respectively of $p\text{CF}_d$ [p -minimal theory]
- $(\mathbf{K}, <, v)$ an ordered valued real-closed field, respectively of RCVF [weakly o-minimal theory].

and more...

Theorem (Johnson)

*If $\mathcal{K} := (K, +, \cdot, 0, 1, \dots)$ is an expansion of an infinite field with a **dp-minimal theory** but not strongly minimal, then \mathcal{K} can be endowed with a **non-discrete Hausdorff definable field topology**, namely \mathcal{K} has a uniformly definable basis of neighbourhoods of zero compatible with the field operations, **etc** \dots*

Moreover, Johnson shows that any definable subset of \mathcal{K} has finite boundary and every infinite definable set has non-empty interior (so \mathcal{K} eliminates \exists^∞).

Furthermore, the topology on K is induced either by a non-trivial valuation or an absolute value.

Correspondences

Now for a dp-minimal field \mathcal{K} , we will describe a generalisation of a cell decomposition theorem due to L. Mathews (for certain topological fields).

Definition

Let E, F be two definable subsets of K^n , then a **correspondence** f is a definable subset $\text{graph}(f)$ of $E \times F$ such that

$$0 < |\{y \in F : (x, y) \in \text{graph}(f)\}| < \infty, \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

A correspondence f is an **m -correspondence** if for all $x \in E$, $|\{y \in F : (x, y) \in \text{graph}(f)\}| = m$.

dp-minimal fields-definable sets

Let X be a A -definable subset of K^n with A a subset of K , then:

Theorem (Simon-Walsberg)

*There are finitely many A -definable subsets X_i with $X = \bigcup X_i$ such that X_i is the graph of a A -definable **continuous** m -correspondence $f : U_i \rightrightarrows K^{n-d}$, where U_i is a A -definable open subset of K^d , for some $0 \leq d \leq n$.*

Conventions: if $d = 0$, $f : K^0 \rightrightarrows K^{n-d}$, then $\text{graph}(f)$ is identified with a **finite** set and

if $d = n$, $f : U \rightrightarrows K^0$, $\text{graph}(f)$ is identified with U (an **open** subset of K^n).

Note that when $\text{acl} = \text{dcl}$, we may replace “correspondence” by the graph of a definable function.

Let \mathcal{K} be a dp-minimal field and let X be a A -definable subset of \mathcal{K}^n . We have several notions of dimensions:

- the **topological dimension**:

let $X \subseteq K^n$, then $\dim(X) := \max\{\ell : \text{there is a projection } \pi : K^n \rightarrow K^\ell \text{ such that } \pi(X) \text{ has non-empty interior}\}$.

- the **acl-dimension** (acl-dim), defined as follows: $\text{acl-dim}(\bar{u}/A) := \min\{\ell : \text{there is a subtuple } \bar{d} \text{ of } \bar{u} \text{ of length } \ell \text{ such that } \bar{u} \in \text{acl}(A, \bar{d})\}$. Then $\text{acl-dim}(X/A) := \max\{\text{acl-dim}(\bar{u}/A) : \bar{u} \in X\}$.

Note that it is not assumed that acl has the exchange.

Theorem (Simon-Walsberg)

Then $\dim(X) = \text{acl} - \dim(X) (= dp - \text{rank}(X))$.

Let $\text{fr}(X) := \overline{X} \setminus X$, where \overline{X} denotes the closure of X .

Theorem (Simon-Walsberg)

$\dim(\text{fr}(X)) < \dim(X)$.

From now on, $\mathcal{K} := (K, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1, \dots)$ denote a dp-minimal field of characteristic 0 and assume that \mathcal{K} is not strongly minimal. Furthermore, we will assume that:

- the language \mathcal{L} is a **relational** expansion of the ring (field) language and every relation and its complement is the union of an algebraic set and an open subset.
- The theory T of \mathcal{K} admits quantifier elimination in the language \mathcal{L} .

Examples

Let \mathcal{L} be the language of fields. Let div be a binary relation.

- ① Let $\mathcal{L}_{<} := \mathcal{L} \cup \{<\}$, then RCF admits quantifier elimination (Tarski),
- ② Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}} := \mathcal{L} \cup \{\text{div}\}$, then ACVF admits quantifier-elimination (Robinson).
- ③ Let $\mathcal{L}_{<,\text{div}} := \mathcal{L}_{<} \cup \{\text{div}\}$, then RCVF admits quantifier-elimination (Cherlin-Dickmann).
- ④ Let $\mathcal{L}_p := \mathcal{L} \cup \{\text{div}, c_1, \dots, c_d, P_n; n \geq 1\}$, then $p\text{CF}_d$ admits quantifier elimination in \mathcal{L}_p (Macintyre, Prestel-Roquette).

In all the above cases, the relations and their complements satisfy the hypothesis to be the union of an open set with an algebraic set.

We consider the *generic* expansion of \mathcal{K} with a derivation δ , namely we put no a priori continuity assumptions on δ . Denote by $\mathcal{L}_\delta := \mathcal{L} \cup \{\delta\}$ and T_δ the \mathcal{L}_δ -theory $T \cup \{\delta \text{ is a derivation}\}$.

For $a \in K$ and $m \geq 0$, we let

$\delta^m(a)$ denote the m^{th} -derivative of a , $m \geq 1$, with $\delta^0(a) = a$,

$\text{Jet}_m(a) = \bar{\delta}^m(a) = (\delta^0(a), \delta^1(a), \delta^2(a), \dots, \delta^m(a))$, and

for $X \subset K$, $\text{Jet}_m(X) = \{\bar{\delta}^m(a) : a \in X\}$.

dp-minimal fields with a generic derivation-some notation:

By assumption on \mathcal{L} , any \mathcal{L}_δ -term $t(x)$ with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is equivalent, modulo the theory of differential fields, to an \mathcal{L} -term $t^*(\bar{\delta}^{m_1}(x_1), \dots, \bar{\delta}^{m_n}(x_n))$ for some $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

So with any \mathcal{L}_δ -quantifier-free formula $\varphi(x)$, we may associate an equivalent \mathcal{L}_δ -formula $\varphi^*(\bar{\delta}^m(x))$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (modulo the theory of differential fields) where φ^* is a \mathcal{L} -quantifier-free formula which arises by uniformly replacing every occurrence of $\delta^m(x_i)$ by a new variable y_i^m in φ with the following choice for the order of variables $\varphi^*(y_1^0, \dots, y_1^m, \dots, y_n^0, \dots, y_n^m)$. So we get

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow \varphi^*(\bar{\delta}^m(x_1), \dots, \bar{\delta}^m(x_n)).$$

Scheme (DL)

Let T as before, $\mathcal{K} \models T$ and $\chi(x, \bar{y})$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula such that for any $\bar{a} \subset K$, $\chi(K, \bar{a})$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 in K .

Set $T_\delta^* := T_\delta \cup (DL)$, where (DL) is the following list of axioms:

Let $\bar{a} := (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ $\bar{a}_i \subset K$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \geq 1$, set

$$W_{\bar{a}} := \chi(K, \bar{a}_1) \times \dots \times \chi(K, \bar{a}_n).$$

\mathcal{K} satisfies (DL) if for every $n \geq 1$, for every differential polynomial $f(X) \in K\{X\}$, with $f(X) = f^*(X, \delta(X), \dots, \delta^n(X))$ and for any $\bar{a} \subset K$, we have:

$$\exists \bar{\alpha} \left((f^*(\bar{\alpha}) = 0 \wedge s_f^*(\bar{\alpha}) \neq 0) \Rightarrow \left(\exists z (f(z) = 0 \wedge s_f(z) \neq 0 \wedge (\bar{\delta}(z) - \bar{\alpha}) \in W_{\bar{a}}) \right) \right).$$

Axiomatisation of differential t -large e.c. topological fields of characteristic 0

Under the further hypothesis, called t -large—it adapts in this topological setting the property of largeness (Pop)—, the theory T_δ^* is consistent and axiomatizes the class of existentially closed models of T_δ . In this particular setting, we get:

Theorem (Guzy-P)

Let T be a dp-minimal theory of t -large \mathcal{L} -fields of characteristic 0, admitting quantifier elimination.

Then T_δ^ is the model-completion of T_δ and admits quantifier elimination.*

The above theorem was stated for a larger class of topological fields.

- We obtain for the theory T_δ^* :
 - ➊ $\text{CODF} = \text{RCF}_\delta^*$ in case $T = \text{RCF}$,
 - ➋ RCVF_δ^* in case $T = \text{RCVF}$ (an expansion of CODF),
 - ➌ $p\text{CF}_\delta^*$ in case $T = p\text{CF}_d$,
 - ➍ $\text{ACVF}_{0,0}_\delta^*$ in case $T = \text{ACVF}_{0,0}$ (an expansion of DCF_0),

First properties (direct consequences of the axiomatisation)

Using the fact that T_δ^* admits q.e. (and the forgetful functor), one can observe:

- (Guzy-P.) If T is **NIP**, then T_δ^* is **NIP**.
- (Chernikov, 2015) If T is **distal**, then T_δ^* is **distal**.

Let $\mathcal{K} \models T_\delta^*$ and denote by C_K its subfield of constants.

Using the axiomatisation (respectively the **geometrical** axiomatisation), two observations:

- Then C_K is dense in K .
- (Brouette, Cousins, Pillay, P.—in case \mathcal{L} is the language of rings—)
Then $C_K \models T$.

So we get an elementary pair (K, C_K) of models of T .

Order of a definable set

Since T_δ^* admits quantifier elimination, every \mathcal{L}_δ -definable set $X \subseteq K^n$ is of the form $\text{Jet}_m^{-1}(Y)$ for some quantifier-free \mathcal{L} -definable set $Y \subseteq K^{(m+1)n}$.

DEFINITION (Order)

Let $X \subseteq K^n$ be an \mathcal{L}_δ -definable set. The *order of X* , denoted by $o(X)$, is the smallest integer m such that $X = \text{Jet}_m^{-1}(Y)$ for some \mathcal{L} -definable set $Y \subseteq K^{(m+1)n}$.

Property (\star): For any $X \subseteq K^n$ \mathcal{L}_δ -definable non-empty subset, there is an integer $m \geq o(X)$ and an \mathcal{L} -definable set $Z \subseteq K^{(m+1)n}$ such that

- 1 $x \in X$ if and only if $\text{Jet}_m(x) \in Z$ and
- 2 $\overline{Z} = \overline{\text{Jet}_m(X)}$.

Note that equivalently in Property (\star) one can require that $m = o(X)$.

Lemma (C-P)

Property (\star) is equivalent to: T_δ^ has \mathcal{L} -open core.*

(\Rightarrow) one shows that given an \mathcal{L}_δ -definable set X , its closure \overline{X} is \mathcal{L} -definable.

Claim: $\overline{X} = \overline{\pi(\overline{Z})}$, where Z has the property (\star) and π is the projection sending each block of $(m+1)$ coordinates to its first coordinate.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, if the theory T_δ^* has \mathcal{L} -open core, then:

take $Y \subset K^{(o(X)+1)n}$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable set such that $X = \text{Jet}_{o(X)}^{-1}(Y)$.

Set $Z := Y \cap \overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)}$. Since $\overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)}$ is both closed and \mathcal{L}_δ -definable, it is \mathcal{L} -definable (T_δ^* has open core).

So the set Z is \mathcal{L} -definable. Since

$$\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X) \subseteq Z \subseteq \overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)},$$

both properties (1) and (2) are easily shown.

Elimination of imaginaries

Let \mathcal{G} be a collection of sorts of \mathcal{L}^{eq} . We let $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the restriction of \mathcal{L}^{eq} to the home sort together with the new sorts in \mathcal{G} and their respective quotient maps.

Theorem (C-P)

Suppose that T admits elimination of imaginaries in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}$. If T_{δ}^ has \mathcal{L} -open core, then T_{δ}^* admits elimination of imaginaries in $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}^{\mathcal{G}}$.*

We follow an argument of Marcus Tressl to show EI on CODF.

Elimination of imaginaries

Proof:

Fix a model K of T_δ^* and let $X \subseteq K^n$ be a non-empty \mathcal{L}_δ -definable set.

We will show that X has an \mathcal{L}_δ -code in $\mathcal{G}(K)$, namely, there is a tuple $e \in \mathcal{G}(K)$ such that for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{L}_\delta}(K)$

$$\sigma(X) = X \text{ if and only if } \sigma(e) = e.$$

Observation 1: every \mathcal{L} -definable set has an \mathcal{L}_δ -code in $\mathcal{G}(K)$.

$$\tilde{X} := \text{Jet}_{o(X)}^{-1}(\overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)}).$$

By the open core assumption, $\overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)}$ is \mathcal{L} -definable.

We proceed by induction on $\dim(\overline{\text{Jet}_{o(X)}(X)})$.

Elimination of imaginaries

Conditional to having \mathcal{L} -open core, we obtain the following corollaries:

- For $T = \text{RCF}$, yet another proof that CODF admits elimination of imaginaries in the language of differential fields.
- For $T = \text{ACVF}$, $T = \text{RCVF}$ and $T = p\text{CF}$, a proof that T_δ^* has elimination of imaginaries in the language $\mathcal{L}_\delta^{\mathcal{G}}$ where \mathcal{G} corresponds to the so called *geometric sorts* (by the corresponding results of Haskell-Hrushovski-Macpherson, Mellor and Hrushovski-Martin-Rideau respectively).

Continuous definable functions and open core

Theorem (C-P)

Assume that $\text{acl}_{\mathcal{L}} = \text{dcl}_{\mathcal{L}}$ (finite Skolem functions) for models of T . Let $X \subseteq K^n$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable set and $f: X \rightarrow K$ be a continuous \mathcal{L}_{δ} -definable function. Then f is \mathcal{L} -definable.

COROLLARY

CODF has \mathcal{L} -open core.

Continuous definable functions and open core

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RCVF}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{p\text{CF}_d}$ be the languages in which RCVF and $p\text{CF}_d$ eliminate quantifiers respectively. Let \mathcal{L}_Γ be the 2-sorted language

$$(K, \mathcal{L})$$

$$\begin{cases} (\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{oag}}) & \text{if } \mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{RCVF}} \\ (\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{Pres}}) & \text{if } \mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_{p\text{CF}_d} \end{cases}$$

$$v: K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma, \delta}$ be the extension of \mathcal{L}_Γ in which we replace \mathcal{L} by \mathcal{L}_δ in the valued field.

Theorem (C-P)

Let T be RCVF or $p\text{CF}_d$. Let K be a model of T_δ^ . Then the $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma, \delta}$ theory of K has quantifier elimination.*

Continuous definable functions and open core

Corollary (C-P)

Let T be RCVF or pCF_d . Let K be a model of T_δ^ . Then every $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma,\delta}$ -definable subset $X \subseteq \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is \mathcal{L}_Γ -definable.*

Theorem (C-P)

Assume that $\text{acl}_\mathcal{L} = \text{dcl}_\mathcal{L}$ (finite Skolem functions) for models of T . Let $X \subseteq K^n$ be an \mathcal{L} -definable set and $f: X \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ be a continuous $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma,\delta}$ -definable function. Then f is \mathcal{L}_Γ -definable.

Corollary (C-P)

RCVF_δ^ and pCF_δ^* have \mathcal{L} -open core.*

Applications to dense pairs

Let $\mathcal{L}^2 := \mathcal{L} \cup \{P\}$ where P is a new unary predicate P .

Let T^2 be the \mathcal{L}^2 -theory of dense elementary pairs (K, F) .

Recall that if K is a model of T_δ^* , then (K, C_K) is a model of T^2 .

Assume from now that T is *geometric*.

Theorem (van den Dries/Berenstein-Vassiliev/Fornasiero/....)

The theory T^2 is complete.

COROLLARY (C-P)

Every model (K, F) of T^2 has an \mathcal{L}^2 -elementary extension (K^*, F^*) such that K^* is a model of T_δ^* with constant field $C_{K^*} = F^*$.

COROLLARY (C-P)

Assume that T_δ has open core. Then T^2 has \mathcal{L} -open core.

COROLLARY (Boxall-Hieronymi/Fornasiero)

If T is RCF, RCVF or p CF, then T^2 has \mathcal{L} -open core.

Applications to dense pairs

Theorem (Hieronymi, Nell)

Let T be an o-minimal theory extending the theory of ordered abelian groups. Then the theory T^2 is not distal.

Question: (Simon) Does T^2 admit a distal expansion?

Theorem (Nell)

Let F be an ordered field, A be an ordered F -vector space and B a dense subspace. Let \mathcal{L} denote the language of ordered F -vector spaces. Then, the \mathcal{L}^2 -theory of (A, B) has a distal expansion.

Applications to dense pairs

Theorem (Chernikov)

Assume that T is distal. Then T_δ^ is distal.*

Let T_δ^2 be the \mathcal{L}_δ^2 -theory extending T_δ^* by the axiom

$$\forall x (P(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x) = 0),$$

i.e., P is interpreted as the constant field.

Corollary (C-P)

*The theory T_δ^2 is a **distal expansion** of T^2 .*

In particular, the theories of dense pairs of real-closed fields, dense pairs of p -adically closed fields and dense pairs of real closed valued fields admit a distal expansion.

Further directions

Let K be a model of T_δ^* .

- Show that if $f: X \rightrightarrows K$ is a continuous \mathcal{L}_δ -definable correspondence and $X \subseteq K^n$ is \mathcal{L} -definable, then f is \mathcal{L} -definable.
- Develop the formalism of T_δ^* when T is a theory in a multi-sorted language. This might provide a way to deal with valued fields such as $\mathbb{C}((X))$ and $\mathbb{R}((X))$.
- Extend this formalism to some dp-minimal expansions of fields.

Thank you for your attention.