

计算机辅助几何设计

2019秋学期

Implicit Surfaces


陈仁杰

中国科学技术大学


Implicit Surfaces

Introduction


Modeling Zoo




Parametric Models



Primitive Models



Implicit Models



Particle Models

Implicit Functions

Basic Idea:

- We describe an object $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ by an implicit equation:
 - $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | f(x) = 0\}$
 - The function f describes the shapes of the object.
- Applications:
 - In general, we could describe arbitrary objects
 - Most common case: surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3
 - This means, f is zero on an infinitesimally thin sheet only

The Implicit Function Theorem

Implicit Function Theorem:

- Given a *differentiable* function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \ni D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_n^{(0)}) \neq 0$$

- Within an ε -neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ we can represent the zero level set of f completely as a heightfield function g

$g: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)} < \varepsilon$ we have:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ everywhere else

- The heightfield is a differentiable $(n - 1)$ -manifold and its surface normal is the colinear to the gradient of f .

This means

If we want to model surfaces, we are on the safe side if:

- We use a smooth (differentiable) function f in \mathbb{R}^3
- The gradient of f does not vanish

This gives us the following guarantees:

- The zero-level set is actually a surface
 - We obtained a closed 2-manifold without boundary
 - We have a well defined interior / exterior.

Sufficient:

- We need smoothness / non-vanishing gradient only close to the zero-crossing.

Implicit Functions Types


Function Types:

- General case
 - Non-zero gradient at zero crossings
 - Otherwise arbitrary
- Signed implicit function:
 - $\text{sign}(f)$: negative inside and positive outside the object
(or the other way round, but we assume this orientation here)
- Signed distance field
 - $|f|$ = distance to the surface
 - $\text{sign}(f)$: negative inside, positive outside
- Squared distance function
 - f = (distance to the surface)²

Implicit Functions Types

Use depends on application:

- Signed implicit function
 - Solid modelling
 - Interior well defined
- Signed distance function
 - Most frequently used representation
 - Constant gradient → numerically stable surface definition
 - Availability of distance value useful for many applications
- Squared distance function
 - This representation is useful for statistical optimization
 - Minimize sum of squared distances → least squares optimization
 - Useful for surface defined up to some insecurity / noise
 - Direct surface extraction more difficult (*gradient vanishes!*)




signed distance

Squared Distance Function


Example: Surface from random samples

1. Determine sample point (uniform)
2. Add noise (Gaussian)



$$p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right)$$

Squared Distance Function





Square Distance Function:

- Sampling a surface with uniform sampling and Gaussian noise:
 ⇒ Probability density is a convolution of the object with a Gaussian kernel
- Smooth surfaces: The log-likelihood can be approximated by a squared distance function

Smoothness

Smoothness of signed distance function:

- Any distance function (signed, unsigned, squared) in general cannot be globally smooth
- The distance function is non-differentiable at the medial axis
 - Media axis = set of points that have the same distances to two or more different surface points
 - For sharp corners, the medial axis touches the surfaces
 - This means: f non-differentiable on the surface itself
 - Usually, this is no problem in practice



Differential Properties

Some useful differential properties:

- We look at a surface point \mathbf{x} , i.e. $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.
- We assume $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$.
- The unit normal of the implicit surface is given by:

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|}$$

- For signed functions, the normal is pointing outward
- For signed distance functions, this simplifies to $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$

Differential Properties

Some useful differential properties:

- The mean curvature of the surface is proportional to the divergence of the unit normal:

$$-2H(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n_x(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} n_y(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} n_z(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|}$$

- For a signed distance function, the formula simplifies to:

$$-2H(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} f(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta f(\mathbf{x})$$

Computing Volume Integrals


Computing volume integrals

- Heavyside function

$$\text{step}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

- Volume integral over interior volume Ω_f of some function $g(\mathbf{x})$ (assuming negative interior values):

$$\int_{\Omega_f} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\mathbf{x}) (1 - \text{step}(f(\mathbf{x}))) d\mathbf{x}$$




Computing Surface Integrals

Computing surface integrals:

- Dirac delta functions:
 - Idealized function (distribution)
 - Zero everywhere ($\delta(\mathbf{x}) = 0$), except at $\mathbf{x} = 0$, where it is positive, infinitely large
 - The integral of $\delta(\mathbf{x})$ over \mathbf{x} is one
- Dirac delta function on the surface: directional derivative of $\text{step}(\mathbf{x})$ in normal direction:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta} &= \nabla[\text{step}(f(\mathbf{x}))] \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = [\nabla \text{step}](f(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|} \\ &= \delta(f(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|\end{aligned}$$



Surface Integral

Computing surface integrals:

- Surface integral over the surface $\partial\Omega_f = \{\mathbf{x} | f(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ of some function $g(\mathbf{x})$:


$$\int_{\Omega_f} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(\mathbf{x}) \delta(f(\mathbf{x})) |\nabla f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x}$$

- This looks nice, but is numerically intractable.
- We can fix this using smoothed out Dirac/Heavyside functions…

Smoothed Functions


Smooth-step function

$$\text{smoothstep}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < -\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{\varepsilon}\right) & -\varepsilon \leq x \leq \varepsilon \\ 1 & \varepsilon < x \end{cases}$$



Smoothed Dirac delta function

$$\text{smoothstep}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < -\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{\varepsilon}\right) & -\varepsilon \leq x \leq \varepsilon \\ 1 & \varepsilon < x \end{cases}$$



Implicit Surfaces

Numerical Discretization

Representing Implicit Functions

Representation: Two basic techniques

- Discretization on grids
 - Simple finite differencing (FD) grids
 - Grids of basis functions (finite elements FE)
 - Hierarchical / adaptive grids (FE)
- Discretization with radial basis functions
(particle FE methods)

Discretization

Discretization examples

- In the following, we will look at 2D examples
- The 3D (d -dimensional) case is similar

Regular Grids


Discretization:

- Regular grid of values $f_{i,j}$
- Grid spacing h
- Differential properties can be approximated by finite differences:

- For example

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) = \frac{1}{h} (f_{i(x),j(x)} - f_{i(x)-1,j(x)}) + O(h)$$


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) = \frac{1}{2h} (f_{i(x)+1,j(x)} - f_{i(x)-1,j(x)}) + O(h^2)$$



Regular Grids

Variant:

- Use only cells near the surface
- Saves storage & computation time
- However: we need to know an estimate on where the surface is located to setup the representation
- Propagate to the rest of the volume (if necessary):
fast marching method



Fast Marching Method

Problem statement:

- Assume we are given the surface and signed distance value in a narrow band
- Now we want to compute distance values everywhere on the grid


Three Solutions:

- Nearest neighbor queries
- Eikonal equation
- Fast marching

Nearest Neighbors

Algorithm:

- For each grid cell:
 - Compute nearest point on the surface
 - Enter distance
- Approximate nearest neighbor computation:
 - Look for nearest grid cell with zero crossing first
 - Then compute distance curve \leftrightarrow zero level set using a Newton-like algorithm (repeated point-to-plane distance)
- Costs: $O(n)$ kNN queries (n empty cells)



Eikonal Equation

Eikonal Equation


- Place variables in empty cells
- Fixed values in known cells
- Then solve the following PDE:

$$\|\nabla f\| = 1$$

subject to $f(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\text{known}}(\mathbf{x})$

on the known area $\mathbf{x} \in A_{\text{known}}$

- This is a (non-linear) boundary value problem



Fast Marching

Solving the Equation:

- The Eikonal equation can be solved efficiently by a region growing algorithm:
 - Start with the initial known values
 - Compute new distances at immediate neighbors solving a local Eikonal equation (*)
 - The smallest of these values must be correct (similar to Dijkstra's algorithm)
 - Fix this value and update the neighbors again
 - Growing front, $O(n \log n)$ time



Regular Grids of Basis Functions

Discretization (2D):

- Place a basis function in each grid cell:
$$b_{i,j} = b(x - i, y - j)$$
- Typical choices:
 - Bivariate uniform cubic B-splines (tensor product)
 - $b(x, y) = \exp[-\lambda(x^2 + y^2)]$
- The implicit function is then represented as

$$f(x, y) = \sum_0^{n_i} \sum_0^{n_j} \lambda_{i,j} b_{i,j}(x, y)$$

- The $\lambda_{i,j}$ describe different f





Regular Grids of Basis Functions

Differential Properties:

- Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k1} \dots \partial x_{km}} f(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_i} \sum_{j=0}^{n_j} \lambda_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k1} \dots \partial x_{km}} b \right) (x, y)$$


- Derivatives are linear combinations of the derivatives of the basis function
- In particular: we again get a linear expression in $\lambda_{i,j}$



Adaptive Grids

Adaptive / hierarchical grids:

- Perform a quadtree / octree tessellation of the domain (or any other partition into elements)
- Refine where more precision is necessary (near surface, maybe curvature dependent)
- Associate basis functions with each cell (constant or higher order)





Particle Methods

Particle methods / radial basis function:

- Place a set of “particles” in space at positions \mathbf{x}_i
- Associate each with a radial basis function $b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)$
- The discretization is then given by:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)$$

- The λ_i encode f .





Particle Methods

Particle methods / radial basis function:

- Obviously, derivatives are again linear in λ_i :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k1} \dots \partial x_{km}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j} \lambda_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k1} \dots \partial x_{km}} b \right) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)$$



- The radial basis functions can also have different size (support) for adaptive refinement
- Placement: near the expected surface



Particle Methods

Particle methods / radial basis function:

- Where should we place the radial basis functions?
 - If we have an initial guess for the surface shape:
 - Put some on the surface
 - And some in +/- normal direction
 - Otherwise:
 - Uniform placement in lowres
 - Solve for surface
 - Refine near lowres-surface, iterate



Types of Radial Basis Functions

Typical choices for radial basis functions:

- (Quasi-) compactly supported functions:
 - Exponentials / normal distribution densities: $\exp(-\lambda \mathbf{x}^2)$
 - Uniform (cubic) tensor product B-Splines
 - Moving-least squares finite element basis functions (will be discussed later)
- Globally supported functions:
 - Thin plate spline basis functions:
 $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^2 \ln \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|$ (2D), $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^3$ (3D)
 - These functions guarantee minimal integral second derivatives.

Pros & Cons

Why use globally supported basis functions?

- They come with smoothness guarantees
- However: computations might become expensive (we will see later how to devise efficient algorithms for globally supported radial basis functions)

Locally supported functions:

- Easy to use
- Additional regularization might become necessary to compute a “nice” surface

Implicit Surfaces

Level Set Extraction

Iso-Surface Extraction

New task:

- Assume we have defined an implicit function
- Now we want to extract the surface
- I.e. convert it to an explicit, piecewise parametric representation, typically a triangle mesh
- For this we need an iso-surface extraction algorithm
 - a.k.a. level set extraction
 - a.k.a. contouring

Algorithms

Algorithms:

- Marching Cubes
 - This is the standard technique
 - We will also discuss some problems / modifications
- Particle methods
 - Just to show an alternative
 - Not used that frequently in practice

Marching Cubes


Marching Cubes:

- The most frequently used iso surface extraction algorithm
 - Creates a triangle mesh from an iso-value surface of a scalar volume
 - The algorithm is also used frequently to visualize CT scanner data and other volume data
- Simple idea:
 - Define and solve a fixed complexity, local problem
 - Compute a full solution by solving many such local problems incrementally


Marching Cubes

Marching Cubes:

- Here is the local problem:
 - We have a cube with 8 vertices
 - Each vertex is either inside or outside the volume
(i.e. $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ or $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$)
 - How should we triangulate this cube?
 - How should we place the vertices?




Triangulation



Triangulation:

- We have 256 different cases – each of the 8 vertices can be in or out
- By symmetry, this can be reduced to 15 cases
 - Symmetry: reflection, rotation, and bit inversion
- This means, we can compute the topology of the mesh

Vertex Placement




How to place the vertices?

- Zero-th order accuracy: Place vertices at edge midpoints
- First order accuracy: Linearly interpolate vertices along edges.
- Example: for scalar values $f(x) = -0.1$ and $f(y) = 0.2$, place the vertex at ratio 1:2 between x and y


Outer Loop

Outer Loop:

- Compute a bounding box of the domain of the implicit function
- Divide it into cubes of the same size (regular cube grid)
- Execute “marching cube” algorithm in each subcube
- Output the union of all triangles generated
- Optionally: Use a vertex hash table to make the mesh consistent (remove double vertices)



Marching Squares






Marching Squares:

- There is also a 2D version of the algorithm, called marching squares
- Same idea, but fewer cases

Ambiguities

There is a (minor) technical problem remaining:

- The triangulation can be ambiguous
- In some cases, different topologies are possible which are all locally plausible:



- This is an *undersampling artifact*. At a sufficiently high resolution, this cannot occur.
- Problem: Inconsistent application can lead to holes in the surface (non-manifold solutions)

Ambiguities

Solution

- Always use the same solution pattern in ambiguous situations
- For example: Always *connect* diagonally
 - This might yield topologically wrong results.
 - But the surface is guaranteed to be a triangulated 2-manifold without holes and with welldefined interior / exterior
- Better solution:
 - Use higher resolution sampling (if possible)
 - All of this (problem and solutions) also applies to the 3D case.

MC Variations

Empty space skipping:

- Marching cube uses an n^3 voxel grid, which can become pretty expensive
- The surface intersects typically only $O(n^2)$ voxels.
- If we roughly know where the surface might appear, we can restrict the execution of the algorithm (and the evaluations of f at the corners) to a narrow band around the surface.
- Example: Particle methods – only extract within the support of the radial basis functions.

MC Variations

Hierarchical marching cubes algorithm:

- One can use a hierarchical version of the marching cubes algorithms using a balanced octree instead of a regular grid
 - We need some refinement criterion to judge on where to subdivide
 - This is application dependent (depends on the definition of f).
- However, we obtain many more cases to consider (which is painful to derive).

Simple solution (common in practice):

- Extract high-resolution triangle mesh
- Then run mesh simplification (slower, but better quality).

Particle-Based Extraction


Particle-based method:

- This technique creates a set of points as output, which cover the iso-surface.
- Algorithm:
 - Start with a random point cloud (n points in a bounding volume)
 - Now define forces that attract particles to the zero-level set.
 - Also add some (weak) tangential repulsion to make them distribute uniformly

Forces


Attraction “force”:

$$F^{(1)}(x_i) = m_i \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2$$



Tangential repulsion force:

$$F^{(2)}(x_i) = \left(\sum_{j \neq i} k(x_i, x_j) \frac{x_i - x_j}{\|x_i - x_j\|^2} \right) \left(I - \left[\frac{\nabla f(x_i)}{\|\nabla f(x_i)\|} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{\nabla f(x_i)}{\|\nabla f(x_i)\|} \right]^T \right)$$



Solution

Solution:

- We obtain a system of ordinary differential equations
- The ODE can be solved numerically
- Simplest technique: gradient decent (explicit Euler)
 - Move every point by a fraction of the force vector
 - Recalculate forces
 - Iterate
- We have the solution if the system reaches a steady state
(nothing moves anymore, numerically)

Implicit Surfaces

Solid Modeling

Solid Modeling





We want to:

- Form basic volumetric primitives (spheres, cubes, cylinders) as implicit functions (this is easy, no details)
- Compute Boolean combinations of these primitives:
Intersection, union, etc...
- Derive an implicit function from these operations

Boolean Operations

Actually, Boolean operations with implicit functions are simple:


- Given two signed implicit functions (negative inside) f_A, f_B for objects A, B
- The Boolean combinations are given by:
 - Union $A \cup B$: $f_{A \cup B} = \min(f_A, f_B)$
 - Intersection $A \cap B$: $f_{A \cap B} = \max(f_A, f_B)$
 - Complement $\neg A$: $f_{\neg A} = -f_A$
 - Difference $A \setminus B$: $f_{A \setminus B} = \min(f_A, -f_B)$



Hierarchical Modeling

This can be models as a CSG tree (constructive solid geometry):


- Leaf nodes are signed distance functions
- Inner nodes are Boolean operations
- Evaluation translates to an arithmetic expression
- Other operations:
 - Deformation (apply vector field)
 - Blending (combine surface smoothly)



Hierarchical Modeling

Rendering CSG hierarchies:

- Rendering is simple
- We get one compound signed implicit function
- We can extract the surface using marching cubes
- We can raytrace the surface using a numerical root finding algorithm
 - For example:
Newton scheme with voxel-based initialization



Implicit Surfaces

Data Fitting

Constructing Implicit Surfaces

Question: How to construct implicit surfaces?

- Basic primitives: Spheres, boxes etc ⋯ are (almost) trivial.
- We can construct implicit spline schemes by using 3D tensor product (or tetrahedral) constructions of 3D Bezier or B-Spline functions
- Another option: Variational modeling
- In this chapter of this lecture: Fitting to data

Data Fitting

Data Fitting Problem:

- We are given a set of points
- We want to find an implicit surface that interpolates or approximates these points
- This problem is ill-defined
- We need additional assumptions to make it well-defined
- We will look at three variants:
 - Hoppe's method / plane blending
 - Thin-plate spline data matching
 - MPU Implicits (multi-level partition of unity implicits)

Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



unoriented normal:
total least squares plane fit (PCA)
in a k -nearest neighbors neighborhood

Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



consistent orientation:
region growing, flip normal if angle > 180°
pick most similar normal next in each step

Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



consistent orientation:
blend between signed distance functions of
planes associated with each point

Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes


Final mesh



signed distance function:
plane blending (next slide)

Normal Constraints


Basic Idea:



- Each point defines an oriented plane and a signed distance function
- To obtain a composite distance field in space:
Blend these distance functions with weights from a kernel (Gaussian, or uniform B-Spline)

Normal Constraints

Basic Idea:



$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i \rangle w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|)}{\sum_{i=1}^n w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|)}$$

(partition of unity weights)

Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes

Final mesh



Plane Blending Method


Initial data

Estimate normal

Signed distance func.

Marching cubes



Final mesh



Thin-Plate Spline Data Matching

Agenda:

- Use radial basis functions
- Use a globally supported basis that guarantees smoothness
- Place radial basis functions at the input points
- Place two more in normal and negative normal direction
- Prescribe values +1,0,-1
- Solve a linear system to meet these constraints





Types of Radial Basis Functions

Typical choices for radial basis functions:

- Globally supported functions:
 - Thin plate spline basis functions: $\|x - x_0\|^2 \ln \|x - x_0\|$ (2D), $\|x - x_0\|^3$ (3D)
 - These functions guarantee minimal integral second derivatives
- Problem: evaluation
 - Every basis function interacts with each other one
 - This creates a dense $n \times n$ linear system
 - One can use a fast multi pole method that clusters far away nodes in bigger octree boxes
 - This gives $O(\log n)$ interactions per particle, overall $O(n \log n)$ interactions

Examples



Carr et al. Reconstruction and representation of 3D objects with Radial Basis Functions, SIGGRAPH 2001

Alternative

Alternative:

- Use locally supported basis functions (e.g. B-Splines)
- Employ an additional regularization term to make the solution smooth.
- Optimize the energy function

$$E(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \mu \int_{\Omega} \left(\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{2\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y} + \frac{2\partial^2}{\partial y\partial z} + \frac{2\partial^2}{\partial x\partial z} \right] f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\mathbf{x}$$


$$\text{with } f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)$$

- The critical point is the solution to a linear system

MPU Implicits

Multi-level partition of unity implicits:


- Hierarchical implicit function approximation
 - Given: data points with normal
 - Computes: hierarchical approximation of the signed distance function



MPU Implicits

Multi-level partition of unity implicits:


- Octree decomposition of space
- In each octree cell, fit an implicit quadratic function to points
 - $f(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$ at data points
 - Additional normal constraints
- Stopping criterion:
 - Sufficient approximation accuracy
(evaluate f at data points to calculate distance)
 - At least 15 points per cell.



MPU Implicits

Multi-level partition of unity implicits:

- This gives an adaptive grid of local implicit function approximations
- Problem: How to define a global implicit function?
- Idea: Just blend between local approximants using a windowing function




MPU Implicits

Multi-level partition of unity implicits:

- Windowing function:
 - Use smooth windowing function w
 - B-splines / normal distribution
 - Original formulation: quadratic tensor product B-spline function, support = $1.5 \times$ cell diagonal
 - Renormalize to form partition of unity:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) f_i(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n w(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}$$




MPU Implicits

Multi-level partition of unity implicits:

- Sharp features:
 - If a leaf cell with a few points has strongly varying normal, this might be a sharp feature.
 - Multiple functions can be fitted to parts of the data
 - Boolean operations to obtain composite distance field

Examples



Ohtake et al. Multi-level Partition of
Unity Implicits, SIGGRAPH 2003