

Robot Mapping

Grid Maps



Cyrill Stachniss



AIS Autonomous Intelligent Systems

1

Features vs. Volumetric Maps



Courtesy by E. Nebot

2

Features

- So far, we only used feature maps
- Natural choice for Kalman filter-based SLAM systems
- Compact representation
- Multiple feature observations improve the position estimate (EKF)


3

Grid Maps

- Discretize the world into cells
- Grid structure is rigid
- Each cell is assumed to be occupied or free space
- Non-parametric model
- Require substantial memory resources
- Does not rely on a feature detector

4


Example



5

Assumption 1


- The area that corresponds to a cell is either completely free or occupied



6

Representation

- Each cell is a **binary random variable** that models the occupancy



7


Occupancy Probability

- Each cell is a **binary random variable** that models the occupancy
- Cell is occupied $p(m_i) = 1$
- Cell is not occupied $p(m_i) = 0$
- No knowledge $p(m_i) = 0.5$
- The **state** is assumed to be **static**

8

Assumption 2

- The cells (the random variables) are **independent** of each other



9

Representation

- The probability distribution of the map is given by the product over the cells


$$p(m) = \prod_i p(m_i)$$

↑map
 ↑cell

10

Representation

- The probability distribution of the map is given by the product over the cells



11

Estimating a Map From Data

- Given sensor data $z_{1:t}$ and the poses $x_{1:t}$ of the sensor, estimate the map

$$p(m \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) = \prod_i p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})$$

↑
↑

binary random variable

➡ Binary Bayes filter
(for a static state)

12

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

13

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, x_t) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

14

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, x_t) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

$$p(z_t \mid m_i, x_t) \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) p(z_t \mid x_t)}{p(m_i \mid x_t)}$$

15

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(z_t \mid m_i, x_t) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) p(z_t \mid x_t) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i \mid x_t) p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

16

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) & \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t | m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(z_t | m_i, x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) p(z_t | x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i | x_t) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) p(z_t | x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}
 \end{aligned}$$

17

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) & \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(z_t | m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(z_t | m_i, x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) p(z_t | x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i | x_t) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{=} \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) p(z_t | x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}
 \end{aligned}$$

Do exactly the same for the opposite event:

$$p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \stackrel{\text{the same}}{=} \frac{p(\neg m_i | z_t, x_t) p(z_t | x_t) p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(\neg m_i) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$$

18

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

- By computing the ratio of both probabilities, we obtain:

$$\frac{p(m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})}{p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})} = \frac{\frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) \cancel{p(z_t | x_t)} p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(m_i) \cancel{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}}}{\frac{p(\neg m_i | z_t, x_t) \cancel{p(z_t | x_t)} p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{p(\neg m_i) \cancel{p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}}}$$

19

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

- By computing the ratio of both probabilities, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{p(m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})}{p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})} \\
 &= \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t) p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}) p(\neg m_i)}{p(\neg m_i | z_t, x_t) p(\neg m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}) p(m_i)} \\
 &= \frac{p(m_i | z_t, x_t)}{1 - p(m_i | z_t, x_t)} \frac{p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{1 - p(m_i | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})} \frac{1 - p(m_i)}{p(m_i)}
 \end{aligned}$$

20

Static State Binary Bayes Filter

- By computing the ratio of both probabilities, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})}{1 - p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})} \\
 &= \frac{p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}) p(\neg m_i)}{p(\neg m_i \mid z_t, x_t) p(\neg m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}) p(m_i)} \\
 &= \underbrace{\frac{p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t)}{1 - p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t)}}_{\text{uses } z_t} \underbrace{\frac{p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}{1 - p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}}_{\text{recursive term}} \underbrace{\frac{1 - p(m_i)}{p(m_i)}}_{\text{prior}}
 \end{aligned}$$

21

Log Odds Notation

- Log odds ratio is defined as

$$l(x) = \log \frac{p(x)}{1 - p(x)}$$

- and with the ability to retrieve $p(x)$

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp l(x)}$$

22

Occupancy Mapping in Log Odds Form

- The product turns into a sum

$$\begin{aligned}
 l(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \\
 &= \underbrace{l(m_i \mid z_t, x_t)}_{\text{inverse sensor model}} + \underbrace{l(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}_{\text{recursive term}} - \underbrace{l(m_i)}_{\text{prior}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- or in short

$$l_{t,i} = \text{inv_sensor_model}(m_i, x_t, z_t) + l_{t-1,i} - l_0$$

23

Occupancy Mapping Algorithm

occupancy_grid_mapping($\{l_{t-1,i}\}, x_t, z_t$):

```

1:   for all cells  $m_i$  do
2:     if  $m_i$  in perceptual field of  $z_t$  then
3:        $l_{t,i} = l_{t-1,i} + \text{inv\_sensor\_model}(m_i, x_t, z_t) - l_0$ 
4:     else
5:        $l_{t,i} = l_{t-1,i}$ 
6:     endif
7:   endfor
8:   return  $\{l_{t,i}\}$ 

```

highly efficient, only requires to compute sums


24

Occupancy Grid Mapping

- Developed in the mid 80'ies by Moravec and Elfes
- Originally developed for noisy sonars
- Also called "mapping with know poses"

25


Inverse Sensor Model for Sonars Range Sensors



In the following, consider the cells along the optical axis (red line)


26

Occupancy Value Depending on the Measured Distance




27

Occupancy Value Depending on the Measured Distance




28

Occupancy Value Depending on the Measured Distance




29

Occupancy Value Depending on the Measured Distance



30

Example: Incremental Updating of Occupancy Grids




31

Resulting Map Obtained with Ultrasound Sensors



32


Resulting Occupancy and Maximum Likelihood Map



The maximum likelihood map is obtained by rounding the probability for each cell to 0 or 1.


33

Inverse Sensor Model for Laser Range Finders




34

Occupancy Grids From Laser Scans to Maps




35

Example: MIT CSAIL 3rd Floor



36

Uni Freiburg Building 106



37

Summary

- Occupancy grid maps discretize the space into independent cells
- Each cell is a binary random variable estimating if the cell is occupied
- Static state binary Bayes filter per cell
- Mapping with known poses is easy
- Log odds model is fast to compute
- No need for predefined features

38

Literature

Static state binary Bayes filter

- Thrun et al.: "Probabilistic Robotics", Chapter 4.2

Occupancy Grid Mapping

- Thrun et al.: "Probabilistic Robotics", Chapter 9.1+9.2

39