



Last Edit: September 18, 2021 7:38 PM 5.4K VIEWS

Given the input sequence A=[3,1,2,5,4] we can cycle through array and write out the all the subarrays **ending** with the element.

```
[3]

[3,1], [1]

[3,1,2], [1,2], [2]

[3,1,2,5], [1,2,5], [2,5], [5]

[3,1,2,5,4], [1,2,5,4], [2,5,4], [5,4], [4]
```

We can denote by result[i] the sum of min values of those subarrays (ending with i-th element). Here are they:

```
3
1 + 1
1 + 1 + 2
1 + 1 + 2 + 5
1 + 1 + 2 + 4 + 4
result = [3,2,4,9,12]
```

We can notice a pattern

```
If A[i-1] \le A[i] then result[i] = result[i-1] + A[i]
```

It's easy to see why this happens: our subarrays ending with i-th value are basically same subarrays for (i-1)-th value we extra element A[i] added to each one of them and plus one extra subarray consisting of singular value A[i]. Adding same or bigger value to subarrays doesn't change their minimal values. Thus we can reuse previous sum and account for that extra singular subarray, thus result[i] = result[i-1] + A[i]

We can generalize

If we find previous less or equal value $A[j] \le A[i]$ (j<i) then result[i] = result[j] + A[i]*(i-j)

Let's consider our previous example to see why. Let's take i=4 and look at subarrays for the element A[i]=4: [3,1,2,5,4], [1,2,5,4], [2,5,4], [5,4], [4]

First part of these subarrays look similar to subarrays generated previous less value 2 at j=2 as if we took those subarrays ([3,1,2], [1,2], [2]) and added elements [5,4] to each of them. Sum of those subarrays equals result[j].

The rest of our i-th subarrays consist of elements after j and up to i: [5,4], [4]. They are not less then our element 4 so their sum equals to (i-j)*A[i]

Together these two observations give us formula result[i] = result[j] + A[i]*(i-j)

Solution

This forms the basis of the solution: we build monotonously incressing (well, strictly speaking - non-decreasing) stack. And then find previous less or equal value and reuse it's sum:

(trick: we add zeros to A and stack to avoid dealing with empty stack)