

# Mudcard

- **On the homework, I had trouble the the early stopping rounds eval set. When doing the early stopping rounds do we need to preprocess the data before the pipeline or is there a way to still do it all together in the pipeline?**
  - You should still be able to use pipelines. GridSearchCV might not work when you use early stopping with XGB but you can just manually loop through the parameter grid using sklearn's ParameterGrid.
- **For our project, are we supposed to do global/local feature importance metrics for all ml models or just the best model?**
  - You want to understand the model you'll deploy so it would be best to focus on the best model
- **Many features in my final project are lag features as I am working with a time series dataset, this means they are highly correlated with one another. However, I feel it's important for improving the model's predictive power. Is it problematic to have many highly correlated features like this? Should I be selecting just 1 lag for each climate feature rather than multiple different lag features?**
  - As long as the absolute value correlation coefficient is not really close to 1 (meaning above 0.95 or so), you should be fine using multiple lag features.
  - Lagged features in time series data are often strongly correlated with the target variable.

## A note on imbalanced datasets

- we learnt that a classification problem is imbalanced if more than 90-95% of the points belong to one class (class 0) and only a small fraction of the points belong to the other class (class 1)
  - fraud detection
  - sick or not sick (usually by far most people are not sick)
- we learnt to not use a metric that relies on the True Negatives in the confusion matrix
  - no accuracy or ROC
  - use f\_beta or the precision-recall curve instead

## What else can I do if I have an imbalanced dataset?

- most (but not all) classification algorithms we covered have a parameter called `class_weight` which allows you to assign more weight to the class 1 point

- a misclassified class 1 point will contribute more to the cost function than a misclassified class 0 point
- read the manual on `class_weight` because the different algorithms have slightly different definitions for this parameter
- usually you can use `None`, `balanced`, or manually define what the class weight should be
- it is worthwhile to tune this parameter if you have an imbalanced dataset
- resample/augment the dataset
  - SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique), see the [paper](#)
  - to improve the balance of the problem, new class 1 examples are synthesized from the existing examples
  - be careful though!
    - while resampling improves the balance of the dataset, the results of the model can be misleading
    - when you deploy the model, the incoming data will be as imbalanced as the original data

## Misleading results with resampling

- let's assume you have an imbalanced dataset with 99% of points in class 0 and 1% of points in class 1
- you resample it such that the improved class balance is 50-50
- here the confusion matrix of the trained model:

		Predicted class	
		Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual class	Condition Negative (0)	<b>True Negative (TN): 45%</b>	<b>False Positive (FP): 5%</b>
	Condition Positive (1)	<b>False Negative (FN): 5%</b>	<b>True Positive (TP): 45%</b>

- 90% accuracy which is well above the 50% baseline accuracy!
- the precision, recall, and f1 scores are all 0.9.
- it looks great, doesn't it?
- let's rewrite the confusion matrix to reflect rates with respect to the Condition Negative and Condition Positive points!

		Predicted class	
		Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual class	Condition Negative (0) 50% of the points	<b>90% of CNs are correctly classified</b>	<b>10% of CNs are incorrectly classified</b>

Condition Positive (1)  
50% of the points

**10% of CPs are  
incorrectly classified**

**90% of CPs are  
correctly classified**

## Let's deploy this model

- the incoming data has the same balance as the original dataset (99% to 1%)
- let's assume we have  $1e5$  new points,  $9.9e4$  belongs to class 0, 1000 belongs to class 1
- what will be the numbers in the confusion matrix?

		Predicted class	
		Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual class	Condition Negative (0) 99000 points	<b>True Negative (TN):</b> <b><math>99000 * 0.9 = 89100</math></b>	<b>False Positive (FP):</b> <b><math>99000 * 0.1 = 9900</math></b>
	Condition Positive (1) 1000 points	<b>False Negative (FN):</b> <b><math>1000 * 0.1 = 100</math></b>	<b>True Positive (TP):</b> 1000 <b><math>* 0.9 = 900</math></b>

- the accuracy of this model is still 0.90 but now it is well below the baseline of 0.99!
- recall is good (0.90) but the precision is not great ( $\sim 0.083$ )
- the f1 score is  $\sim 0.15$
- the false positives are overwhelming
- this is why you need to be careful with resampling

## Quiz

## Final Notes

- I hope you enjoyed the lectures and the home works!
- Please thank your TAs when you see them!
- I am looking forward to seeing your final projects!
- Course feedback will open soon!
- Let me know how I can improve DATA1030!

In [ ]: