

Mudcard

- NA

The supervised ML pipeline

The goal: Use the training data (X and y) to develop a **model** which can **accurately** predict the target variable (y_new') for previously unseen data (X_new).

1. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA): you need to understand your data and verify that it doesn't contain errors

- do as much EDA as you can!

2. Split the data into different sets: most often the sets are train, validation, and test (or holdout)

- practitioners often make errors in this step!
- you can split the data randomly, based on groups, based on time, or any other non-standard way if necessary to answer your ML question

3. Preprocess the data: ML models only work if X and Y are numbers! Some ML models additionally require each feature to have 0 mean and 1 standard deviation (standardized features)

- often the original features you get contain strings (for example a gender feature would contain 'male', 'female', 'non-binary', 'unknown') which needs to be transformed into numbers
- often the features are not standardized (e.g., age is between 0 and 100) but it needs to be standardized

4. Choose an evaluation metric: depends on the priorities of the stakeholders

- often requires quite a bit of thinking and ethical considerations

5. Choose one or more ML techniques: it is highly recommended that you try multiple models

- start with simple models like linear or logistic regression
- try also more complex models like nearest neighbors, support vector machines, random forest, etc.

6. Tune the hyperparameters of your ML models (aka cross-validation)

- ML techniques have hyperparameters that you need to optimize to achieve best performance
- for each ML model, decide which parameters to tune and what values to try
- loop through each parameter combination
 - train one model for each parameter combination
 - evaluate how well the model performs on the validation set
- take the parameter combo that gives the best validation score
- evaluate that model on the test set to report how well the model is expected to perform on previously unseen data

7. Interpret your model: black boxes are often not useful

- check if your model uses features that make sense (excellent tool for debugging)
- often model predictions are not enough, you need to be able to explain how the model arrived to a particular prediction (e.g., in health care)

Let's put everything together!

- the adult dataset
- the next two cells were copied from the week 3 material and slightly rewritten

import packages

load your dataset

create feature matrix and target variable

for i in random_states:

- split the data
- preprocess it
- decide which hyperparameters you'll tune and what values you'll try
- for combo in hyperparameters:
 - train your ML algo
 - calculate training scores

- calculate validation scores
- select best model based on the mean and std validation scores
- if you are unsure about your hyperparameter ranges, plot train and validation curves
- if you see both overfitting and underfitting, your hyperparameter ranger are wide enough
- if the best hyperparameter value is at the edge of your range, the range might not be wide enough
- predict the test set using the best model
- return your test score (generalization error)

```
In [1]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, OneHotEncoder, OrdinalEncoder, MinMaxScaler, LabelEncoder
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.model_selection import ParameterGrid
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

df = pd.read_csv('data/adult_data.csv')

# let's separate the feature matrix X, and target variable y
y = df['gross-income'] # remember, we want to predict who earns more than 50k or less than 50k
X = df.loc[:, df.columns != 'gross-income'] # all other columns are features

# collect which encoder to use on each feature
# needs to be done manually
ordinal_ftrs = ['education']
ordinal_cats = [[' Preschool', ' 1st-4th', ' 5th-6th', ' 7th-8th', ' 9th', ' 10th', ' 11th', ' 12th', ' HS-grad', \
                ' Some-college', ' Assoc-voc', ' Assoc-acdm', ' Bachelors', ' Masters', ' Prof-school', ' Doctorate']]
onehot_ftrs = ['workclass', 'marital-status', 'occupation', 'relationship', 'race', 'sex', 'native-country']
minmax_ftrs = ['age', 'hours-per-week']
std_ftrs = ['capital-gain', 'capital-loss']

# collect all the encoders into one preprocessor
preprocessor = ColumnTransformer(
    transformers=[
        ('ord', OrdinalEncoder(categories = ordinal_cats), ordinal_ftrs),
        ('onehot', OneHotEncoder(sparse_output=False, handle_unknown='ignore'), onehot_ftrs),
        ('minmax', MinMaxScaler(), minmax_ftrs),
        ('std', StandardScaler(), std_ftrs)])

prep = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preprocessor)]) # for now we only preprocess, later we will add other steps
```

Quiz

Let's recap preprocessing. Which of these statements are true?

Basic hyperparameter tuning

```
In [2]: help(ParameterGrid)
```

Help on class ParameterGrid in module sklearn.model_selection._search:

```
class ParameterGrid(builtins.object)
|   ParameterGrid(param_grid)
|
|   Grid of parameters with a discrete number of values for each.
|
|   Can be used to iterate over parameter value combinations with the
|   Python built-in function iter.
|   The order of the generated parameter combinations is deterministic.
|
|   Read more in the :ref:`User Guide <grid_search>`.
|
|   Parameters
|   -----
|   param_grid : dict of str to sequence, or sequence of such
|       The parameter grid to explore, as a dictionary mapping estimator
|       parameters to sequences of allowed values.
|
|       An empty dict signifies default parameters.
|
|       A sequence of dicts signifies a sequence of grids to search, and is
|       useful to avoid exploring parameter combinations that make no sense
|       or have no effect. See the examples below.
|
|   Examples
|   -----
|   >>> from sklearn.model_selection import ParameterGrid
|   >>> param_grid = {'a': [1, 2], 'b': [True, False]}
|   >>> list(ParameterGrid(param_grid)) == (
|   ...     [{'a': 1, 'b': True}, {'a': 1, 'b': False},
|   ...     {'a': 2, 'b': True}, {'a': 2, 'b': False}])
|   True
|
|   >>> grid = [{'kernel': ['linear']], {'kernel': ['rbf'], 'gamma': [1, 10]}]
|   >>> list(ParameterGrid(grid)) == [{'kernel': 'linear'},
|   ...                               {'kernel': 'rbf', 'gamma': 1},
|   ...                               {'kernel': 'rbf', 'gamma': 10}]
|   True
|   >>> ParameterGrid(grid)[1] == {'kernel': 'rbf', 'gamma': 1}
|   True
|
|   See Also
|   -----
|   GridSearchCV : Uses :class:`ParameterGrid` to perform a full parallelized
|       parameter search.
|
|   Methods defined here:
|
|   __getitem__(self, ind)
|       Get the parameters that would be ``ind``th in iteration
|
|       Parameters
|       -----
|       ind : int
|           The iteration index
|
|       Returns
|       -----
|       params : dict of str to any
|           Equal to list(self)[ind]
|
|   __init__(self, param_grid)
|       Initialize self. See help(type(self)) for accurate signature.
|
|   __iter__(self)
|       Iterate over the points in the grid.
|
|       Returns
|       -----
|       params : iterator over dict of str to any
|           Yields dictionaries mapping each estimator parameter to one of its
|           allowed values.
|
|   __len__(self)
|       Number of points on the grid.
|
|   -----
|   Data descriptors defined here:
|
|   __dict__
|       dictionary for instance variables
|
|   __weakref__
|       list of weak references to the object
```

```

In [3]: # let's train a random forest classifier

# we will loop through nr_states random states so we will return nr_states test scores and nr_states trained models
nr_states = 5
test_scores = np.zeros(nr_states)
final_models = []

# loop through the different random states
for i in range(nr_states):
    print('randoms state '+str(i+1))

    # first split to separate out the training set
    X_train, X_other, y_train, y_other = train_test_split(X,y,train_size = 0.6,random_state=42*i)

    # second split to separate out the validation and test sets
    X_val, X_test, y_val, y_test = train_test_split(X_other,y_other,train_size = 0.5,random_state=42*i)

    # preprocess the sets
    X_train_prep = prep.fit_transform(X_train)
    X_val_prep = prep.transform(X_val)
    X_test_prep = prep.transform(X_test)

    # decide which parameters to tune and what values to try
    # the default value of any parameter not specified here will be used
    param_grid = {
        'max_depth': [1, 3, 10, 30, 100], # no upper bound so the values are evenly spaced in log
        'max_features': [0.25, 0.5,0.75,1.0] # linearly spaced because it is between 0 and 1, 0 is omitted
    }

    # we save the train and validation scores
    # the validation scores are necessary to select the best model
    # it's optional to save the train scores, it can be used to identify high bias and high variance models
    train_score = np.zeros(len(ParameterGrid(param_grid)))
    val_score = np.zeros(len(ParameterGrid(param_grid)))
    models = []

    # loop through all combinations of hyperparameter combos
    for p in range(len(ParameterGrid(param_grid))):
        params = ParameterGrid(param_grid)[p]
        print(' ',params)
        clf = RandomForestClassifier(**params,random_state = 42*i,n_jobs=-1) # initialize the classifier
        clf.fit(X_train_prep,y_train) # fit the model
        models.append(clf) # save it
        # calculate train and validation accuracy scores
        y_train_pred = clf.predict(X_train_prep)
        train_score[p] = accuracy_score(y_train,y_train_pred)
        y_val_pred = clf.predict(X_val_prep)
        val_score[p] = accuracy_score(y_val,y_val_pred)
        print(' ',train_score[p],val_score[p])

    # print out model parameters that maximize validation accuracy
    print('best model parameters:',ParameterGrid(param_grid)[np.argmax(val_score)])
    print('corresponding validation score:',np.max(val_score))
    # collect and save the best model
    final_models.append(models[np.argmax(val_score)])
    # calculate and save the test score
    y_test_pred = final_models[-1].predict(X_test_prep)
    test_scores[i] = accuracy_score(y_test,y_test_pred)
    print('test score:',test_scores[i])

```

```
randoms state 1
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7599815724815725 0.7581388206388207
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7599815724815725 0.7581388206388207
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7599815724815725 0.7581388206388207
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7599815724815725 0.7581388206388207
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8408579033579033 0.8413697788697788
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8433149058149059 0.8465909090909091
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 3}
0.842956592956593 0.8459766584766585
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8421375921375921 0.8456695331695332
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8746928746928747 0.8616400491400491
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8763308763308764 0.8627149877149877
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8761261261261262 0.8614864864864865
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8761773136773137 0.8614864864864865
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9780917280917281 0.8547297297297297
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9797809172809173 0.8541154791154791
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9807534807534808 0.850583538083538
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9805487305487306 0.8495085995085995
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9819819819819819 0.8521191646191646
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9819819819819819 0.851044226044226
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9819819819819819 0.8511977886977887
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9819819819819819 0.8487407862407862
best model parameters: {'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
corresponding validation score: 0.8627149877149877
test score: 0.8624289881774911
randoms state 2
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7588554463554463 0.7547604422604423
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7904381654381655 0.788544226044226
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7588554463554463 0.7547604422604423
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7588554463554463 0.7547604422604423
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8409602784602784 0.836916461916462
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8458742833742834 0.8398341523341524
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8447481572481572 0.839527027027027
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8448505323505323 0.8396805896805897
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8752047502047502 0.8567260442260443
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8781224406224406 0.8602579852579852
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8779176904176904 0.8616400491400491
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8778153153153153 0.859490171990172
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9798321048321048 0.8485872235872236
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9816748566748567 0.8508906633906634
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9817772317772318 0.8498157248157249
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9816236691236692 0.8487407862407862
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9830569205569205 0.8482800982800983
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9830569205569205 0.8468980343980343
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9830569205569205 0.847512285012285
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 100}
0.983005733005733 0.8459766584766585
best model parameters: {'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
corresponding validation score: 0.8616400491400491
```

test score: 0.8615077537233226

randoms state 3

```
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7600839475839476 0.7530712530712531
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7705773955773956 0.7627457002457002
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7600839475839476 0.7530712530712531
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7600839475839476 0.7530712530712531
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8442362817362817 0.8353808353808354
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 3}
0.846027846027846 0.8379914004914005
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8456183456183456 0.8372235872235873
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8456183456183456 0.8372235872235873
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8738738738738738 0.856418918918919
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8778153153153153 0.8593366093366094
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8767403767403767 0.859029484029484
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8759213759213759 0.8588759213759214
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9781941031941032 0.8556511056511057
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9801392301392301 0.8541154791154791
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9804463554463555 0.8539619164619164
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9805999180999181 0.8507371007371007
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9813677313677314 0.8542690417690417
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9813677313677314 0.8516584766584766
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9813165438165438 0.8507371007371007
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9813677313677314 0.8482800982800983
```

best model parameters: {'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}

corresponding validation score: 0.8593366093366094

test score: 0.8635037617073545

randoms state 4

```
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7657145782145782 0.754914004914005
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7657145782145782 0.754914004914005
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7657145782145782 0.754914004914005
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7657145782145782 0.754914004914005
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8441850941850941 0.8347665847665847
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8479217854217854 0.8356879606879607
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 3}
0.846488533988534 0.8361486486486487
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 3}
0.846488533988534 0.836455773955774
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
0.877968877968878 0.859490171990172
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8811936936936937 0.8584152334152334
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8822686322686323 0.8591830466830467
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 10}
0.883087633087633 0.8582616707616708
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9804463554463555 0.8531941031941032
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9817260442260443 0.8495085995085995
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9822891072891073 0.8499692874692875
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9823402948402948 0.847051597051597
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9829545454545454 0.8484336609336609
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9829545454545454 0.8476658476658476
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9829545454545454 0.8481265356265356
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9829545454545454 0.8462837837837838
```

best model parameters: {'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}

corresponding validation score: 0.859490171990172
test score: 0.8582834331337326
randoms state 5

```
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 1}
0.756961506961507 0.7590601965601965
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 1}
0.7872133497133497 0.7926904176904177
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 1}
0.756961506961507 0.7590601965601965
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 1}
0.756961506961507 0.7590601965601965
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 3}
0.833947583947584 0.8381449631449631
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 3}
0.842495904995905 0.8465909090909091
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8420864045864046 0.8467444717444718
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 3}
0.8420864045864046 0.8468980343980343
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8734643734643734 0.8617936117936118
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8764332514332515 0.8608722358722358
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8766380016380017 0.860411547911548
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 10}
0.8754095004095004 0.85995085995086
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9787571662571662 0.8548832923832924
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 30}
0.980497542997543 0.8527334152334153
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9809070434070434 0.8495085995085995
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 30}
0.9808046683046683 0.8482800982800983
{'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9816748566748567 0.8487407862407862
{'max_features': 0.5, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9816748566748567 0.8502764127764127
{'max_features': 0.75, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9816748566748567 0.8490479115479116
{'max_features': 1.0, 'max_depth': 100}
0.9816236691236692 0.847972972972973
```

best model parameters: {'max_features': 0.25, 'max_depth': 10}
corresponding validation score: 0.8617936117936118
test score: 0.8641179180101336

Things to look out for

- are the ranges of the hyperparameters wide enough?
 - if you are unsure, save the training scores and plot the train and val scores!
 - do you see underfitting? model performs poorly on both training and validation sets?
 - do you see overfitting? model performs very good on training but worse on validation?
 - if you don't see both, expand the range of the parameters and you'll likely find a better model
 - read the manual and make sure you understand what the hyperparameter does in the model
 - some parameters (like regularization parameters) should be evenly spaced in log because there is no upper bound
 - some parameters (like max_features) should be linearly spaced because they have clear lower and upper bounds
 - **if the best hyperparameter is at the edge of your range, you definitely need to expand the range if you can**
- not every hyperparameter is equally important
 - some parameters have little to no impact on train and validation scores
 - in the example above, max_depth is much more important than max_features
 - visualize the results if in doubt
- is the best validation score similar to the test score?
 - it's usual that the validation score is a bit better than the test score
 - but if the difference between the two scores is significant over multiple random states, something could be off
- traiv/val/test split is usually a safe bet for any splitting strategy

Quiz

Hyperparameter tuning with folds

- the steps are a bit different

```
In [4]: from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.pipeline import make_pipeline
```



```

df = pd.read_csv('data/adult_data.csv')

# let's separate the feature matrix X, and target variable y
y = df['gross-income'] # remember, we want to predict who earns more than 50k or less than 50k
X = df.loc[:, df.columns != 'gross-income'] # all other columns are features

ordinal_ftrs = ['education']
ordinal_cats = [[' Preschool', ' 1st-4th', ' 5th-6th', ' 7th-8th', ' 9th', ' 10th', ' 11th', ' 12th', ' HS-grad', \
                ' Some-college', ' Assoc-voc', ' Assoc-acdm', ' Bachelors', ' Masters', ' Prof-school', ' Doctorate']]
onehot_ftrs = ['workclass', 'marital-status', 'occupation', 'relationship', 'race', 'sex', 'native-country']
minmax_ftrs = ['age', 'hours-per-week']
std_ftrs = ['capital-gain', 'capital-loss']

# collect all the encoders
preprocessor = ColumnTransformer(
    transformers=[
        ('ord', OrdinalEncoder(categories = ordinal_cats), ordinal_ftrs),
        ('onehot', OneHotEncoder(sparse_output=False, handle_unknown='ignore'), onehot_ftrs),
        ('minmax', MinMaxScaler(), minmax_ftrs),
        ('std', StandardScaler(), std_ftrs)])

# all the same up to this point

```

```

In [5]: # we will use GridSearchCV and the parameter names need to contain the ML algorithm you want to use
# the parameters of some ML algorithms have the same name and this is how we avoid confusion
param_grid = {
    'randomforestclassifier__max_depth': [1, 3, 10, 30, 100], # the max_depth should be smaller or equal
    'randomforestclassifier__max_features': [0.5, 0.75, 1.0] # linearly spaced between 0.5 and 1
}

nr_states = 3
test_scores = np.zeros(nr_states)
final_models = []

for i in range(nr_states):
    # first split to separate out the test set
    # we will use kfold on other
    X_other, X_test, y_other, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.2, random_state=42*i)

    # splitter for other
    kf = KFold(n_splits=4, shuffle=True, random_state=42*i)

    # the classifier
    clf = RandomForestClassifier(random_state = 42*i) # initialize the classifier

    # let's put together a pipeline
    # the pipeline will fit_transform the training set (3 folds), and transform the last fold used as validation
    # then it will train the ML algorithm on the training set and evaluate it on the validation set
    # it repeats this step automatically such that each fold will be an evaluation set once
    pipe = make_pipeline(preprocessor, clf)

    # use GridSearchCV
    # GridSearchCV loops through all parameter combinations and collects the results
    grid = GridSearchCV(pipe, param_grid=param_grid, scoring = 'accuracy',
                        cv=kf, return_train_score = True, n_jobs=-1, verbose=True)

    # this line fits the model on other and loops through the 4 different validation sets
    grid.fit(X_other, y_other)
    # save results into a data frame. feel free to print it and inspect it
    results = pd.DataFrame(grid.cv_results_)
    #print(results)

    print('best model parameters:', grid.best_params_)
    print('validation score:', grid.best_score_) # this is the mean validation score over all iterations
    # save the model
    final_models.append(grid)
    # calculate and save the test score
    y_test_pred = final_models[-1].predict(X_test)
    test_scores[i] = accuracy_score(y_test, y_test_pred)
    print('test score:', test_scores[i])

```

```

Fitting 4 folds for each of 15 candidates, totalling 60 fits
best model parameters: {'randomforestclassifier__max_depth': 10, 'randomforestclassifier__max_features': 0.75}
validation score: 0.8628685503685503
test score: 0.8576692768309535
Fitting 4 folds for each of 15 candidates, totalling 60 fits
best model parameters: {'randomforestclassifier__max_depth': 10, 'randomforestclassifier__max_features': 0.75}
validation score: 0.8601428132678133
test score: 0.865806847842776
Fitting 4 folds for each of 15 candidates, totalling 60 fits
best model parameters: {'randomforestclassifier__max_depth': 10, 'randomforestclassifier__max_features': 0.5}
validation score: 0.8624846437346437
test score: 0.8590511285122063

```

```

In [6]: results

```


Out [6]:

	mean_fit_time	std_fit_time	mean_score_time	std_score_time	param_randomforestclassifier__max_depth	param_randomfores
0	2.436068	0.065883	0.149867	0.006377		1
1	3.270054	0.142945	0.156224	0.013000		1
2	4.284736	0.087943	0.131072	0.024577		1
3	5.435078	0.133239	0.166549	0.012623		3
4	7.653751	0.077083	0.152906	0.014103		3
5	10.327992	0.110273	0.145603	0.022553		3
6	11.881947	0.084783	0.198906	0.038211		10
7	15.924911	0.032293	0.206785	0.018066		10
8	21.434489	0.025168	0.146318	0.005378		10
9	15.131989	0.101723	0.197508	0.012286		30
10	18.616437	0.283630	0.206523	0.003964		30
11	25.557595	0.327043	0.224146	0.021047		30
12	14.365566	0.113148	0.224954	0.015380		100
13	20.431868	0.247972	0.243942	0.044431		100
14	21.368219	0.182729	0.155324	0.014115		100

Things to look out for

- less code but more stuff is going on in the background hidden from you
 - looping over multiple folds
 - .fit_transform and .transform is hidden from you
- nevertheless, GridSearchCV and pipelines are pretty powerful
- working with folds is a bit more robust because the best hyperparameter is selected based on the average score of multiple trained models

Quiz

Can we use GridSearchCV with sets prepared by train_test_split in advance? Use the sklearn manual or stackoverflow to answer the question.

Mud card

In []: