# STATG004: APPLIED BAYESIAN METHODS

## 2 hours

Answer ALL questions. Section A carries 40% of the total marks and Section B carries 60%. The relative weights attached to each question are as follows: A1 (10), A2 (10), A3 (20), B1 (20), B2 (20) and B3 (20). The numbers in square brackets indicate the relative weight attached to each part question.

## You may use the following notation and results:

The **Beta distribution**, Beta( $\alpha, \beta$ ), has probability density function

$$p(y \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\alpha - 1} (1 - y)^{\beta - 1} , \quad 0 < y < 1 ,$$

where  $\Gamma(.)$  is the Gamma function.

The **Binomial distribution**, Binomial $(n, \theta)$ , has probability mass function

$$p(y \mid \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ y \end{pmatrix} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}, \quad y = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

The **Gamma** distribution, Gamma( $\alpha, \beta$ ), has probability density function

$$p(y \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta y} , \quad y > 0 .$$

The **Normal** distribution, Normal $(\theta, \tau^{-1})$ , has probability density function

$$p(y \mid \theta, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\tau}{2} (y - \theta)^2 \right], -\infty < y < \infty.$$

The **Poisson distribution**, Poisson( $\lambda$ ), has probability mass function

$$p(y \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y}{y!} e^{-\lambda}, \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

### **SECTION A**

**A1** A lie detector test has probability of  $\eta$  of being correct regardless of the truth; that is,  $\eta$  of tests provide positive results when people lie, and  $\eta$  of tests provide negative results when people are honest.

Suppose we believe 90% of people taking the test are actually honest.

- (a) A person tests negative on the test. What is the probability, in terms of  $\eta$ , that he actually lies? [5]
- (b) A statistician argues that one should use a uniform prior for the log odds  $\log \frac{\eta}{1-\eta}$ . Derive the resulting prior for  $\eta$ . [5]
- **A2** (a) Suppose that, for the parameter  $\theta$  of Binomial $(n, \theta)$ , the posterior distribution is  $p(\theta|\mathbf{y})$ . Suggest how to use this posterior distribution to test the hypothesis that  $H_0: \theta < \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \geq \theta_0$ , when the losses for type I and II errors are different, and the loss for a correct decision is zero. [5]
  - (b) Prove that a Beta $(0, \frac{1}{4})$  prior for the parameter  $\theta$  of the Binomial $(n, \theta)$  distribution is an improper prior. [5]
- **A3** Suppose that a random variable  $Y|\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 2\theta)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a known constant while  $\theta$  is an unknown parameter.
  - (a) Show that the distribution of  $Y|\theta$  is a one-parameter exponential family distribution. [4]
  - (b) Using the results from part (a), derive a conjugate prior for  $\theta$ . [4]
  - (c) Suppose with data  $\mathbf{y}$  observed for Y, we have obtained the posterior distribution  $p(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  as a Gamma $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  distribution. Now we wish to predict the value (denoted by  $\tilde{y}$ ) for a new observation of Y. Derive the predictive distribution  $p(\tilde{y}|\mathbf{y})$ .
  - (d) Derive the Jeffreys prior for  $\theta$ . [6]

#### SECTION B

- **B1** (a) If  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots$  are exchangeable, write down the general representation theorem which implies a hierarchical model for them. [5]
  - (b) Prove that two random variables  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are exchangeable if they are independent and identically distributed. Justify each step of your proof. [5]
  - (c) Suppose we have collected n independent observations  $Y_i$  from a Normal $(\mu, \tau^{-1})$  distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown precision  $\tau$ ; that is,

$$Y_i \mid \mu, \tau \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau^{-1})$$
, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Two independent priors are assumed for  $\mu$  and  $\tau$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu & \sim & \mathrm{Normal}(0,10) \ , \\ \tau & \sim & \mathrm{Gamma}(0.1,0.1) \ . \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathbf{y}$  denote the values  $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  of the observations. Derive two full-conditional distributions  $p(\mu|\tau, \mathbf{y})$  and  $p(\tau|\mu, \mathbf{y})$ , and write down one of them as a Normal distribution and the other as a Gamma distribution with corresponding distribution parameters. [10]

**B2** Suppose we have the following generalised linear mixed model for data  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ :

$$Y_i \mid \theta_i \sim \text{Poisson}(2\theta_i) , i = 1, 2 ,$$
  
 $\log \theta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \lambda_i ,$   
 $\lambda_i \mid \tau \sim \text{Normal}(0, \tau^{-1}) ,$   
 $\beta_0 \sim \text{Normal}(1, 10) ,$   
 $\beta_1 \sim \text{Normal}(1, 10) ,$   
 $\tau \sim \text{Gamma}(0.1, 0.1) ,$ 

where  $X_i$  are observed covariates.

- (a) Draw the directed acyclic graph (DAG) for this model, using dashed arrows for deterministic dependency and solid arrows for stochastic dependency. [3]
- (b) By moralising the graph, determine whether the conditional-independence statement  $(Y_1 \perp \!\!\!\perp Y_2 \mid \beta_0, \beta_1)$  is true. [6]
- (c) Derive the full-conditional distribution for  $\tau$ . [5]
- (d) Describe how you could use a 'batching' method to estimate the Monte Carlo standard error (MCSE) of the posterior mean of  $\theta_2$ , using a sample  $(\theta_2^{(M+1)}, \dots, \theta_2^{(N)})$  from the posterior distribution of  $\theta_2$  obtained by a Gibbs sampler, for some appropriately chosen M, N > 0.
- **B3** Suppose there is a probabilistic model represented by a DAG with random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_K$ .
  - (a) Write down the factorisation theorem for these random variables. [5]
  - (b) Factorise the full-conditional distribution of  $X_k$  (up to a constant of proportionality), by using the elements of the Markov blanket of  $X_k$ . [5]
  - (c) Show how your expression in part (b) can be derived, justifying carefully each step in your derivation. [10]