

Exercises 9 solutions

- $E(z_i) = \eta_i + g'(\mu_i)(E(y_i) - \mu_i) = \eta_i + 0 = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$.
 - For each observation $\text{var}(z_i) = \text{var}(g'(\mu_i)y_i) = g'(\mu_i)^2 \text{var}(y_i) = g'(\mu_i)^2 V(\mu_i)\phi = w_i^{-1}\phi$. Because the y_i are assumed to be independent, the z_i are independent too. It follows that $\text{var}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{W}^{-1}\phi$.
 - We know that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}$. Using standard results on transformation of covariance matrices like those seen in lectures and in Rice, we have that

$$\text{var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \phi = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \phi.$$

- The model is

$$\log \mu_{ij} = \lambda + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \phi_{ij}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$ where $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \phi_{1j} = \phi_{i1} = 0$. Hence,

$$\log \left(\frac{\pi_{11}\pi_{22}}{\pi_{12}\pi_{21}} \right) = \log \mu_{11} + \log \mu_{22} - \log \mu_{12} - \log \mu_{21} = \phi_{22}.$$

Let A and B denote two variables. The left hand side in the above is the log of the odds ratio

$$\frac{\text{odds on category 2 of } B \text{ for category 2 of } A}{\text{odds on category 2 of } B \text{ for category 1 of } A}.$$

(Similarly with A and B swapped in this description)

When $\phi_{22} = 0$, there is no interaction and the odds ratio is 1.

Under the independence hypothesis, $\pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j}$ and the odds ratio is 1.

- The joint probability mass function of Y_1, \dots, Y_N is

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\mu_i^{y_i} \exp(-\mu_i)}{y_i!}.$$

The distribution of $Y_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i$ is a Poisson with mean $\mu_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i$ and so

$$P(Y_+ = n) = \frac{\mu_+^n \exp(-\mu_+)}{n!}.$$

Dividing these two pmf's gives the required conditional pmf, i.e.

$$n! \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\mu_+} \right)^{y_i} / y_i!,$$

which is multinomial with index n and cell probabilities μ_i/μ_+ .

- (i) From the lecture notes, under this model the cell probabilities are given by

$$\pi_{ijk} = \pi_{ij+}\pi_{i+k}/\pi_{i++}.$$

For the expected values, $\mu_{ijk} = n\pi_{ijk}$, $\mu_{ij+} = n\pi_{ij+}$, etc. Substitute for the π in the equation above to give $\mu_{ijk} = \mu_{ij+}\mu_{i+k}/\mu_{i++}$ as in Table 3 of the notes.

- (ii) Algebraically, the log-linear model is

$$\log \mu_{ijk} = \lambda + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, I; j = 1, \dots, J; k = 1, \dots, K$. Hence, $\mu_{ij+}\mu_{i+k}/\mu_{i++}$ is

$$\frac{e^{\lambda+\alpha_i+\beta_j+(\alpha\beta)_{ij}} \left(\sum_k e^{\gamma_k+(\alpha\gamma)_{ik}} \right) e^{\lambda+\alpha_i+\gamma_k+(\alpha\gamma)_{ik}} \left(\sum_j e^{\beta_j+(\alpha\beta)_{ij}} \right)}{e^{\lambda+\alpha_i} \left(\sum_{jk} e^{\beta_j+(\alpha\beta)_{ij}+\gamma_k+(\alpha\gamma)_{ik}} \right)}$$

which is equal to $e^{\lambda+\alpha_i+\beta_j+(\alpha\beta)_{ij}+\gamma_k+(\alpha\gamma)_{ik}} = \mu_{ijk}$ as required.

5. • Maximisation of (3.27) is equivalent to minimisation of $S(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. $S(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ can be written as $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}) \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Now, differentiating this w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and setting to zero gives $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ which produces the required result.

•

$$\int \int \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \right)^2 dx_1 dx_2$$

is equivalent to

$$\int \int \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} \right)^2 dx_1 dx_2 + 2 \int \int \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right)^2 dx_1 dx_2 + \int \int \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \right)^2 dx_1 dx_2.$$

Similarly as in the lecture notes, this can be written as $\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, with \mathbf{S} given as

$$\int \int \mathbf{b}''_{x_1, x_1}(x_1, x_2) \mathbf{b}''_{x_1, x_1}(x_1, x_2)^T + 2 \mathbf{b}''_{x_1, x_2}(x_1, x_2) \mathbf{b}''_{x_1, x_2}(x_1, x_2)^T + \mathbf{b}''_{x_2, x_2}(x_1, x_2) \mathbf{b}''_{x_2, x_2}(x_1, x_2)^T dx_1 dx_2,$$

where the k^{th} component of $\mathbf{b}''_{x_j, x_h}(x_1, x_2)$ is $\partial^2 b_k / \partial x_j \partial x_h$, for $j, h = 1, 2$.