

MSE of  $T(\underline{X})$ :

$$\text{MSE}(T(\underline{X}), m(\theta))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(T - m(\theta))^2] \quad (1)$$

Now  $T = \mathbb{E}(V|S)$

$\therefore$  (1) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}(V|S) - m(\theta))^2] = \mathbb{E}[\{\mathbb{E}(V - m(\theta)|S)\}^2] \quad (t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(V - m(\theta)|S) &= \mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2|S] \\ &\quad - \{\mathbb{E}[V - m(\theta)|S]\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}(V - m(\theta)|S) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2|S] - \{\mathbb{E}[V - m(\theta)|S]\}^2 \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \{\mathbb{E}[V - m(\theta)|S]\}^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2|S]$$

$\therefore$  in (t)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(T - m(\theta))^2] &\leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2|S]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathbb{E}[(T - m(\theta))^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[(V - m(\theta))^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{MSE}(T) \leq \text{MSE}(V)$$

□.

PROOF OF THE  
RAO-BLACKWELL  
THEOREM

(i)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid  $U(0, \theta)$

Likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta | \underline{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x_i \leq \theta\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_n \leq \theta\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}\{0 \leq \min \underline{x}\} \mathbb{1}\{\max \underline{x} \leq \theta\} \\ &= g(t, \theta) h(\underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$$g(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}\{t \leq \theta\} \quad t = \max \underline{x}$$

$$h(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{1}\{0 \leq \min \underline{x}\}$$

By the factorisation criterion

$T(\underline{x}) = \max \underline{x}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(ii) Show  $U = 2X_1$  is unbiased for  $\theta$

Holds since  $E(X_1) = \frac{\theta}{2}$ .

(iii)  $T = \max \underline{x}$  is sufficient  
 $U = 2X_1$  is unbiased.

Consider

$$V = \mathbb{E}[2X_1 | T=t]$$

$$= 2 \mathbb{E}[X_1 | T=t]$$

$$= 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E}[X_1 | X_1 = \max X] P(X_1 = \max X) + \mathbb{E}[X_1 | X_1 \neq \max X] P(X_1 \neq \max X) \right\}$$

$X_1 \sim U[0, t]$  because  $X_1$  is not maximum

$$= 2 \left\{ \left( t \times \frac{1}{n} \right) + \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \times \frac{(n-1)}{n} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)t}{n}$$

$\therefore V = \frac{(n+1)}{n} T$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  with

$$\text{Var}(V) \leq \text{Var}(U).$$

Suppose that the minimum variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  is  $v = v(\theta)$ .

Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two distinct unbiased estimators of  $\theta$  such that  $\text{Var}(T_1) = \text{Var}(T_2) = v$ .

Define  $T = \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$

We see  $E(T) = \theta$  i.e.  $T$  is unbiased.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(T) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{Var}(T_1) + \text{Var}(T_2) + 2\text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) \}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } \text{Corr}(T_1, T_2) &= \rho \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\text{Cov}(T_1, T_2)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T_1)\text{Var}(T_2)}} &= \rho\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) &= \rho v \\ \text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) &= \rho v\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{also } |\rho| \leq 1$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(T) &= \frac{1}{4} \{ v + v + 2\rho v \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} v (1 + \rho)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $T$  is unbiased then  $\text{Var}(T) \geq v$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} v(1+e) \geq v$$

$$1+e \geq 2$$

$$\Rightarrow e \geq 1$$

$$\therefore e = 1 \text{ since } |e| \leq 1.$$

$\Rightarrow T_1$  and  $T_2$  are linearly related

$$\therefore T_2 = aT_1 + b$$

$$\text{Since } E(T_1) = E(T_2) = \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 1, b = 0$$

$$\therefore T_1 = T_2 \quad \text{///} \quad \text{since } T_1 \text{ and } T_2 \text{ are distinct!}$$

Hence,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are not distinct and the MVUE is unique.

Let  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta)$

Suppose  $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = 0$  for all  $\theta$ .

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^n g(r) \mathbb{P}(X=r) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^n g(r) \binom{n}{r} \theta^r (1-\theta)^{n-r} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-\theta)^n \sum_{r=0}^n g(r) \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^r = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^n a_r z^r = 0 \quad \text{where } a_r = g(r) \binom{n}{r}$$

$$z = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$$

$\therefore a_r = 0$  for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

$$\Rightarrow g(r) \binom{n}{r} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(r) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r.$$

Hence  $X$  is complete for  $\theta$ .

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \quad X_i \sim \text{Bern}(\theta)$$

We know  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{R}{n}$  is the MVBUE for  $\theta$ .

$$\text{Also know } \mathcal{I}(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

Score f'n  $U(\theta; \underline{X})$  is

$$\begin{aligned} U(\theta; \underline{X}) &= \mathcal{I}(\theta) (\bar{X} - \theta) \\ &= \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)} (\bar{X} - \theta) \end{aligned}$$

We can't obtain a MVBUE of  $\theta^2$  because

$$U(\theta; \underline{X}) \neq A(\theta) (T(\underline{X}) - \theta^2)$$

Seek ~~of~~ a MVUE of  $\theta^2$ .

Guess at  $\bar{X}^2$  as an estimator of  $\theta^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}^2) &= \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + \{\mathbb{E}(\bar{X})\}^2 \\ &= \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} + \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{n} - \frac{\theta^2}{n} + \theta^2$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{(n-1)}{n} \theta^2$$

$$\therefore E\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{\theta}{n}\right) = \frac{(n-1)}{n} \theta^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{\bar{X}}{n}\right) = \frac{(n-1)}{n} \theta^2$$

$$\therefore E\left[\frac{n}{n-1} \left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{\bar{X}}{n}\right)\right] = \theta^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{n-1} \left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{\bar{X}}{n}\right) \text{ is unbiased for } \theta^2.$$

$$\frac{n}{n-1} \left(\frac{R^2}{n} - \frac{R}{n^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{R(R-1)}{n(n-1)} \text{ is unbiased for } \theta^2 \quad \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = R.$$

$$R \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta).$$

\*  $R$  is complete for  $\theta$ .

\*  $R$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

$\therefore R$  is complete sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Hence  $\frac{R(R-1)}{n(n-1)}$  is the unique MVUE of  $\theta^2$ .



$$X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$$

Likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta | \underline{X}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta x_i} \\ &= \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_i x_i} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient.

Also, since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid  $\text{Exp}(\theta)$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta) \leftarrow \text{a member of the exponential family.}$$

$\therefore T$  is complete, sufficient for  $\theta$ .

$$\text{Now } P(X_1 \leq a) = 1 - e^{-\theta a}$$

$$T = X_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n X_i$$

$$= X_1 + W \quad W \sim \text{Gamma}(n-1, \theta)$$

Since  $X_1$  and  $W$  are independent then

$$f_{X_1, W}(x_1, w) = \theta e^{-\theta x_1} \frac{\theta^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n-1)} w^{n-2} e^{-\theta w}$$

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t}$$

$$X_1 | T = t$$

$$f_{X_1|T}(x_1, t) = \frac{f_{X_1, \omega}(x_1, \omega)}{f_T(t)}$$

$$= \frac{\left\{ \cancel{\theta} e^{-\theta x_1} \frac{\cancel{\theta}^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n-1)} \omega^{n-2} \cancel{e^{-\theta \omega}} \right\}}{\left\{ \frac{\cancel{\theta}^n}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} \cancel{e^{-\theta t}} \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{t^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n-1)}$$

$$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$$

$$= (n-1) \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{t^{n-1}}$$

$$= (n-1) \frac{(t - x_1)^{n-2}}{t^{n-1}}$$

$$\therefore P(X_1 \leq a | T = t) = \int_0^a f_{X_1|T}(x_1, t) dx_1$$

$$= \int_0^a \frac{(n-1)}{t^{n-1}} (t - x)^{n-2} dx$$

$$= - \left( \frac{t - x}{t} \right)^{n-1} \Big|_0^a$$

$$= 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{a}{t} \right)^{n-1}$$