

STAT0017: Selected Topics In Statistics

Topic 2: “Dependence modelling in finance using copulas”

Lecture 2

2019

Last week:

- We defined what is a copula is.
 - * What is the probabilistic definition of a **copula**?
- We considered properties that a function should satisfy in order to be a copula.
 - * Can you name any of these properties?
- Copulas (or copulae) allow us to understand and study dependence independently of the margins.
- We introduced fundamental copulas, implicit copulas, and briefly explicit copulas.

Today:

- We are going to consider explicit copulas more explicitly.
- In particular, we will consider Archimedean copulas.
- We will also see how can we simulate observations from copulas.

Material and text books relevant to Lecture 2

References

- ① Edward W. Frees and Emiliano A. Valdez. *Understanding relationships using copulas*.
North American Actuarial Journal, 2(1):1–25, 1998
- ② R.B. Nelsen. *An Introduction to Copulas*.
Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 2006
See **Chapter 4**
- ③ Alexander J. McNeil, Rüdiger Frey, and Paul Embrechts. *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools*.
Princeton University Press, 2015
See **Chapter 5.4**

Definition 1.1

Let φ be a continuous, strictly decreasing function from $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ to $[0, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(1) = 0$. The *pseudo-inverse* of φ is the function $\varphi^{[-1]}$ with $\text{Dom } \varphi^{[-1]} = [0, \infty]$ and $\text{Ran } \varphi^{[-1]} = \mathbb{I}$ given by:

$$\varphi^{[-1]}(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1}(t) & 0 \leq t \leq \varphi(0) \\ 0 & \varphi(0) \leq t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

Note that $\varphi^{[-1]}$ is continuous and nonincreasing on $[0, \infty]$, and strictly decreasing on $[0, \varphi(0)]$.

Archimedean Copulas

Furthermore, $\varphi(\varphi^{[-1]}(u)) = u$ on \mathbb{I} and:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\varphi^{[-1]}(t)) &= \begin{cases} t & 0 \leq t \leq \varphi(0) \\ \varphi(0) & \varphi(0) \leq t \leq \infty \end{cases} \\ &= \min(t, \varphi(0))\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\varphi(0) = \infty$, then $\varphi^{[-1]} = \varphi^{-1}$.

Lemma 1.1

Let φ be a continuous, strictly decreasing function from \mathbb{I} to $[0, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(1) = 0$, and let $\varphi^{[-1]}$ be the *pseudo-inverse* of φ in Definition 1.1. Let C be the function from \mathbb{I}^d to \mathbb{I} given by:

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \varphi^{[-1]}(\varphi(u_1) + \dots + \varphi(u_d)) \quad (1.1)$$

Then C satisfies the boundary conditions for a copula:

- ① $C(u_1, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_d) = 0$ if $u_j = 0$ for at least one $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$
- ② $C(1, \dots, 1, u_j, 1, \dots, 1) = u_j$ for all u_j and $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

Definition 1.2

Let φ be a continuous, strictly decreasing function from \mathbb{I} to $[0, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(1) = 0$, and let $\varphi^{[-1]}$ be the pseudo-inverse of φ defined in Definition 1.1. Then the function C from \mathbb{I}^2 to \mathbb{I} given by (1.1) is a copula if and only if φ is convex.

- Copulas of the form given by (1.1) are called *Archimedean* copulas.
- The function φ is called a *generator* of the copula.
- If $\varphi(0) = \infty$, we say that φ is a *strict* generator.
- Hence, $\varphi^{[-1]} = \varphi^{-1}$ and $C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1) + \dots + \varphi(u_d))$ is said to be a *strict* Archimedean copula.

Example

Example 1.5. Let $\varphi(t) = -\ln t$ for t in $[0, 1]$. Because $\varphi(0) = \infty$, φ is strict. Hence, $\varphi^{[-1]}(t) = \varphi^{-1}(t) = \exp(-t)$.

Generate copula C using (1.1):

$$C(u, v) = \exp(-[(-\ln u) + (-\ln v)]) = uv = \Pi(u, v)$$

Question: Is $\Pi(u, v)$ a strict Archimedean copula?

Example

Example 1.6. Let $\varphi(t) = 1 - t$ for t in $[0, 1]$. Then, $\varphi^{[-1]}(t) = 1 - t$ for t in $[0, 1]$ and 0 for $t > 1$, i.e. $\varphi^{[-1]}(t) = \max(1 - t, 0)$.

Again, generate copula C using (1.1):

$$C(u, v) = \max(u + v - 1, 0) = W(u, v)$$

Question: Is $W(u, v)$ a strict Archimedean copula?

Copula	Generator $\phi(t)$	Parameter range	Strict	Lower	Upper
<i>Gumbel</i>	$(-\ln t)^\theta$	$\theta \geq 1$	Yes	Π	M
<i>Clayton</i>	$\frac{1}{\theta} (t^{-\theta} - 1)$	$\theta \geq -1$	$\theta \geq 0$	W	M
<i>Frank</i>	$-\ln \left(\frac{e^{-\theta t} - 1}{e^{-\theta} - 1} \right)$	$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$	Yes	W	M

Table 1: Table summarizing generator functions for widely used copulas, parameter space and limiting cases.

5 minute break

Check Your Understanding

- What is the probabilistic interpretation of the grounded property of a copula?

Bivariate Copula Simulation

Sklar's theorem allows modelling the dependency structure separately from the marginals.

To simulate bivariate data with a particular copula dependence structure, the following steps are performed:

- 1 Simulate uniform random variables $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ with the given copula dependency structure.
- 2 Generate sample $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} = \{F_{X_1}^{-1}(U_1), \dots, F_{X_n}^{-1}(U_n)\}$.

Note that a random variable X_i can have any desired distribution by choosing arbitrary marginal quantile function $F_{X_i}^{-1}$.

The Gaussian copula

It can be noted here that Step 2 is straightforward. However, it is Step 1 that requires some extra work.

The Gaussian copula is a distribution over the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$.

$$C_G(u_1, \dots, u_d | \rho) = \Phi_G(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(u_d) | \rho)$$

This copula is constructed from a standard multivariate normal distribution over \mathbb{R}^d by using the Probability Integral Transform (PIT):

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_d\} = \{\Phi(Y_1), \dots, \Phi(Y_d)\}$$

where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of a standard normal.

Bivariate Gaussian copula

Let's focus on the bivariate case, $d = 2$.

Let Y_1 and Y_2 be independent and standard $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables such that:

$$\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, Y_2] \sim N\left([0, 0], \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\{U_1, U_2\} = \{\Phi(Y_1), \Phi(Y_2)\}$$

★ When $\rho = 0$, Y_1 and Y_2 are said to be independent!

Bivariate Gaussian copula

Question: How can we induce statistical dependence between these two random variables, Y_1 and Y_2 ?

First, let's consider a general case:

$$X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X_1}, \sigma_{X_1}^2)$$

$$X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X_2}, \sigma_{X_2}^2)$$

Bivariate Gaussian copula

Let $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ such that:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (z_1^2 + z_2^2) \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

Next, let's transform these standard normal random variables Z_1, Z_2 to random variables, X_1 and X_2 , that follow a normal distribution with arbitrary parameters $\mu_{X_1}, \mu_{X_2}, \sigma_{X_1}, \sigma_{X_2}, \rho$.

$$X_1 = \sigma_{X_1} Z_1 + \mu_{X_1} \quad (1.3)$$

$$X_2 = \sigma_{X_2} \left(\rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2 \right) + \mu_{X_2} \quad (1.4)$$

Bivariate Gaussian copula

Next, let's examine the marginal distributions of X_1 and X_2 .

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1) = \sigma_{X_1} \mathbb{E}(Z_1) + \mu_{X_1} = \mu_{X_1} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_2) = \sigma_{X_2} \left(\rho \mathbb{E}(Z_1) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \mathbb{E}(Z_2) \right) + \mu_{X_2} = \mu_{X_2} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma_{X_1}^2 \text{Var}(Z_1) + 0 = \sigma_{X_1}^2 \quad (1.7)$$

$$\text{Var}(X_2) = \sigma_{X_2}^2 \rho^2 \text{Var}(Z_1) + \sigma_{X_2}^2 (1 - \rho^2) \text{Var}(Z_2) + 0 = \sigma_{X_2}^2 \quad (1.8)$$

Hence: $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X_1}, \sigma_{X_1}^2)$ and $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X_2}, \sigma_{X_2}^2)$

Bivariate Gaussian copula

It can also be shown that the correlation between X_1 and X_2 is ρ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) &= \mathbb{E} \{ (X_1 - \mathbb{E}(X_1))(X_2 - \mathbb{E}(X_2)) \} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ (\sigma_{X_1} Z_1 + \mu_{X_1} - \mu_{X_1}) \left(\sigma_{X_2} \left[\rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2 \right] + \mu_{X_2} - \mu_{X_2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ (\sigma_{X_1} Z_1) \left(\sigma_{X_2} \left[\rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2 \right] \right) \right\} \\ &= \sigma_{X_1} \sigma_{X_2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \rho Z_1^2 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_1 Z_2 \right\} \\ &= \sigma_{X_1} \sigma_{X_2} \rho \mathbb{E} \{ Z_1^2 \} + 0 \\ &= \sigma_{X_1} \sigma_{X_2} \rho\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\rho(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\sigma_{X_1} \sigma_{X_2}} = \rho \tag{1.9}$$

Bivariate Gaussian copula

Now we can easily obtain $Y_1, Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ with the dependence determined by ρ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{Y_1} &= 0, & \mu_{Y_2} &= 0 \\ \sigma_{Y_1} &= 1 & \sigma_{Y_2} &= 1\end{aligned}$$

$$Y_1 = \sigma_{Y_1} Z_1 + \mu_{Y_1} = Z_1 \tag{1.10}$$

$$Y_2 = \sigma_{Y_2} \left(\rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2 \right) + \mu_{Y_2} = \rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2 \tag{1.11}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, Y_2] \sim N\left([0, 0], \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Bivariate Gaussian copula

We have seen that when $\rho = 0$, Y_1 and Y_2 are said to be independent!

Question: What is the copula of (Y_1, Y_2) ?

How can you generate observations from that copula?

Multivariate Gaussian copula

We can define the multivariate Normal distribution $\mathbf{X} \sim N_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ for any dimension d by the following stochastic representation:

$$\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{Z}$$

where:

- $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the mean vector.
- \mathbf{L} is the lower triangular matrix known as the *Cholesky factor* of the Cholesky decomposition.
- $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d)'$ is a d -dimensional random vector of independent standard normal random variables Z_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

Multivariate Gaussian copula

The simulation from the multivariate Gaussian copula can be performed easily using the following steps:

- ➊ Compute the $d \times d$ Cholesky *factor* \mathbf{L} of Σ
- ➋ Simulate a sample \mathbf{Z} of size $d \times 1$ from $N(0, 1)$
- ➌ Create a $d \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{U} = \Phi(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{Z})$
- ➍ Repeat steps 2 – 3 n times

where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of a standard normal.

Cholesky decomposition (factorization)

Every symmetric, positive definite matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ can be factored as:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T$$

where \mathbf{L} is lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements.

- \mathbf{L} is called the *Cholesky factor* of \mathbf{A}
- it can be interpreted as a generalized “square root” of a positive definite matrix \mathbf{A}

Cholesky decomposition (factorization)

Example:

Let's find the Cholesky decomposition of Σ for the general covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y \\ \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab \\ ab & b^2 + c^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y \\ \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We need to solve for a, b, c:

$$a^2 = \sigma_X^2 \quad ab = \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y \quad b^2 + c^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$

$$a = \sigma_X$$

$$b = \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y / a = \rho\sigma_Y$$

$$c = \sqrt{\sigma_Y^2 - b^2} = \sigma_Y (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}$$

Cholesky decomposition (factorization)

This is how we obtained the required transformation in equations (1.3) and (1.4).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{Z} \\ \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{X_1} \\ \mu_{X_2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{X_1} & 0 \\ \rho\sigma_{X_2} & \sigma_{X_2}(1-\rho^2)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{X_1} \\ \mu_{X_2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{X_1} Z_1 \\ \rho\sigma_{X_2} Z_1 + \sigma_{X_2}(1-\rho^2)^{1/2} Z_2 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &= \mu_{X_1} + \sigma_{X_1} Z_1 \\ X_2 &= \mu_{X_2} + \sigma_{X_2} \left[\rho Z_1 + (1-\rho^2)^{1/2} Z_2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

Student- t copula

The Student- t copula is a distribution over the unit hypercube $[0, 1]^d$.

$$C_t(u_1, \dots, u_d | \rho, \nu) = t^d(t_\nu^{-1}(u_1), \dots, t_\nu^{-1}(u_d) | \rho, \nu)$$

In a similar fashion, this copula can be constructed from a multivariate t distribution over \mathbb{R}^d by using the Probability Integral Transform (PIT):

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_d\} = \{t_\nu(Y_1), \dots, t_\nu(Y_d)\}$$

where $t_\nu(\cdot)$ is the standard Student- t cumulative distribution function with ν degrees of freedom

Student- t copula

The multivariate t distribution with ν degrees of freedom $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{Student}^d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \nu)$ can be defined by the following stochastic representation:

$$\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{S}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Z}$$

where:

- $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the mean vector.
- S denotes a random variable following a chi-squared distribution with ν degree of freedom, $S \sim \chi_{(\nu)}^2$.
- \mathbf{L} is the lower triangular matrix known as the *Cholesky factor* of the Cholesky decomposition.
- $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_d)'$ is a d -dimensional random vector of independent standard normal random variables Z_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

Student- t copula

Next we simulate from the Student- t copula:

- 1 Compute the 2×2 Cholesky *factor* \mathbf{L} of Σ
- 2 Simulate a sample \mathbf{Z} of size 2×1 from $N(0, 1)$
- 3 Simulate a sample S of size 1 from $\chi^2_{(\nu)}$
- 4 \star Compute a 2×1 vector $\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{S}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Z}$
- 5 Create a 2×1 vector $\mathbf{U} = t_{\nu}(\mathbf{Y})$
- 6 Repeat steps 2 – 5 n times

$t_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is the standard Student- t cumulative distribution function with ν degrees of freedom

5 minute break

Check Your Understanding

- How would you simulate from fundamental copulas:

$$W(u, v) = \max(u + v - 1, 0)$$

$$\Pi(u, v) = uv$$

$$M(u, v) = \min(u, v)$$

Archimedean copulas

- Previous approach can only be implemented when the functional form of the joint distribution is known.
- Usually this is not the case.
- However, we might have some information about the dependence structure, which can be described using a particular copula.
- In this situation, we simulate directly observations from the multivariate uniform distribution over the d -dimensional unit hypercube:

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_d\}$$

Archimedean copulas

- The Archimedean class of copulas is particularly popular.
- We have already seen several members of this class

A very important function that we will make use of is the 1-dimensional conditional CDF, which is defined as:

Conditional CDF

$$\begin{aligned} G_{d|1\dots d-1}(u_d|u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}) &= \mathbb{P}(U_d \leq u_d | U_1 = u_1, \dots, U_{d-1} = u_{d-1}) \\ &= \frac{\frac{\partial^{d-1}}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_{d-1}} C_{1\dots d}(u_1, \dots, u_d)}{\frac{\partial^{d-1}}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_{d-1}} C_{1\dots d-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{d-1})} \end{aligned}$$

Archimedean copulas

- Let's focus on the bivariate case, $d = 2$.

Consider the conditional distribution of U_1 given the occurrence of the value $U_2 = u_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1|2}(u_1, u_2) &= \mathbb{P}\{U_1 \leq u_1 | U_2 = u_2\} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta u_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{C(u_1, u_2 + \Delta u_2) - C(u_1, u_2)}{\Delta u_2} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} \mathbf{C}(u_1, u_2) \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

because $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \mathbf{C}(u_1, 1) = 1$

This also implies that the conditional CDF may be derived directly from the copula itself:

$$F_{1|2}(x_1|x_2) = \frac{\partial F_{12}(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} / f_2(x_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} C(F_{X_1}(x_1), F_{X_2}(x_2))$$

where $u_2 \equiv F_{X_2}(x_2)$

The method of conditional distributions

The simulation of uniform variates for a given copula C can be accomplished with this following general algorithm:

1. Generate 2 independent uniform random variables v_1 and v_2 from $U(0, 1)$
2. Set $u_1 = v_1$
3. Set $u_2 = G_{2|1}^{-1}(v_2|u_1)$, where $G_{2|1}(u_2|u_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} C_{12}(u_1, u_2)$

- **Clayton copula**

1. Generate 2 independent uniform random variables v_1 and v_2 from $U(0, 1)$
2. Set $u_1 = v_1$
3. Set $u_2 = \left(v_1^{-\theta} \left(v_2^{-\theta/(\theta+1)} - 1 \right) + 1 \right)^{-1/\theta}$

The desired pair is then (u_1, u_2)

- **Frank copula**

1. Generate 2 independent uniform random variables v_1 and v_2 from $U(0, 1)$
2. Set $u_1 = v_1$
3. Set $u_2 = -\frac{1}{\theta} \log \left(1 + \frac{v_2(1-e^{-\theta})}{v_2(e^{-\theta v_1}-1)-e^{-\theta v_1}} \right)$

- **Gumbel copula**

1. Generate 2 independent uniform random variables v_1 and v_2 from $U(0, 1)$
2. Set $u_1 = v_1$
3. $v_2 = \exp \left\{ - \left[(-\log u_1)^\theta + (-\log u_2)^\theta \right]^{1/\theta} \right\} \cdot \left(1 + \left[\frac{\log u_2}{\log u_1} \right]^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1} \cdot u_1^{-1}$

For the Gumbel copula, the conditional distribution $G_{2|1}(u_2|u_1)$ is not directly invertible, but can be solved numerically for u_2 .

The method of conditional distributions

- There is an alternative equivalent algorithm based on the Archimedean construction.
- Generate random variables X_1, \dots, X_d having known distribution $F_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = C(F_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, F_{X_d}(x_d))$, where the copula function is:

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u_1) + \dots + \varphi(u_d))$$

- The idea is to simulate the full distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_d) by recursive simulation of the conditional distribution of X_k given X_1, \dots, X_{k-1} for $k = 2, \dots, d$.

The method of conditional distributions

The joint probability density function of X_1, \dots, X_k is:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_k} \varphi^{-1} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_k(x_k)] \} \\ &= \varphi^{-1(k)} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_k(x_k)] \} \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi^{(1)}[F_i(x_i)] F_i^{(1)}(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript notation (j) means the j -th mixed partial derivative.

The method of conditional distributions

Hence, we can express the conditional distribution of X_k given X_1, \dots, X_{k-1} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) &= \frac{f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)}{f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})} \\ &= \varphi^{(1)}[F_k(x_k)] F^{(1)}(x_k) \frac{\varphi^{-1(k)} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_k(x_k)] \}}{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_{k-1}(x_{k-1})] \}} \end{aligned}$$

The method of conditional distributions

Next, we can obtain the conditional distribution function of X_k given X_1, \dots, X_{k-1} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(x_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_k} f_k(x | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) dx \\ &= \frac{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_k(x_k)] \}}{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{ \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_{k-1}(x_{k-1})] \}} \\ &= \frac{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{ c_{k-1} + \varphi[F_k(x_k)] \}}{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} (c_{k-1})} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_k = \varphi[F_1(x_1)] + \dots + \varphi[F_k(x_k)]$

The method of conditional distributions

Algorithm

1. Generate d independent uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, \dots, U_d \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
2. Set $X_1 = F_1^{-1}(U_1)$ and $c_0 = 0$.
3. For $k = 2, \dots, p$, recursively calculate:

$$F_k(X_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \equiv U_{k|1, \dots, k-1} = \frac{\varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{c_{k-1} + \varphi[F_k(x_k)]\}}{\varphi^{-1(k-1)}(c_{k-1})}$$

and define X_k to be the solution of the equation:

$$U_{k|1, \dots, k-1} \cdot \varphi^{-1(k-1)}(c_{k-1}) = \varphi^{-1(k-1)} \{c_{k-1} + \varphi[F_k(x_k)]\}$$

The method of conditional distributions

- The method of conditional distributions becomes quite expensive for $d > 2$.
- However, for certain Archimedean copulas fast algorithm exists when the Laplace transform of some positive random variable results in the inverse generator function $\varphi^{-1}(s)$.
- This is the case of the following copulas:
 - Clayton
 - Frank
 - Gumbel

Algorithm

1. Generate a random variable V with distribution function $F_V(\cdot)$ such that the Laplace-Stieltjes transform $\tau(s)$ of $F_V(\cdot)$, is the inverse of the generator $\varphi(t)$ of the required copula, i.e. $\tau(s) = \varphi^{-1}(s)$.
2. Simulate d independent uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, \dots, U_d \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
3. Obtain uniform random variables with the required dependence $\mathbf{U} = \left(\tau\left(-\frac{\ln U_1}{V}\right), \dots, \tau\left(-\frac{\ln U_d}{V}\right) \right)'$

- **Clayton copula**

1. Generate a gamma variable $V \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{1}{\theta}, 1)$ with $\theta > 0$.
2. Simulate d independent uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, \dots, U_d \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
3. Obtain $U = \left(\left(1 + -\frac{\ln U_d}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \dots, \left(1 + -\frac{\ln U_d}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right)'$

The distribution function of V has Laplace transform $\tau(s) = (1 + s)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$.

• Frank copula

1. Generate a discrete random variable V with probability mass function $p(k) = P(V = k) = \frac{(1 - \exp(-\theta))^k}{k\theta}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\theta > 0$.
2. Simulate d independent uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, \dots, U_d \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.

3. Obtain
$$\mathbf{U} = \left(\frac{\ln \left[1 + e^{-\frac{\ln U_1}{V}} (e^\alpha - 1) \right]}{\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\ln \left[1 + e^{-\frac{\ln U_d}{V}} (e^\alpha - 1) \right]}{\alpha} \right)'$$

The distribution function of V has Laplace transform $\tau(s) = \frac{\ln[1 + e^s(e^\alpha - 1)]}{\alpha}$.

- **Gumbel copula**

1. Generate a positive stable variable $V \sim \text{St}(\frac{1}{\theta}, 1, \gamma, 0)$, where $\gamma = (\cos(\pi/(2\theta)))^\theta$ and $\theta > 1$
2. Simulate d independent uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, \dots, U_d \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
3. Obtain $\mathbf{U} = \left(\exp \left[- \left(-\frac{\ln U_1}{V} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right], \dots, \exp \left[- \left(-\frac{\ln U_d}{V} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)'$

The distribution function of V has Laplace transform $\tau(s) = \exp(-s^{1/\alpha})$.

Next week:

- Univariate Models
- Vine Copulas