CS/ECE 374 P24

Jiawei Tang, Pengxu Zheng

TOTAL POINTS

85 / 100

QUESTION 1

124.A. 25/30

- Opts Correct
- \checkmark 5 pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
- **15 pts** No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.
 - 25 pts Wrong solution.
 - 5 pts Error in base case.
 - 5 pts Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
 - 22.5 pts IDK policy.

QUESTION 2

- 2 24.B. 35 / 40
 - 0 pts Correct
 - \checkmark 5 pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
 - **15 pts** No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.
 - 25 pts Wrong solution.
 - **10 pts** Algorithm works for only one vertex and not all.
 - 5 pts Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
 - 30 pts IDK policy.

QUESTION 3

- 3 24.C. 25 / 30
 - √ 0 pts Correct
 - \checkmark 5 pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
 - **15 pts** No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.

- 25 pts Wrong solution.
- 10 pts Algorithm works for only one vertex and not
- 5 pts Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
- 22.5 pts IDK policy.

Submitted by:

```
 \bullet \  \, \ll Jiawei \ Tang \gg : \  \, \ll jiaweit 2 \gg \\ \bullet \  \, \ll Pengxu \ Zheng \gg : \  \, \ll pzheng 5 \gg
```

24

Solution:

24.A.

Since we want to find the shortest interval in the DAG G, we want to find the longest path in G. We initialize an array d so that |d| =number of vertices and set all of the elements to -1. Our algorithm is to keep updating the longest path we can get.

Version: 1.0

```
len = 0
for each v \in V do
  if v not visited then
  len = max(len, DFS(v))
end if
end for
return len

DFS(v):
dist = 0
for each out-edges from v to w do
  if d[w] == -1 then
  dist = max(dist, DFS(w))
end if
end for
return (d[v] = dist + T(v))
```

The time complexity to this algorithm is O(m) where m is the number of edges in G. So this algorithm has linear time complexity.

24.B.

To find the earliest time that job v can begin, we need to find the longest path that ends at v. To find the longest path from a starting vertex i to any $v \in V$, we compute the longest path from i to the predecessors u of v. The distance is maintained in a search table such that $\operatorname{dist}[v] = \max(\operatorname{dist}(u) + \operatorname{time}(u))$. After that, we negate the graph G to make its reverse graph G'. Combined with $\operatorname{dist}[v]$ calculation, we apply the same algorithm described in part A to calculate the ealierest time for v to begin.

24.C.

Using t_1 to denote result of part A that all jobs in G can be executed, and using t_v to denote the latest time that job v can begin, we obtain a relationship for the base case where v is the sink node:

```
t_v = t_1 - time(v).
```

For recursive steps, we assume that v is in the middle of G such that for all outgoing edges of v, we compute the latest time to start for all of its successors v'. As such, we have the latest time for v to end since v' starts right after v ends. The latest time for v to start is then calculated as $t_v = t_{v'} - time(v)$.

124.A. 25/30

- 0 pts Correct
- \checkmark 5 pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
 - 15 pts No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.
 - **25 pts** Wrong solution.
 - **5 pts** Error in base case.
 - **5 pts** Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
 - **22.5 pts** IDK policy.

Submitted by:

```
 \bullet \  \, \ll Jiawei \ Tang \gg : \  \, \ll jiaweit 2 \gg \\ \bullet \  \, \ll Pengxu \ Zheng \gg : \  \, \ll pzheng 5 \gg
```

24

Solution:

24.A.

Since we want to find the shortest interval in the DAG G, we want to find the longest path in G. We initialize an array d so that |d| =number of vertices and set all of the elements to -1. Our algorithm is to keep updating the longest path we can get.

Version: 1.0

```
len = 0
for each v \in V do
  if v not visited then
  len = max(len, DFS(v))
end if
end for
return len

DFS(v):
dist = 0
for each out-edges from v to w do
  if d[w] == -1 then
  dist = max(dist, DFS(w))
end if
end for
return (d[v] = dist + T(v))
```

The time complexity to this algorithm is O(m) where m is the number of edges in G. So this algorithm has linear time complexity.

24.B.

To find the earliest time that job v can begin, we need to find the longest path that ends at v. To find the longest path from a starting vertex i to any $v \in V$, we compute the longest path from i to the predecessors u of v. The distance is maintained in a search table such that $\operatorname{dist}[v] = \max(\operatorname{dist}(u) + \operatorname{time}(u))$. After that, we negate the graph G to make its reverse graph G'. Combined with $\operatorname{dist}[v]$ calculation, we apply the same algorithm described in part A to calculate the ealierest time for v to begin.

24.C.

Using t_1 to denote result of part A that all jobs in G can be executed, and using t_v to denote the latest time that job v can begin, we obtain a relationship for the base case where v is the sink node:

```
t_v = t_1 - time(v).
```

For recursive steps, we assume that v is in the middle of G such that for all outgoing edges of v, we compute the latest time to start for all of its successors v'. As such, we have the latest time for v to end since v' starts right after v ends. The latest time for v to start is then calculated as $t_v = t_{v'} - time(v)$.

2 24.B. 35 / 40

- **0 pts** Correct
- $\sqrt{-5}$ pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
 - 15 pts No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.
 - 25 pts Wrong solution.
 - 10 pts Algorithm works for only one vertex and not all.
 - **5 pts** Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
 - 30 pts IDK policy.

Submitted by:

```
 \bullet \  \, \ll Jiawei \ Tang \gg : \  \, \ll jiaweit 2 \gg \\ \bullet \  \, \ll Pengxu \ Zheng \gg : \  \, \ll pzheng 5 \gg
```

24

Solution:

24.A.

Since we want to find the shortest interval in the DAG G, we want to find the longest path in G. We initialize an array d so that |d| =number of vertices and set all of the elements to -1. Our algorithm is to keep updating the longest path we can get.

Version: 1.0

```
len = 0
for each v \in V do
  if v not visited then
  len = max(len, DFS(v))
end if
end for
return len

DFS(v):
dist = 0
for each out-edges from v to w do
  if d[w] == -1 then
  dist = max(dist, DFS(w))
end if
end for
return (d[v] = dist + T(v))
```

The time complexity to this algorithm is O(m) where m is the number of edges in G. So this algorithm has linear time complexity.

24.B.

To find the earliest time that job v can begin, we need to find the longest path that ends at v. To find the longest path from a starting vertex i to any $v \in V$, we compute the longest path from i to the predecessors u of v. The distance is maintained in a search table such that $\operatorname{dist}[v] = \max(\operatorname{dist}(u) + \operatorname{time}(u))$. After that, we negate the graph G to make its reverse graph G'. Combined with $\operatorname{dist}[v]$ calculation, we apply the same algorithm described in part A to calculate the ealierest time for v to begin.

24.C.

Using t_1 to denote result of part A that all jobs in G can be executed, and using t_v to denote the latest time that job v can begin, we obtain a relationship for the base case where v is the sink node:

```
t_v = t_1 - time(v).
```

For recursive steps, we assume that v is in the middle of G such that for all outgoing edges of v, we compute the latest time to start for all of its successors v'. As such, we have the latest time for v to end since v' starts right after v ends. The latest time for v to start is then calculated as $t_v = t_{v'} - time(v)$.

3 24.C. 25 / 30

√ - 0 pts Correct

- $\sqrt{-5}$ pts Did not make any statement of the running time, or the analysis of the running time is wrong.
 - 10 pts Running time is slower than linear.
 - 15 pts No explanation of the algorithm, i.e. English description.
 - 25 pts Wrong solution.
 - 10 pts Algorithm works for only one vertex and not all.
 - **5 pts** Other minor error (-5 pts per minor error).
 - **22.5 pts** IDK policy.