## **Natural Language Processing & Word Embeddings**

<ul> <li>Suppose you learn a word embedding for a vocabulary of 10000 words should be 10000 dimensional, so as to capture the full range of variation.</li> <li>True</li> <li>False</li> </ul>	<del>-</del>	
<ul><li>2. What is t-SNE?</li><li>✓ A non-linear dimensionality reduction technique.</li></ul>		
A linear transformation that allows us to solve analogies on word	vectors.	
A supervised learning algorithm for learning word embeddings.		
An open-source sequence modeling library.		
3. Suppose you download a pre-trained word embedding which has been trained on a huge corpus of text. You then use this word embedding to train an RNN for a language task of recognizing if someone is happy from a short snippet of text, using a small training set.		
x(input text)	y (happy?)	
I'm feeling wonderful today!	1	
I'm bummed my cat is ill.	0	
Really enjoying this!	1	
Then even if the word "ecstatic" does not appear in your small training be expected to recognize "I'm ecstatic" as deserving a label $y=1$ . $\ensuremath{\checkmark}$ True $\ensuremath{\Box}$ False	set, your RNN might reasonably	
4. Which of these equations do you think should hold for a good word en $e_{boy} - e_{girl} \approx e_{brother} - e_{sister}$ $e_{boy} - e_{girl} \approx e_{sister} - e_{brother}$ $e_{boy} - e_{brother} \approx e_{sister} - e_{sister}$ $e_{boy} - e_{brother} \approx e_{girl} - e_{sister}$ $e_{boy} - e_{brother} \approx e_{sister} - e_{girl}$	nbedding? (Check all that apply)	
5. Let $E$ be an embedding matrix, and let $e_{1234}$ be a one-hot vector corres	sponding to word 1234. Then to	

get the embedding of word 1234, why don't we call  $E st e_{1234}$  in Python?

✓ It is computationally wasteful.

$lacksquare$ The correct formula is $E^{\scriptscriptstyle T} * e_{1234}$ .
☐ This doesn't handle unknown words ().
■ None of the above: Calling the Python snippet as described above is fine.
6. When learning word embeddings, we create an artificial task of estimating $P(target \mid context)$ . It is okay if we do poorly on this artificial prediction task; the more important by-product of this task is that we learn a useful set of word embeddings.
☐ True
7. In the word2vec algorithm, you estimate $P(t\mid c)$ , where $t$ is the target word and $c$ is a context word. How are $t$ and $c$ chosen from the training set? Pick the best answer.
${\mathscr C}$ and $t$ are chosen to be nearby words.
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
lacksquare $c$ is the sequence of all the words in the sentence before $t$ .
lacksquare $c$ is a sequence of several words immediately before $t$ .
8. Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The word2vec model uses the following softmax function: $P(t \mid c) = \frac{e^{\theta_t^T e_c}}{\sum_{t'=1}^{10000} e^{\theta_t^T e_c}}$ Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply.
$ extstyle  heta_t$ and $e_c$ are both 500 dimensional vectors.
$\theta_t$ and $e_c$ are both 10000 dimensional vectors.
$artheta$ and $e_c$ are both trained with an optimization algorithm such as Adam or gradient descent.
$lacksquare$ After training, we should expect $ heta_t$ to be very close to $e_c$ when $t$ and $c$ are the same word.
9. Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The GloVe model minimizes this objective: $\min \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} f(X_{ij}) (\theta_i^T e_j + b_i + b'_j - log X_{ij})^2$ Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply.
$lacksquare$ $ heta_i$ and $e_j$ hould be initialized to 0 at the beginning of training.
${\mathscr C}$ $\theta_i$ and $e_j$ hould be initialized to 0 at the beginning of training.
${\mathscr C} X_{ij}$ is the number of times word i appears in the context of word j.
$ extcolor{black}{ ext$
The weighting function helps prevent learning only from extremely common word pairs. It is not necessary that it satisfies this function.

10. You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of  $m_1$  words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language task, for which you have a separate labeled dataset of  $m_2$  words. Keeping in mind that using word embeddings is a form of transfer learning, under which of these circumstance would you expect the word embeddings to be helpful?

 $\square$   $m_1 << m_2$