

1st- and 2nd-order Time Integrators

A general form of a family of time integration schemes:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{n+1} &= v^n + h((1 - \gamma)a^n + \gamma a^{n+1}) \\ x^{n+1} &= x^n + hv^n + ah^2((1 - 2\beta)a^n + 2\beta a^{n+1}) \\ \text{where } a^{n+1} &= M^{-1}f(x^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Newmark:

- explicit central diff: $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0.5$
- middle point rule: $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.25, \gamma = 0.5$

Euler:

- forward: $\alpha = 0, \beta = ?, \gamma = 0$
- symplectic: $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0$
- backward: $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0.5, \gamma = 1$

Static:

no inertia term, $2\alpha\beta h^2 \neq 0$

since

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n+1} &= x^n + hv^n + ah^2M^{-1}((1 - 2\beta)f(x^n) + 2\beta f(x^{n+1})) \\ Mx^{n+1} &= M(x^n + hv^n + ah^2M^{-1}(1 - 2\beta)f(x^n)) + 2\beta ah^2f(x^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

we have the incremental potential

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - \tilde{x}^n\|_M + 2\alpha\beta h^2 P(x)$$

where

$$\tilde{x}^n = x^n + hv^n + \alpha(1 - 2\beta)h^2 a^n$$

after solving for x^{n+1} , we can update

$$\begin{aligned} a^{n+1} &= (x^{n+1} - \tilde{x}^n)/(2\alpha\beta h^2) \text{ for implicit schemes, or generally } a^{n+1} = M^{-1}f(x^{n+1}) \\ v^{n+1} &= v^n + h((1 - \gamma)a^n + \gamma a^{n+1}) \\ v^{n+1} &= v^n + h((1 - \gamma)a^n + \gamma(x^{n+1} - \tilde{x}^n)/(2\alpha\beta h^2)) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha\beta h} x^{n+1} + v^n + h(1 - \gamma)a^n - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha\beta h} \tilde{x} \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha\beta h} x^{n+1} - \hat{v}^n \end{aligned}$$

MPM

Extra or different steps than BE:

- ~~p2g~~ ma^n and ~~get grid~~ a^n compute a_i^n as $a_i^n = f_i^n/m_i$
- grid \tilde{x}^n and the coefficient in front of each potential energy is different in the incremental potential
- for elasticity, as currently we use grid x^{n+1} as variable, it should be consistent as $F^{n+1} = (\sum_i x_i^{n+1} (\nabla \omega_{ip}^n)^T) F^n$ still holds
- $J^{n+1} = (1 + \nabla \cdot (x^{n+1} - x^n)) J^n = (1 + (Tr \nabla x^{n+1} - dim)) J^n$
- compute updated a^{n+1} and v^{n+1} as derived above (same with FEM)
- ~~g2p~~ a^{n+1} (not needed then)

Make sure that after modifying the implementation to the above general form, BE still works.