

1. Weighted set-covering problem

In the original set-covering problem, it assumes the cost of adding each set into the set cover is 1. Now let's consider another case. For each set S , the cost of adding it into \mathcal{C} is $n = 2^k$. The cost of each set is known. The optimal cover is the cover that has minimum cost. Provide an approximation algorithm of this weighted set-covering problem. How well does this algorithm work?

Solution:

We can adapt the problem as follows:

For each vertex v , we find the maximum cost of all sets: $n = \max_{S \in (F)}(\text{weight of } S)$.

We then divide every vertex v into n subvertices. For a set S that has a weight of i , it contains $2^{\lg n - \lg i}$ subvertices of every vertex it contains. So the revised set S' has $|S| \cdot 2^{\lg n - \lg i}$ vertices. When executing the GREEDY-SET-COVER algorithm, whenever a subvertex of a vertex is included, all the subvertices of this vertex is marked as "contained".

With the similar proof in the text, this algorithm is a $\rho(n) = H(\max\{|S| \cdot \text{weight of } S : S \in (F)\})$ approximation algorithm.

2. Broadcast in Radio

A radio network is composed of many radio stations. Each station is connected to some other stations. When a station delivers a message, only the stations connected to it can get this message. Now we want to broadcast a message to all the stations. We want to minimize the number of deliveries required to make all stations get this message. Give an approximation algorithm that can solve this problem. (*Hint:* use the greedy-set-cover algorithm.)

Solution:

Think of this problem as a set-covering problem. Let X be the set of all the stations. For each station v_i , the set $S_i = \{v_i\} + \{\text{neighborsof } v_i\}$. We call v_i the center of set S_i . \mathcal{F} is composed of

all these sets. So \mathcal{F} has $|X|$ sets.

So we can use the greedy-set-cover algorithm to find a set cover. For any two sets S_i and S_j in the resulting cover such that $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$, we can deliver the message from a vertex in S_i to the center of S_i , from the center of S_i to any vertex $v \in S_i \cap S_j$, from this vertex to the center of set S_j , and finally from center of S_j to all the other vertices in set S_j . So the number of deliveries is $2 \cdot \text{size of set cover}$.

3. 2-approximation Steiner Tree (35.2-3 in text)

Consider the following **closest-point heuristic** for building an approximate traveling-salesman tour. Begin with a trivial cycle consisting of a single arbitrarily chosen vertex. At each step, identify the vertex u that is not on the cycle but whose distance to any vertex on the cycle is minimum. Suppose that the vertex on the cycle that is nearest u is vertex v . Extend the cycle to include u by inserting u just after v . Repeat until all vertices are on the cycle. Prove that this heuristic returns a tour whose total cost is not more than twice the cost of an optimal tour.

Solution:

Let's denote the optimal tour at the step i as H_i^* , and the tour produced by the heuristic as H_i . Suppose the vertex on the cycle that is nearest u_i is vertex v_i , when adding vertex u_i . Since the cost function satisfies the triangle inequality, it is easy to get: $c(H_i) \leq c(H_{i-1}) + 2c(u_i, v_i)$. So $c(H_i) \leq 2 \sum_i c(u_i, v_i)$.

Besides, we may notice that the way nodes and edges are added in closest-point heuristic is exactly the same as Prim's algorithm. So the cost of the MST produced by Prim's algorithm is equal to $\sum_i c(u_i, v_i)$.

In the text it is proved that: $c(MST) \leq c(H^*)$, so we have: $c(H) \leq 2c(MST) \leq 2c(H^*)$. So it is proved.