

DETERMINING THE POWER SPECTRUM OF THE COBE DMR SKY MAPS: ANALYSIS OF FOUR-YEAR DATA

HANS-PETTER HARVEG

Draft version November 21, 2017

ABSTRACT

We apply Markov Chain Monte Carlo data analysis to the four-year COBE-DMR observations where we focus on two important cosmological parameters; Q , the *amplitude* and n , the *tilt* of the CMB spectrum.

Subject headings: cosmic microwave background — cosmology: observations — methods: statistical

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

The CMB is a collection of the oldest photons in the universe. These photons were released about 380 000 years after the Big Bang, when the temperature fell below 3000K. Before that time, all space was filled with a plasma of free electrons and free photons and the photons were trapped within this plasma, because they frequently got scattered around in the plasma. Once the temperature fell below 3000K, suddenly all free electrons effectively "disappeared", merging with protons into the hydrogen. The trapped photons were then released and free to move throughout the universe.

Because of the expansion of the universe, the wavelength of these released photons are stretched. This caused the temperature of the photons to decrease by about 1100K, from about 3000K to about 2.7K, which is the wavelength that corresponds to microwaves and is today observable at all radio frequencies. Essentially, the CMB map shows the matter density shortly after the Big Bang. In other words; the hotter the photons, the higher the density.

1.2. Spherical harmonic decomposition

In our analysis, we therefore need to find the variation in CMB temperature as a function of the position on the sky. To do so, we will use a variation of Fourier transforms, namely spherical harmonic decomposition which is just a spherical equivalent to Fourier transforms. Following the (Eriksen and Ruud, 2017)5, we have

$$\Delta T(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{max}} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (1)$$

where $a_{\ell m}$ is the amplitudes of the waves, ℓ and m are two characteristic numbers, describing each wave mode. ℓ describe the effective wavelength and m describe the phase.

By applying spherical harmonic decomposition, we will use the *amplitude* of the signal as a function of wavelength to produce what is called the *angular power spectrum*. By comparing our predictions to the measured data, we can find a best-fit of the real picture of the universe.

Electronic address: hanspeph@student.matnat.uio.no

¹ Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1029 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway

From now on, we will use bold upper case letters to denote matrices, bold lower case letters to denote vectors, and use p as a *pixel label*.

2. METHOD

2.1. Data model

We will now describe the methods used in this Letter. Following (Eriksen and Ruud, 2017), we model our data as a sum of a CMB component and an instrumental noise component,

$$d(\hat{n}) = s(\hat{n}) + n(\hat{n}) + f(\hat{n}), \quad (2)$$

where d is the observed signal, s is the true CMB signal, n is the noise, f is possible non-cosmological foreground signals, and \hat{n} is the direction. We assume that s , n and f are non-correlating, so all cross products will have zero mean.

The covariance matrix of d is therefore given by

$$\mathbf{C} \equiv \langle \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d}^T \rangle \equiv \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{F}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{S} is the covariance matrix of the CMB signal, \mathbf{N} is the covariance matrix of the noise, and \mathbf{F} is the covariance matrix of the foregrounds. In our case, each of these matrices will be an $N_{pix} \times N_{pix}$ -matrix.

We assume that the noise is Gaussian-distributed and uncorrelated between pixels. The standard deviation is given by σ_p . The noise covariance matrix is diagonal with the usual variance located along the diagonal

$$N_{ij} = \langle n_i n_j \rangle = \sigma_i^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

where i and j are two pixel indices.

Next, we assume that ² the CMB field is Gaussian and isotropic, but this time correlated between pixels. In this case the signal covariance matrix is therefore

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{max}} (2\ell + 1) (b_{\ell} p_{\ell})^2 C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos \theta_{ij}), \quad (5)$$

where b_{ℓ} and p_{ℓ} is the *instrumental beam* and *pixel window* respectively, both described in 1. $P_{\ell}(\theta_{is})$ is the legender polynomials where θ_{is} is the angle between pixels two pixels i and j .

² Eriksen and Ruud, 2017

Following (Bond and Efstathiou, 1987), to build the power spectrum C_ℓ we use

$$C_\ell = \frac{4\pi}{5} Q^2 \frac{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{9-n}{2})}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{5-n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3+n}{2})}, \quad (6)$$

We remove the monopole and the dipole by setting $C_0 = C_1 = 0$. Factorials are computational heavy. We therefore rewrite the expression by taking advantage of its recursive nature

To remove the monopole and dipole, we add a final term

$$\mathbf{F} = \lambda \mathbf{f} \mathbf{f}^T, \quad (7)$$

where λ is large constant, \mathbf{f} is the known structure on the sky one wants to be insensitive to.

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{F}, \quad (8)$$

where the individual matrices are described above.

2.2. Likelihood analysis

To find the best-fit values of Q and n we use the so-called *maximum-likelihood* framework (Eriksen and Ruud, 2017) defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, n) = p(\mathbf{d} | Q, n). \quad (9)$$

The joint distribution of Q and n is given by a multivariate Gaussian distribution, with \mathbf{d} as its variable. Using the covariance matrix described above, we thus have

$$-2 \log \mathcal{L}(Q, n) = \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{d} + \log |\mathbf{C}| + \text{constant}. \quad (10)$$

We will use (eq. ??) evaluate different combinations of Q and n . Because of numerical errors, we need to perform

all our calculations in *log-units*, then exponentiate at the end.

Finally we produce a two-dimensional contour plot based on the likelihood of the combinations of Q and n . Markov Chain Monte Carlo is applied to solve the likelihood.

Finally we use the two-dimensional data to calculate individual distributions for Q and n by

$$\mathcal{L}(Q) = \int_{inf}^{inf} \mathcal{L}(Q, n) dn \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(n) = \int_{inf}^{inf} \mathcal{L}(Q, n) dQ, \quad (11)$$

for Q and n respectively.

3. DATA

In our analysis we use the following data

- Two sets of data set from observations taken at 53GHz and 90GHz. Both files contains 1941 pixels. Each pixel has a linear size of 3.7° ($230'$). From a total of 3072, 1131 have been removed by a null-transparency binary mask.
- Two corresponding files for the variance (rms) of each pixel.
- A mask for masking undesired data
- Instrumental beam data

4. RESULTS

5. CONCLUSIONS

The Marcov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm

We want to thank Hans-Kristian Eriksen and Ainar Drews for guiding us through this study.

REFERENCES

- [Project assignment AST2210 - Analysis of four-year COBE-DMR DATA]
H.K.Eriksen, T.M Ruud
[2] Górski, K. M., Hinshaw, G., Banday, A. J., Bennett, C. L., Wright, E. L., Kogut, A., Smoot, G. F., and Lubin, P. 1994, ApJL, 430, 89