

Vehicle-to-Everything Communications (V2X) in 5G

Hugo Rummlinger, Frederik Schulz, Theo DDDDDDD, Anthony DDDDDDD

Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya

Master of Science

5G Mobile Communication Systems

Abstract

In the abstract, you write 2-3 paragraphs which summarize the key parts of your report.

I. PAPERS USED & HOW TO CITE THEM

- V2X access technologies: Regulation, research, and remaining challenges [Cite: machardy2018] [1]
- Dedicated short-range communications (DSRC) standards in the United States [Cite: kenney2011] [2]
- Standards for vehicular communication—from IEEE 802.11p to 5G [Cite: festag2015][3]
- Ready to roll: Why 802.11 p beats LTE and 5G for V2x [Cite: filippi2016] [4]
- Heterogeneous Vehicular Networking: A Survey on Architecture, Challenges, and Solutions [Cite: zheng2015] [5]
- LTE-advanced in 3GPP Rel -13/14: an evolution toward 5G [Cite: lee2016] [7]
- LTE for vehicular networking: a survey [Cite: araniti2013] [8]

II. INTRODUCTION

- Cooperative intelligent transportation systems (C-ITS)

III. USE-CASES

- A. *Vehicle-to-Vehicle*
- B. *Vehicle-to-Infrastructure/Network*
- C. *Vehicle-to-Person*

IV. V2X IN LTE NETWORKS

- use [8]

A. *Network*

Access Technologie from LTE point of vi

B. *Requirements*

Ffrom LTE point of view

C. *Services*

V. EVOLUTION FROM LTE TO 5G

- use [7]

A. *Network*

Something about new infrstucture

B. *Requirements*

Changed requirements

C. Services

VI. OTHER ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES

A. Dedicated Short Range Communication

- use [2] - use [1]
- Most discussions of, vehicular ad-hoc networks, or VANETs communications envision the use of dedicated short-range communications (DSRC), supported by the IEEE 802.11p standard [2] - low end-to-end latency, flexible organization due to a lack of centralized control, and relatively low cost. But it is also beset by a number of issues, including service degradation in congested scenarios, security problems, and difficulty coping with compromised line of sight [2]

B. Visible Light Communication

C. Bluetooth

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Cellular V2X versus DSRC

- DSRC: need for a dense deployment to cope with line of sight problems, service degradation in congestion scenarios to name a few [2] - Who will pay for these as IEEE 802.11p networks are typically not used outside, therefore networks typically do not have access control as cellular networks [2] New use case for IEEE 802.11 protocol - DSRC mandated standard by U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) [14], and the Association of Radio Industries and Businesses (ARIB) [2] - Cellular V2X (C-V2X) Compared to DSRC, these technologies offer a number of advantages, including a much larger coverage area, pre-existing infrastructure, deterministic security and QoS guarantees, as well more robust scalability [2] - C-V2X has on negative side: Centralized architecture, higher price for network, higher end-to-end-latency!, dependency on network connectivity [2] - Latency is a major obstacle for C-V2X deployment. Services with high need for time sensitivity, e.g. cooperative platooning or pre-crash sensing need low latency [2] - Relate here somehow to Ultra Reliable Low Latency stuff from lecture. - How is price determined in DSRC? - Dependency of network connectivity should be able to be done by D2D sidelink without eNB or gNB being available. Source here. - Would D2D sidelink also solve latency issues?

B. Other Technologies for V2X

- several other technologies, including Bluetooth, satellite radio, and visible light communications have been considered for use for V2X applications. While each of these technologies has features which make it potentially promising, each also has some unavoidable limitations, as covered in Section III-D, [2]

C. Heterogeneous Network

- maybe something about our opinion if this solution is viable. - good source [5]

VIII. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. MacHardy, A. Khan, K. Obana, and S. Iwashina, "V2x access technologies: Regulation, research, and remaining challenges," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1858–1877, 2018.
- [2] J. B. Kenney, "Dedicated short-range communications (dsrc) standards in the united states," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 1162–1182, 2011.
- [3] A. Festag, "Standards for vehicular communication—from iee 802.11p to 5g," *e & i Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*, vol. 132, no. 7, pp. 409–416, Nov 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-015-0343-0>
- [4] A. Filippi, K. Moerman, G. Daalderop, P. D. Alexander, F. Schober, and W. Pfliegl, "Ready to roll: Why 802.11 p beats lte and 5g for v2x," *white paper by NXP Semiconductors, Cohda Wireless, and Siemens*, 2016, 2016.
- [5] K. Zheng, Q. Zheng, P. Chatzimisios, W. Xiang, and Y. Zhou, "Heterogeneous vehicular networking: A survey on architecture, challenges, and solutions," *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2377–2396, Fourthquarter 2015.
- [6] G. Karagiannis, O. Altintas, E. Ekici, G. Heijenk, B. Jarupan, K. Lin, and T. Weil, "Vehicular networking: A survey and tutorial on requirements, architectures, challenges, standards and solutions," *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 584–616, Fourth 2011.
- [7] J. Lee, Y. Kim, Y. Kwak, J. Zhang, A. Papasakellariou, T. Novlan, C. Sun, and Y. Li, "Lte-advanced in 3gpp rel -13/14: an evolution toward 5g," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 36–42, March 2016.
- [8] G. Araniti, C. Campolo, M. Condoluci, A. Iera, and A. Molinaro, "Lte for vehicular networking: a survey," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 148–157, May 2013.