Protection profile of an industrial firewall

Version 1.0 mid-term

GTCSI

July 13, 2015

Preface

In the whole document, the acronym ToE (Target of Evaluation) designates the component being evaluated.

Text in red differs from the short-term version of the protection profile.

1 Product description

1.1 General description

In this protection profile, the ToE is an industrial firewall. It is designed for running in hostile environments where classical firewalls could not run properly due to heat, humidity or dust, for instance.

From a functional perspective, this firewall allows to interconnect an industrial network that has to be protected with another network with at least one of the following characteristics:

- a lesser control or a lesser level of trust;

- specific applications which do not interact with the industrial network;

- another industrial network with different functionalities;

- another domain of responsibility.

Depending on the architecture, this firewall can act as an IP router, a TCP proxy or an Ethernet bridge (stealth mode) for non-IP protocols. The firewall controls and filters the flows and can rewrites protocols from the layer 2 up to the applicative layer depending on supported and inspected protocols.

1.2 Features

The ToE includes the following features:

- **Network filtering:** The ToE supports dynamic filtering at layers 3 and 4 (stateful firewall). It also supports filtering at the layer 2 when the ToE is in stealth mode.

- **Protocol analysis:** The ToE checks that input packets are conform to the protocol specifications. This feature is not necessarily supported by all devices and the user should check that the right protocol is supported when they chose a device.

- **Administration functions:** The ToE includes administration functions in order to configure, or program the other functionalities of the ToE. Several administration interfaces are possible:

- thick-clients (sometimes also called, depending on the context, administration console, programming workstation. . .);

- web-clients;

- removable devices (USB drives, SD memory cards, etc.).

- **Local logging:** The ToE supports the configuration of a local logging policy. It is possible, in particular, to log security and administration events.

- **Remote logging:** The ToE supports the definition of a remote logging policy. In particular, it is possible to log security and administration events.

1.3   Product usage

In accordance with the recommendations of ANSSI guide[1], the industrial firewall can be used to segregate networks of different criticalities (Class 1 and class 2). It can also be used to protect an Industrial Control System (ICS) from a management information system. Finally, it can be used for segregating different parts of an ICS. When the avaibility is critical, two firewalls can be used in redudancy in order to increase the resiliency of the interconnection. The use of a firewall is depicted on figure 1.


Figure 1: Use case of an industrial firewall

1.4   Users

The users that may interact with the ToE are the following:

- **Administrator:** user having the permission to modify the configuration of the ToE.

- **Auditor:** User having the permission to consult logs of the ToE.

- **Super-administrator:** User having all the privileges on the ToE. He can, in particular, create, modify or delete user accounts.

- **End-device:** End device directly or indirectly connected to the ToE.

Remark: A user is not necessary a human being, it may be a device or a third-party software. Moreover, the same person may own several user accounts corresponding to different profiles.

1.5   Assumptions

Assumptions on the environment and the use case of the ToE are the following:

- **Logs checking:** We assume that administrators check regularly the local and remote logs produced by the ToE.

---

[1] *The cybersecurity of ICSs: Classification method and main measures*, ANSSI, january 2014

- **Super-administrators:** Super-administrators are trained for performing the tasks they are responsible for. They follow instructions and administration manuals of the ToE and they are not hostile.

- **Premises:** The ToE is not necessarily in secured premises and the attacker can have access to all physical interfaces of the ToE. Similarly, the attacker can plug a trapped device (for instance, a USB drive or a SD card) on any physical port of the ToE. Conversely, the attacker cannot disassemble the ToE or perform physical attacks on it.

  Since identical products to the ToE may be purchased freely, the attacker may purchase one in order to research vulnerabilities by any possible mean.

- **Filtering policy:** We assume that the filtering policy configured in the ToE is adapted to the use case.

- **Dimensioning:** We assume the ToE is properly dimensionned for its tasks.

- **Authentication servers:** When appropriate, the authentication servers used for authenticating users are assumed uncompromised and properly configured.

- **Unevaluated services disabled by default:** Services of the ToE which are not covered by the security target are disabled in the default configuration (also named factory default configuration).

- **Security documentation:** The ToE is provided with a complete documentation for a secure usage. In particular, all secrets are listed in order to allow their customization.

  All recommendations included in this documentation are applied prior to the evaluation.

2 Critical assets

2.1 Critical assets of the environment

The critical assets of the environment are the following:

- **Flows matrix:** Thanks to its filtering, the ToE controls the communication between end devices according to the defined flow matrix. For instance, for a layer 4 filtering, a rule contains source and destination addresses, the transport protocol (TCP, UDP...) and, when necessary, source and destination ports.

- **Conformity of protocols:** The ToE controls the protocol conformity of the flows identified in its configuration. In addition, the ToE may restrict the functionnalities of some protocols.

The security requirements for the critical assets are the following:

Asset	Availability	Confidentiality	Integrity	Authenticity
Flows matrix	X		X	
Conformity of protocols	X		X	
X: mandatory (X): optional				

2.2 ToE critical assets

The critical assets of the ToE are the following:

- **Firmware:** In order to work properly, the firmware must be protected both in integrity and authenticity.

- **Configuration:** The configuration of the ToE must be protected in confidentiality and integrity. The attacker must not be able to discover the configuration of the ToE by other means than the ToE activity.

- **User authentication mechanism:** This mechanism can be based on a local database or on a remote authentication server. In both cases, the ToE must ensure the integrity and authenticity of the mechanism[2].

- **User secrets:** The user secrets can be passwords, certificates. . . They can be stored in the ToE or stored in a remote authentication server. In all cases, the ToE must ensure the integrity and confidentiality of these credentials.

- **Access control policy:** The policy can be stored locally or remotely on a authentication server. In both cases, the ToE must ensure the integrity of the access control policy.

- **Local logging:** Once configured, the local logging must remain operational.

- **Remote logging:** The ToE is capable of remote logging. Once configured, the logging must remain operational.

- **Local logs:** The integrity of the local logs must be ensured by the ToE.

- **Remote logs:** The remote logs generated by the ToE must be protected in integrity and authenticity. A mechanism must be present to detect the absence of a message in a sequence of properly received messages.

The security requirements for the critical assets are the following:

Asset	Availability	Confidentiality	Integrity	Authenticity
Firmware			X	X
Configuration		X	X	
User authentication mechanism			X	X
User secrets		X	X	
Access control policy			X	
Local logging	X			
Remote logging	X			
Local logs			X	X
Remote logs			X	X
X: mandatory (X): optional				

3 Threat Model

3.1 Attackers

The following attackers are considered:

- **Evil end-device:** A device connected to the ToE is controlled by the attacker.

- **Evil administration device:** A device plugged on the administration network is controlled by the attacker but the attacker may not have valid credentials on the ToE.

- **Compromised administration account:** The attacker managed to compromise the credentials of a given account. This account can correspond to any role except the super-administrator.

---

[2]All authentication mechanisms offered by the ToE may not necessarily be part of the security target. However, those which are not included in the security target must be disabled by default.

3.2   Threats

The following threats are considered:

- **Denial of service:** The attacker manages to generate a denial of service on the ToE by performing an unexpected action or by exploiting a vulnerability (sending a malformed request, using a corrupted configuration file...). This denial of service can affect the whole ToE or only some of its functions.

- **Filtering policy violation:** The attacker manages to violate the filtering policy of the ToE by performing an illegitimate data transfer or by blocking a legitimate flow.

- **Protocol conformity violation:** The attacker manages to make non-compliant protocols to transit through the ToE. The attacker manages to bypass the configured protocol limitations.

- **Firmware alteration:** The attacker manages to inject and run a corrupted firmware on the ToE. The code injection may be temporary or permanent and this does include any unexpected or unauthorized code execution.

  A user may attempt to install that update on the ToE by legitimate means.

  Finally, the attacker manages to modify the version of the firmware installed on the ToE without having the privilege to do so.

- **Configuation alteration:** The attacker manages to modify, temporary or permanently, the ToE configuration.

- **Configuration compromise:** The attacker manages to illegally obtain some parts of the ToE configuration.

- **Credentials theft:** The attacker manages to steal user credentials.

- **Authentication violation:** The attacker succeeds in authenticating himself without credentials.

- **Access control violation:** The attacker manages to obtain permissions that he does not normally have.

- **Local logs alteration:** The attacker manages to delete or modify a local log entry without being authorized by the access control policy of the ToE.

- **Remote logs alteration:** The attacker manages to modify a remote log entry without the receiver being able to notice it. The attacker manages to delete a remote log message without the receiver being able to notice it.

4   Security objectives

The following security objectives are considered:

- **Malformed input management:** The ToE has been developped in order to handle correctly malformed input, in particular malformed network traffic.

- **Filtering policy enforcement:** The ToE supports filtering between networks allowing to enforce the security policy of the IT system. Two types of filtering can be distinguished:

  Stateless filtering: Filtering decision depends on the packet content only. It can be performed at level 2 (Ethernet) or level 3 (IP), level 4 (TCP or UDP) and for some applicative protocols. This security function is available with the ToE redundant or not.

  Stateful firewall: After a stateless filtering action, the device can established a context depending on the flow and the associated protocol. This makes filtering more accurate. Stateful filtering can be only performed on flows above IP level and can take the transport protocol (TCP/UDP) into account. In some cases, it can also take applicative protocol into consideration. This security function is available with the ToE redundant or not.

- **Protocol conformity analysis:** The ToE checks the conformity of certain protocols exchanges. This analysis is perfomed at the transport layer (TCP, UDP...) and the application layer (HTTP, SMTP, FTP, Profinet, Modbus, EtherNet/IP...). The final user should check that the appropriate protocols are supported by the ToE and covered by the security target.

- **Secure connection with the authentication server:** The ToE supports secure connection with the authentication server. The secure connection allows authenticating both peers and protecting the integrity and the authenticity of exchanges. It guarantees also non replay of exchanges.

- **Secure storage of secrets:** User secrets are securely stored in the ToE. In particular, the compromise of a file is not sufficient for retrieving them.

- **Secure authentication on administration interface:** Session tokens are protected against hijack and replay. They have a short lifespan. The identity and the permissions of the user account are systematically checked before any privileged action.

- **Access control policy:** The access control policy is strictly applied. In particular, the implementation guarantees the authenticity of privileged operations, i.e. operations that can alter identified critical assets.

- **Firmware signature:** At each update of the firmware, the integrity and authenticity of the new firmware are checked before updating. The integrity and authenticity of the firmware are also checked at boot time.

- **Configuration confidentiality and integrity:** The access control prevents any unauthorized person to read or modify the configuration of the ToE.

- **Logs integrity:** The integrity of the generated local logs is ensured and only the super-administrator is permitted to modify them.

- **Alarms integrity:** The ToE supports secure remote logging where authenticity and integrity are ensured. The transmission is also protected against replay and a mechanism is implemented for detecting missing logs.

A   Critical assets vs threats

	Flows matrix	Conformity of protocols	Firmware	Configuration	User authentication mechanism	User secrets	Access control policy	Local logging	Remote logging	Local logs	Remote logs
Denial of service	Av I	Av I						Av	Av		
Filtering policy violation	I										
Protocol conformity violation		I									
Firmware alteration			I Au								
Configuation alteration				I							
Configuration compromise				C C							
Credentials theft						C I C					
Authentication violation					I Au						
Access control violation							I				
Local logs alteration										I Au	
Remote logs alteration											I Au
Av: Availability, I: Integrity, C: Confidentiality, Au: Authenticity											

B Threats vs security objectives

	Denial of service	Filtering policy violation	Protocol conformity violation	Firmware alteration	Configuration alteration	Configuration compromise	Credentials theft	Authentication violation	Access control violation	Local logs alteration	Remote logs alteration
Malformed input management	X										
Filtering policy enforcement		X									
Protocol conformity analysis			X								
Secure connection with the authentication server								X			
Secure storage of secrets							X				
Secure authentication on administration interface					X	X	X	X			
Access control policy									X		
Firmware signature				X							
Configuration confidentiality and integrity					X	X					
Logs integrity										X	

	Denial of service	Filtering policy violation	Protocol conformity violation	Firmware alteration	Configuration alteration	Configuration compromise	Credentials theft	Authentication violation	Access control violation	Local logs alteration	Remote logs alteration
Alarms integrity											X

C Contributors

This protection profile has been produced by the working group on cybersecurity for industrial systems, supervised by the French Network and Information Security Agency (ANSSI).
The following compagnies and organisms contributed to this document:

- Amossys

- ARC Informatique

- Belden

- DGA/MI

- Gimelec

- Oppida

- Phoenix Contact

- RATP

- Schneider Electric

- Siemens

- Sogeti

- Stormshield

- Thales