# Intro to Econometrics: Recitation 3

Gustavo Pereira

September 23, 2019

1/15

## Outline

- Review:
  - Statistical model
    - **★** Definition
    - \* Examples
    - ★ Identification, sufficiency
  - ► Statistical decision problem
    - Definition
    - ★ Examples

2/15

- Idea: formalize statements such as
  - **Q** Let  $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{10}\}$  denote the outcome of 10 independent coin flips with probability p of landing heads

- Idea: formalize statements such as
  - **2** Let  $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{10}\}$  denote the outcome of 10 independent coin flips with probability p of landing heads
  - **3** "Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  be iid uniform in  $[0, \theta]$  where  $\theta$  is an unknown positive real number"

- Idea: formalize statements such as
  - **②** Let  $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{10}\}$  denote the outcome of 10 independent coin flips with probability p of landing heads
  - **3** "Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  be iid uniform in  $[0, \theta]$  where  $\theta$  is an unknown positive real number"
  - **3** "Let  $\{Y_t\}_{t\in 1,2,...,T}$  be an AR(1) process with gaussian innovations"

- Idea: formalize statements such as
  - **②** Let  $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{10}\}$  denote the outcome of 10 independent coin flips with probability p of landing heads
  - ② "Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  be iid uniform in  $[0, \theta]$  where  $\theta$  is an unknown positive real number"
  - **3** "Let  $\{Y_t\}_{t\in 1,2,...,T}$  be an AR(1) process with gaussian innovations"
- Claim. All statements equivalent to: "let X be a draw from some cdf  $F: \mathbb{R}^S \to [0,1]$  where F is taken from some restricted set of CDFs,

$$F \in \mathfrak{F}$$
 "

#### Definition

• It's common to write

$$\mathfrak{F}=\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$$

#### Definition

It's common to write

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$$

• For example:

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} | F \text{ is the cdf of } \mathit{U}[\mathit{a}, \mathit{b}] \text{ for some } \mathit{a} \leq \mathit{b}\}$$

Does this represent a statistical model?

#### Definition

• It's common to write

$$\mathfrak{F}=\{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$$

• For example:

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} | F \text{ is the cdf of } \mathit{U}[\mathit{a}, \mathit{b}] \text{ for some } \mathit{a} \leq \mathit{b}\}$$

- Does this represent a statistical model?
- We can define for  $\theta = (a, b)$ ,

$$F_{\theta} = \frac{t-a}{b-a} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(t)$$

#### Definition

• It's common to write

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$$

• For example:

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} | F \text{ is the cdf of } \mathit{U}[\mathit{a}, \mathit{b}] \text{ for some } \mathit{a} \leq \mathit{b}\}$$

- Does this represent a statistical model?
- We can define for  $\theta = (a, b)$ ,

$$F_{\theta} = \frac{t-a}{b-a} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(t)$$

• With that indexing,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$$

where  $\Theta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \leq y\}$ 



#### Comment

• Why do we specify models with CDFs?

#### Comment

- Why do we specify models with CDFs?
- Reason: in Euclidean spaces, distribution of random variables is fully characterized by CDF

#### Comment

- Why do we specify models with CDFs?
- Reason: in Euclidean spaces, distribution of random variables is fully characterized by CDF
- However, if all CDFs in your model are absolutely continuous, it's equivalent to specify a family of PDFs

#### Comment

- Why do we specify models with CDFs?
- Reason: in Euclidean spaces, distribution of random variables is fully characterized by CDF
- However, if all CDFs in your model are absolutely continuous, it's equivalent to specify a family of PDFs
- In the course, we will do this interchangeably; if a model is specified in terms of PDFs, it's understood that we're considering only absolutely continuous distributions

#### Comment

- Why do we specify models with CDFs?
- Reason: in Euclidean spaces, distribution of random variables is fully characterized by CDF
- However, if all CDFs in your model are absolutely continuous, it's equivalent to specify a family of PDFs
- In the course, we will do this interchangeably; if a model is specified in terms of PDFs, it's understood that we're considering only absolutely continuous distributions
- We can also specify the model with more general probability distributions:

$$\{P_{\theta}:\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})\to[0,1]\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}$  a possibly more general space (e.g., a space of bounded continuous functions)



Example 1: ten coin flips

• Single coin flip:

$$F_p^1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ 1 - p & \text{if } x \in [0, 1)\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Example 1: ten coin flips

Single coin flip:

$$F_p^1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ 1 - p & \text{if } x \in [0, 1)\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ullet Then the joint is  $F_p(h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{10})=F_p^1(h_1)\cdots F_p^1(h_{10})$ 

#### Example 1: ten coin flips

Single coin flip:

$$F_{\rho}^{1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ 1 - \rho & \text{if } x \in [0, 1)\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ullet Then the joint is  $F_p(h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{10})=F_p^1(h_1)\cdots F_p^1(h_{10})$
- Model:

$$\{F_p\}_{p\in[0,1]}$$

What is Θ?

Example 2: Uniform  $[0, \theta]$ 

ullet Three independent uniform  $[0, \theta]$ . We know that for a given  $\theta$ 

$$F_{ heta}^2(t) = rac{t}{ heta} \mathbf{1}_{[0, heta]}(t)$$

is the cdf of  $U[0, \theta]$  for non-negative  $\theta$ .

Example 2: Uniform  $[0, \theta]$ 

ullet Three independent uniform  $[0, \theta]$ . We know that for a given  $\theta$ 

$$F_{ heta}^2(t) = rac{t}{ heta} \mathbf{1}_{[0, heta]}(t)$$

is the cdf of  $U[0, \theta]$  for non-negative  $\theta$ .

• Thus joint is

$$F_{\theta}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F_{\theta}^2(x_1) F_{\theta}^2(x_2) F_{\theta}^2(x_3)$$

and statistical model is

$$\{F_\theta\}_{\theta\in(0,\infty)}$$

Example 3: AR(1) with Gaussian innovations

An "AR(1) with Gaussian innovations" means that

$$Y_t - \mu = \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu) + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  are drawn iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

▶ Note: need to make assumption about  $Y_0$ . Assume fixed.

Example 3: AR(1) with Gaussian innovations

An "AR(1) with Gaussian innovations" means that

$$Y_t - \mu = \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu) + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  are drawn iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- ▶ Note: need to make assumption about  $Y_0$ . Assume fixed.
- Equivalently,

$$Y_t | Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_1 \sim N(\mu + \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu), \sigma^2)$$

Example 3: AR(1) with Gaussian innovations

An "AR(1) with Gaussian innovations" means that

$$Y_t - \mu = \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu) + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  are drawn iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- ▶ Note: need to make assumption about  $Y_0$ . Assume fixed.
- Equivalently,

$$|Y_t|Y_{t-1},\ldots,Y_1 \sim N(\mu + \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu),\sigma^2)$$

• How do you write the joint CDF? By what parameters will it be indexed?

Identification & sufficiency

• Summary of previous discussion: a statistical model is a family of distributions,  $\{F_{\theta}: \mathbf{R}^S \to [0,1]\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .

- Summary of previous discussion: a statistical model is a family of distributions,  $\{F_{\theta}: \mathbf{R}^{S} \to [0,1]\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .
- If each  $\theta \in \Theta$  induces a unique distribution, the model is called identified.

- Summary of previous discussion: a statistical model is a family of distributions,  $\{F_{\theta}: \mathbf{R}^S \to [0,1]\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .
- If each  $\theta \in \Theta$  induces a unique distribution, the model is called identified.
  - ▶ Mathematically: the model is identified iff for every  $\theta \neq \theta'$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^S$  such that  $F_{\theta}(x) = F_{\theta'}(x)$

- Summary of previous discussion: a statistical model is a family of distributions,  $\{F_{\theta}: \mathbf{R}^S \to [0,1]\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .
- If each  $\theta \in \Theta$  induces a unique distribution, the model is called identified.
  - ▶ Mathematically: the model is identified iff for every  $\theta \neq \theta'$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^S$  such that  $F_{\theta}(x) = F_{\theta'}(x)$
  - What if the model was specified in terms of PDFs? What about general probability distributions?

Identification & sufficiency

- Summary of previous discussion: a statistical model is a family of distributions,  $\{F_{\theta}: \mathbf{R}^S \to [0,1]\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .
- If each  $\theta \in \Theta$  induces a unique distribution, the model is called identified.
  - ▶ Mathematically: the model is identified iff for every  $\theta \neq \theta'$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^S$  such that  $F_{\theta}(x) = F_{\theta'}(x)$
  - What if the model was specified in terms of PDFs? What about general probability distributions?
- ullet A statistic is any function  $T:\mathbf{R}^S o\mathbf{R}^K.$  We say that T is sufficient if

$$P_{\theta}(\cdot|T(\cdot))$$

does not depend on  $\theta$ . Intuitively, if you condition on T(X), the full data become uninformative about  $\theta$ .

Identification & sufficiency

• Example: let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid  $N(\mu, 1)$ .

- Example: let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid  $N(\mu, 1)$ .
  - Model here is  $\{F_{\mu}\}_{{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}}$  where  $F_{\mu}$  is cdf of independent joint normal with mean  $(\mu, \mu)$  and identity variance matrix
- Then  $T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient.

- Example: let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid  $N(\mu, 1)$ .
  - Model here is  $\{F_{\mu}\}_{{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}}$  where  $F_{\mu}$  is cdf of independent joint normal with mean  $(\mu,\mu)$  and identity variance matrix
- Then  $T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient.
- Before proof: note that crucially the data is 2 dimensional, but the sufficient statistic is 1d

- Example: let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid  $N(\mu, 1)$ .
  - Model here is  $\{F_{\mu}\}_{{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}}$  where  $F_{\mu}$  is cdf of independent joint normal with mean  $(\mu,\mu)$  and identity variance matrix
- Then  $T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient.
- Before proof: note that crucially the data is 2 dimensional, but the sufficient statistic is 1d
- Now:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ T(X_1, X_2) \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_3 \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \\ 2\mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Identification & sufficiency

- Example: let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid  $N(\mu, 1)$ .
  - ▶ Model here is  $\{F_{\mu}\}_{{\mu}\in\mathbb{R}}$  where  $F_{\mu}$  is cdf of independent joint normal with mean  $(\mu, \mu)$  and identity variance matrix
- Then  $T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient.
- Before proof: note that crucially the data is 2 dimensional, but the sufficient statistic is 1d
- Now:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ T(X_1, X_2) \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_3 \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \\ 2\mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

• To find conditional distribution of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  given  $T(X_1, X_2)$ , use the BLP trick.

Identification & sufficiency

Math:

$$E[X_1|X_1+X_2] = E[X_2|X_1+X_2] = \frac{X_1+X_2}{2}$$

moreover, conditional variance also doesn't depend on  $\mu$ 

# Statistical decision problem

• Definition: statistical decision problem is

$$\left(\Theta,A,\mathcal{L},\{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}\right)$$

where

# Statistical decision problem

• Definition: statistical decision problem is

$$\left(\Theta,A,\mathcal{L},\{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}\right)$$

#### where

 $oldsymbol{0}$   $\Theta$  is a parameter space

• Definition: statistical decision problem is

$$(\Theta, A, \mathcal{L}, \{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta})$$

#### where

- $\odot$   $\Theta$  is a parameter space
- A is a space of actions

• Definition: statistical decision problem is

$$\left(\Theta,A,\mathcal{L},\{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}\right)$$

#### where

- ⊕ is a parameter space
- A is a space of actions
- $\odot$   $\mathcal{L}$  is a utility/loss function

• Definition: statistical decision problem is

$$\left(\Theta,A,\mathcal{L},\{F_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}\right)$$

#### where

- $\bullet$   $\Theta$  is a parameter space
- A is a space of actions
- $\odot$   $\mathcal{L}$  is a utility/loss function
- $\bullet$   $\{F_{\theta}\}$  is a statistical model
  - \* Remember: this can be alternatively specified as  $\{P_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  or  $\{f_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$

Interpretation

• Statistician is supposed to decide something. Examples:

- Statistician is supposed to decide something. Examples:
  - $\bullet$  Pick the  $\theta$  that she thinks generated the data

$$A = \Theta$$

#### Interpretation

- Statistician is supposed to decide something. Examples:
  - **①** Pick the  $\theta$  that she thinks generated the data

$$A = \Theta$$

② Given a split  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \sqcup \Theta_1$ , pick which of  $\Theta_0$  or  $\Theta_1$  is more likely to contain the parameter that generated data

$$A = \{0, 1\}$$

#### Interpretation

- Statistician is supposed to decide something. Examples:
  - **1** Pick the  $\theta$  that she thinks generated the data

$$A = \Theta$$

**3** Given a split  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \sqcup \Theta_1$ , pick which of  $\Theta_0$  or  $\Theta_1$  is more likely to contain the parameter that generated data

$$A = \{0, 1\}$$

**3** Pick a subset  $C \subseteq \Theta$  where she thinks the true  $\theta$  falls in

 $A = \text{reasonable subsets of } \Theta$ 

Interpretation

• Model this as a sequential game.

- Model this as a sequential game.
  - ▶ First stage: Nature picks  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This is not observable by statistician

- Model this as a sequential game.
  - ▶ First stage: Nature picks  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This is not observable by statistician
  - Stage  $1\frac{1}{2}$ : Nature randomly draws  $X \sim F_{\theta}$

- Model this as a sequential game.
  - ▶ First stage: Nature picks  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This is not observable by statistician
  - Stage  $1\frac{1}{2}$ : Nature randomly draws  $X \sim F_{\theta}$
  - Second stage: Statistician chooses action a

- Model this as a sequential game.
  - ▶ First stage: Nature picks  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This is not observable by statistician
  - Stage  $1\frac{1}{2}$ : Nature randomly draws  $X \sim F_{\theta}$
  - Second stage: Statistician chooses action a
- ullet At the terminal nodes, statistician gets the loss  $\mathcal{L}(a, \theta)$

#### Interpretation

- Model this as a sequential game.
  - ▶ First stage: Nature picks  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This is not observable by statistician
  - Stage  $1\frac{1}{2}$ : Nature randomly draws  $X \sim F_{\theta}$
  - Second stage: Statistician chooses action a
- ullet At the terminal nodes, statistician gets the loss  $\mathcal{L}(a, \theta)$
- Let  $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbf{R}^S$  denote the (common) support of  $F_{\theta}$ . A strategy for the statistician in this game is a function

$$d:\mathfrak{X}\to A$$

I.e. a specification of an action for every possible decision node she faces

This strategy is called a decision rule in the mathematical statistical jargon

Risk function

• What sort of criterion should we use to rank decision rules?

#### Risk function

- What sort of criterion should we use to rank decision rules?
- ullet We use the expected utility paradigm. For fixed heta, we postulate that

$$d_1(\cdot) \lesssim_{\theta} d_2(\cdot) \iff \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_1(X), \theta) \right] \geq \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_2(X), \theta) \right]$$

With respect to what are we taking the expectation?

#### Risk function

- What sort of criterion should we use to rank decision rules?
- ullet We use the expected utility paradigm. For fixed heta, we postulate that

$$d_1(\cdot) \lesssim_{\theta} d_2(\cdot) \iff \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_1(X), \theta) \right] \geq \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_2(X), \theta) \right]$$

- With respect to what are we taking the expectation?
- This expectation is called *risk*. Notation:

$$R(d,\theta) := \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d(X,\theta)) \right] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{S}} d(x,\theta) dF_{\theta}(x)$$

#### Risk function

- What sort of criterion should we use to rank decision rules?
- ullet We use the expected utility paradigm. For fixed heta, we postulate that

$$d_1(\cdot) \lesssim_{\theta} d_2(\cdot) \iff \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_1(X), \theta) \right] \geq \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d_2(X), \theta) \right]$$

- With respect to what are we taking the expectation?
- This expectation is called *risk*. Notation:

$$R(d,\theta) := \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{L}(d(X,\theta)) \right] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{S}} d(x,\theta) dF_{\theta}(x)$$

- Analogy with game theory: dominated strategies
  - ▶ A decision rule that is not weakly dominated is called admissible