# Intro to Econometrics: Recitation 6 Hansen chapters 1-5

Gustavo Pereira

October 23, 2019

### Roadmap

#### Hansen chapters 2-5 overview

- ► Chapter 2
  - Projection
  - Conditional expectation
  - Best linear predictor and linear regressions

#### Projection

► Take Y scalar rv and  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Consider following spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i X_i\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}\$$

#### Projection

▶ Take Y scalar rv and  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ . Consider following spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \{ \hat{\mathbf{Y}} : \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i X_i \}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}\$$

Let's restrict our analysis to variables Y,X such that  $E[|Y|^2]<\infty$  and  $E[\|\mathbf{X}\|^2]<\infty$ . Moreover, assume  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}']>0$ 

#### Projection

► Take Y scalar rv and  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Consider following spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i X_i\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}\$$

- Let's restrict our analysis to variables Y,X such that  $E[|Y|^2]<\infty$  and  $E[\|\mathbf{X}\|^2]<\infty$ . Moreover, assume  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}']>0$
- ▶ That way the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle := \mathbf{E}(YX)$  is well defined

# Chapter 2 Projection

With that inner product: what's projection of Y (scalar valued) on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ?

# Chapter 2 Projection

- With that inner product: what's projection of Y (scalar valued) on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ?
- ▶ Orthogonality condition:  $\langle Y X'\beta^*, X'\beta \rangle = 0$  for all  $\beta$

#### Projection

- With that inner product: what's projection of Y (scalar valued) on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ?
- ▶ Orthogonality condition:  $\langle Y \mathbf{X}' \beta^*, \mathbf{X}' \beta \rangle = 0$  for all  $\beta$
- ► Implies:
  - 1.  $\beta^* = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}']^{-1}\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}'Y]$
  - 2. Error term associated with projection is uncorrelated with  ${\bf X}$ . Let  $u^* = {\bf Y} {\bf X}' \beta^*$

$$\langle u^*, \mathbf{X} \rangle = \mathbf{E}[u^*\mathbf{X}] = 0$$

Projection

▶ How about the projection of Y on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}$ 

#### Projection

- ▶ How about the projection of Y on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}$
- lt's a function  $f^*(X)$  such that for any function f,

$$\langle Y - f^*(\mathbf{X}), f(\mathbf{X}) \rangle = 0$$

#### Projection

- ▶ How about the projection of Y on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}$
- lt's a function  $f^*(X)$  such that for any function f,

$$\langle Y - f^*(\mathbf{X}), f(\mathbf{X}) \rangle = 0$$

▶ The function

$$f^*(X) = E[Y|X]$$

satisfies the orthogonality conditions. (Check!)

#### Projection

- ▶ How about the projection of Y on  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\hat{Y} : \hat{Y} = f(\mathbf{X})\}$
- lt's a function  $f^*(X)$  such that for any function f,

$$\langle Y - f^*(\mathbf{X}), f(\mathbf{X}) \rangle = 0$$

▶ The function

$$f^*(X) = E[Y|X]$$

satisfies the orthogonality conditions. (Check!)

▶ Residual  $u^*$  satisfies exogeneity  $\mathbf{E}[u^*|X] = 0$ 

# Chapter 2 Projection

- ► Take away:
  - 1. For any variables  $(Y, \mathbf{X})$ , you can always find  $\beta^*$  such that

$$Y = \mathbf{X}\beta^* + u^*$$

and 
$$E[u^*X] = 0$$

#### Projection

- ► Take away:
  - 1. For any variables  $(Y, \mathbf{X})$ , you can always find  $\beta^*$  such that

$$Y = \mathbf{X}\beta^* + u^*$$

and 
$$E[u^*X] = 0$$

2. You can always write

$$Y = f^*(\mathbf{X}) + u^*$$

where 
$$\mathbf{E}[u^*|X] = 0$$

# Chapter 2 Projection

▶ Wait a second: how about all the resources people spend trying to argue for 'exogeneity'?

# Chapter 2 Projection

- ▶ Wait a second: how about all the resources people spend trying to argue for 'exogeneity'?
  - ▶ The point is *exactly* that in empirical applications, estimating

$$y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i$$

will give you  $\beta^*$  in the limit

#### Projection

- ► Wait a second: how about all the resources people spend trying to argue for 'exogeneity'?
  - ▶ The point is *exactly* that in empirical applications, estimating

$$y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i$$

will give you  $\beta^*$  in the limit

▶ Sometimes  $\beta^*$  is not the object of interest, but

$$y_i = x_i' \tilde{\beta} + v_i$$

where  $\mathbf{E}[x_i v_i] \neq 0$ 

#### Least Squares Algebra

▶ Data  $(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n identically distributed from some joint distribution F

#### Least Squares Algebra

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, x_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n identically distributed from some joint distribution F
- Least squares problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \beta)^2$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, x_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n identically distributed from some joint distribution F
- Least squares problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \beta)^2$$

► In matrix notation:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n identically distributed from some joint distribution F
- Least squares problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \beta)^2$$

► In matrix notation:

$$\min_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$$

► Solution:  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ 

#### Least Squares Algebra

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n identically distributed from some joint distribution F
- Least squares problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \beta)^2$$

► In matrix notation:

$$\min_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$$

- ▶ Solution:  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$
- Orthogonality condition:  $\mathbf{X}'[\mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}] = 0$

#### ► Notation:

$$\mathbf{\hat{Q}_{xx}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}'$$

$$\mathbf{\hat{Q}_{xy}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I}_{n} - \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}_{n} - \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} + \overbrace{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})}^{\mathsf{LS residuals}} \\ &= \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y} + \left[ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{y} \right] \\ &= \mathsf{P} \mathbf{y} + \mathsf{M} \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

- ► Hence Py is the predicted part and My is the residual
- Matrices P and M are both symmetric, and satisfiy:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{PP} &= \mathsf{P} \\ \mathsf{MM} &= \mathsf{M} \\ \mathsf{PM} &= \mathsf{MP} = 0 \\ \mathsf{PX} &= \mathsf{X} \\ \mathsf{MX} &= 0 \end{split}$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

Let's apply this machinery. Two components:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}'_{1i}\beta_1 + \mathbf{x}'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_i$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

Let's apply this machinery. Two components:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}'_{1i}\beta_1 + \mathbf{x}'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_i$$

In matrix notation:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \beta_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 \beta_2 + \mathbf{u}$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

Let's apply this machinery. Two components:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}'_{1i}\beta_1 + \mathbf{x}'_{2i}\beta_2 + u_i$$

In matrix notation:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_1 \beta_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 \beta_2 + \mathbf{u}$$

► At the least squares solution,

$$\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{X}_1\hat{eta}_1 + \mathsf{X}_2\hat{eta}_2 + \mathsf{e}$$

where

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{e} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1' \ \mathbf{X}_2' \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

- ▶ Define  $P_j$ ,  $M_j$  for j = 1, 2 accordingly
- ▶ Suppose we want to find expression for  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . Can get rid of  $\mathbf{X}_2$  by multiplying  $\mathbf{M}_2$ !

$$\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{X}_1\hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{X}_2\hat{\beta}_2 + \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{e}$$

- ► Note:  $M_2e = e X_2(X_2'X_2)^{-1}X_2'e = e$
- ► Hence

$$\mathsf{M}_2\mathsf{y} = \mathsf{M}_2\mathsf{X}_1\hat{eta}_1 + \mathsf{e}$$

Least Squares Algebra

▶ Denote  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{y}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 = \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{X}_1$ 

#### Least Squares Algebra

- lackbrack Denote  $ilde{f y}={f M}_2{f y}$  and  $ilde{f X}_1={f M}_2{f X}_1$
- ▶ We have

$$ilde{ t y} = ilde{ t X}_1 \hat{eta}_1 + { t e}$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus (as long as  $\tilde{X}_2$  is full row rank):

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{y}$$

#### Least Squares Algebra

- lackbrack Denote  $ilde{f y}={f M}_2{f y}$  and  $ilde{f X}_1={f M}_2{f X}_1$
- We have

$$ilde{ t y} = ilde{ t X}_1 \hat{eta}_1 + { t e}$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$$

Thus (as long as  $\tilde{X}_2$  is full row rank):

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1'\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{y}$$

► Interpretation? Frisch-Waugh-Lovell

Least squares: statistical models

▶ Data  $(y_i, x_i)$  independently drawn from F(y, x)

Least squares: statistical models

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, x_i)$  independently drawn from F(y, x)
- ► Statistical model will put further restrictions on *F*.

Least squares: statistical models

- ▶ Data  $(y_i, x_i)$  independently drawn from F(y, x)
- Statistical model will put further restrictions on F.
- Note: not assuming deterministic  $x_i$  anymore. Analysis will strongly rely on conditioning

Least squares: statistical models

 Depending on what properties you want to get for OLS, different assumptions are required

- Depending on what properties you want to get for OLS, different assumptions are required
  - 1. Linear regression model.
    - ightharpoonup  $\mathbf{E}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta$
    - ▶ Finite second moments, and  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_i']$  invertible

- Depending on what properties you want to get for OLS, different assumptions are required
  - 1. Linear regression model.
    - ightharpoonup  $\mathbf{E}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta$
    - Finite second moments, and  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$  invertible
- ▶ With the above assumption, we get an unbiased OLS estimator.

- Depending on what properties you want to get for OLS, different assumptions are required
  - 1. Linear regression model.
    - ightharpoonup  $\mathbf{E}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \mathbf{x}_i'\beta$
    - Finite second moments, and  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$  invertible
- ▶ With the above assumption, we get an unbiased OLS estimator.
- ► What about 'optimality' in any sense? Need restriction on second moments.

Least squares: statistical models

- Another assumption:
  - 2. Homoskedasticity. In addition to linear regression hypohtesis,

$$\mathbf{V}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] \equiv \sigma^2$$

Then we get the Gauss-Markov result.

### Theorem (Gauss-Markov)

In the homoskedastic linear regression model,  $\hat{\beta}$  is the best linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  (with  $L^2$  loss).

That means that any other unbiased estimator  $\tilde{eta} = \tilde{A} \mathbf{y}$  satisfies

$$V[\tilde{\beta}|X] \ge V[\hat{\beta}|X]$$

Least squares: statistical models

- ► When homoskedasticity is not assumed, we can sometimes do better than OLS
- For example, if we abandon the iid assumption, and instead only impose finite second moments and

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}[\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega}$$

▶ If Ω is known, the *Generalized Least Squares estimator* is the way to go.

Least squares: statistical models

► Another important case that we frequently find in applied work:

$$\mathbf{V}[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \varsigma(x_i)^2 = \sigma_i^2$$

With the above form for residual variace, we have a heteroskedastic linear regression model

Least squares: variance estimation

► TBI