Recitation 1

Gustavo Pereira

September 7, 2019

In this recitation, I review the material presented in lectures 1 and 2. I also cover some things that might be challenging in the first problem sets.

1 Review: lectures 1 and 2

- Definition of probability space: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P} : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1])$
 - The point of (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is to provide a model for the randomness of some outcome.
 - Remember: we don't observe randomness. We observe some outcome. Then, we use a model to infer what are more or less likely "states of the world", because that allows us to predict things
 - The reason we keep Ω abstract (instead of focusing on say $\Omega = [0,1]$) is that it allows us to deal with a variety of possible structures for the outcome space!
- Random variables: measurable functions $X:\Omega\to S$ where S is some space of outcomes.
- Probability space induced by a random variable
 - Original space: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$
 - RV 'measurably' maps original space to (S, \mathcal{S})
 - Induced measure: $\mathbf{P}_X(F) = \mathbf{P} \{ \omega : X(\omega) \in F \}$ for $F \in \mathcal{S}$
 - * Curiosity: this is called a push-forward measure in mesasure theory
 - Probability space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{P}_X)$ is typically some Euclidean space (though it can be more complicated)

- Let's now focus on the case when $X: \Omega \to S$ is real valued, ie, $S = \mathbf{R}$.
- CDF of a random variable: $F_X(x) = \mathbf{P}\{\omega : X(\omega) \le x\} = \mathbf{P}_X((-\infty, x])$
 - Result: all information in \mathbf{P}_X is in F_X and vice-versa.
 - Properties of CDF
 - 1. F_X is non-decreasing
 - 2. $\lim_{x\to\infty} F_X(x) = 1$
 - 3. $\lim_{x\to-\infty} F_X(x) = 0$
 - 4. F_X is right continuous
 - First main result: every function F satisfying all four properties above is the CDF of some random variable.
- Absolutely continuous random variable: $\exists f_X$ such that

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(z)dz$$

- Weirdly enough, the non-obvious thing about the statement above is not the $\exists f_X$ but the dz.
- Measure theoretic details aside, the important thing is that dz is never a jump.
 - * If X has a mass at some point x_0 in the real line meaning that the $\mathbf{P}_X(\{x_0\}) > 0$, there will be a jump in F_X at x_0 .
 - * We can't have that because $F_X(x_0) F_X(x_0 \epsilon) \approx f_X(x_0)\epsilon$
 - * For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, mass at x_0 would imply the LHS is $\mathbf{P}\{x_0\}$ while the RHS should be zero
- Optional comment: in fact every F_X has an associated f_X with respect to some (generally non-uniform) measure. This is the consequence of a more general result called the Radon-Nikodym theorem.
- Expectation of absolutely continuous RV:

$$\mathbf{E}[g(X)] = \int_{\mathbf{B}} g(z) f_X(z) dz$$

- "Law of the unconscious statistician"
- Moment generating function

$$m_X(t) = \mathbf{E}\left[e^{tX}\right] = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{tx} f_X(x) dx$$

- The i-th moment of X can be found by taking the i-th derivative of $m_X(t)$ and evaluating it at zero.
 - * For this to be meaningful, the MGF must be well defined in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ for some ϵ
 - * Then for example $m'_X(t) = \mathbf{E}[Xe^{tX}]$
- Second main result. Let X_1 and X_2 be st

$$m_{X_1}(t) = m_{X_2}(t)$$

for all t. Then $F_{X_1} = F_{X_2}$.

- This essentially means that all information contained in F_X is also contained in $m_X(t)$
- Note: take the Taylor series of exponential around 0 and take expectations,

$$m_X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \mathbf{E}(X^n)}{n!}$$

- It is tempting to that knowledge of moments determines the distribution of X. This is not the case, however, because sometimes the series above doesn't converge even when all moments exist.

2 Problem 4 is not as easy as it might seem

Consider the proof, for example, that $F_X \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$. (The case of $x \to 0$ is similar.)

We know that:

- 1. $F(x) = \mathbf{P}\{\omega : X(\omega) \le x\}$
- 2. $\{\omega : X(\omega) \le x\} \uparrow \Omega$
- 3. $P(\Omega) = 1$

So it must be the case that $F(x) = P\{\omega : X(\omega) \le x\} \uparrow \mathbf{P}(\Omega) = 1$, isn't that right? Well, **no**. While that reasoning is in some sense in the right direction, at the very least it's an incomplete argument for two reasons.

• We haven't defined convergence of sets as in (2). Unless you can make that statement rigorous somehow, using it is not fair game.

• More importantly, when we took the statements together, we missed an important step: proving that (whatever the first arrow means)

$$A_x \uparrow \Omega \implies \mathbf{P}(A_x) \uparrow \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$$

The second step above is essentially the point of the exercise. Hint for actually solving the problem:

• Use the fact that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = L$$

if, and only if $F(x_n) \to L$ for all increasing sequences $x_n \to \infty$

• Show that for any probability measure, if $x_n \uparrow \infty$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\omega: X(\omega) \le x_n\} \to \mathbf{P}(\Omega) = 1$$

You will need to use *countable* additivity for this.

For the right-continuity part, one useful way of checking your proof is to make sure you understand why your proof doesn't apply to the left limit.