What's in a swearword? Translation alters offensiveness in non-trivial ways

Michał B. Paradowski & Marta Gawinkowska Institute of Applied Linguistics, University of Warsaw

Recent research (Costa et al. 2014; Geipel, Hadjichristidis & Surian 2015, 2016; Cipolletti, McFarlane & Weissglass 2016; Corey et al. 2017; Hayakawa et al. 2017; Ĉavar & Tytus 2018; Brouwer 2019; Karataş 2019; Dylman & Champoux-Larsson 2019; Driver 2020) has shown that the same dilemma may elicit different moral judgements depending on the language in which it has been described.

Using a covert $2\times2\times2$ experiment where 61 L₁ Polish – L₂ English bilinguals – final year MA students majoring in either Applied Linguistics (with English as their first foreign language) or English – were asked to translate $(L_1 \leftrightarrow L_2)$ a passage peppered with swearwords, we show that the picture is much more complex (Gawinkowska, Paradowski & Bilewicz, 2013). While the results ostensibly corroborate the so-called 'foreign language effect', with a significant interaction between the source and target words and the direction of translation, F(1,59) = 7.88; p < .01; $\eta_p^2 = .12$., it was only observed in the case of *ethnophaulisms*, that is expletives directed at social (out)groups (significant interaction between the source and target words, direction of translation, and type of words, F(1.59) = 59, p < .01; $\eta_p^2 = .16$), but not generic swearwords. Specifically, the post-hoc analyses showed that in the translations from L_1 into L_2 , the target ethnophaulisms were more offensive (M=2.79, SD=.42) than their source words (M=2.43, SD=.59), p<.05, while in the translations from L2 into L1, the target ethnophaulisms were less offensive (M=2.12, SD=.53) than their source words (M=2.87, SD=.51), p<.01. This indicates that the key factor modulating response strength is not so much the different emotional power associated with the respective languages, but social and cultural norms.

Long cultural learning and socialisation make expressions in L_1 highly prone to normative influences, whereas using a foreign language exempts the speaker from these (whether our own or socially imposed) norms and limitations. It transpires that switching to a foreign language during decision-making may not only reduce emotionally-driven responses and political correctness biases, but also promote candid deliberative processes (e.g. rational cost-benefit considerations). This clashes with the notion that the effort of using a FL cues our cognitive system to prepare for strenuous activity and thus a more deliberate mode of thinking. It also points to a qualitative difference – or boundary – between generic swearwords and ethnophaulisms.

The orthogonal influence of the language medium on decisions, judgments and reactions has far-bearing consequences in our multilingual and multicultural world (not limited to such high-stakes scenarios as legal contexts).