Echocardiography image quality assessment: human subjectivity and artificial intelligence predictions in multi-centre data

P. Lopez-Gutierrez[1,4], A. Morales-Galán[1], L. Dux-Santoy[1], J. Garrido-Oliver[1,4], H. Majul[1], L. Rivas Catoni[1], S. Martin Grieve[1], G. Prado[1], J. Solsona[2], G. Casas Masnou[2], G. Teixido-Tura[2,3], L. Galian-Gay[2], I. Ferreira-González[1,2,5], J. Rodriguez-Palomares[1,2,3,4], A. Guala[1,3]

[1]Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca; [2]Department of Cardiology, Vall D'Hebron University Hospital; [3]CIBER-CV, ISCIII; [4]Department of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona [5]CIBER-ESP, ISCIII

✉ pere.lopez@vhir.org   ☎ +34 635 35 11 29

Background

Image quality in echocardiography is crucial for precise diagnosis. However, its assessment is subjective, as it relies on the observer experience and perception.

Artificial intelligence algorithms offer a potential solution by optimizing image acquisition and enhancing its subsequent analysis.

Purposes

▶ Identify the most relevant features of echocardiography images that influence perceived quality.

▶ Quantify these features using AI.

▶ Evaluate their variability across different observers and centres.

Methods

488 TTE studies from Vall d'Hebron University Hospital (VH) with **clinical study quality** annotated during care

3 cardiologist annotated the 3 metrics for **6831** VH and **1000** CAMUS [1] videos

Rating scale
0 — 1 — 2
Bad – Normal – Good

3 AI models trained on 2858 videos from one annotator

Echocardiogram metrics

Definition of structures borders (BD)

Presence of cardiac structures (PS)

Apical foreshortening (FS)

Results

Agreement results

	BD	PS	FS
Inter-observer agreement			
VH Agreement	56%	48%	46%
CAMUS Agreement	21%	35%	N/A
Internal Validation agreement			
All Raters vs AI	60%	54%	**53%**
Single Rater vs AI	**62%**	**58%**	51%
External Validation agreement			
CAMUS vs AI	**57%**	19%	N/A

Annotations vs clinical study quality

	BD p-value	PS p-value	FS p-value
Clinical study	**<0.001**	0.864	0.440

Factors influencing Border Definition

|	Annotated p-value	AI prediction p-value
BMI ↓	**0.042**	**0.001**
Cardiomyopathy ↓	**0.028**	0.525

Conclusions

▶ Echocardiography image quality is subjective and mainly depends on the clarity of cardiac structures borders.

▶ AI models can learn to assess image quality. However their generalization may be limited.

Vall d'Hebron | Vall d'Hebron Research | UAB Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona | ciber

[1] S. Leclerc, et al. "Deep Learning for Segmentation using an Open Large-Scale Dataset in 2D Echocardiography" in IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, Sept. 2019

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to disclose.