



<b>[Code] Execution</b>	<b>[Code Execution Issues]</b> - The code does not compile or throws runtime errors	<b>[Code Runtime Side Effects]</b> - The code runs, but has side-effects such as warnings, print statements that were used for debugging, etc	- Code runs perfectly without any errors or warnings
<b>[Code] Output</b>	<b>[Incorrect Code Output]</b> - Output is irrelevant or incorrect - Output is incomplete	N/A	- Output perfectly aligns with the requirements of the prompt
<b>[Code] Performance</b>	<b>[Major Code Performance Issues]</b> - The code implementation is extremely inefficient. Ex: takes an $O(n^3)$ brute force approach when it's possible to fulfill the request in $O(n\log n)$	<b>[Minor Code Performance Issues]</b> - The code implementation is moderately efficient with room for further optimization. Ex: $O(n^2)$ was used when $O(n\log n)$ is possible	- The code implementation is well-optimized, utilizing efficient algorithms and data structures wherever possible
<b>[Code] Readability</b>	- <b>[Major Code Readability Issues]</b> The code is difficult to read because of poor formatting such as missing indentation, poor markdown, excessive white space, or no whitespace (minified code), etc;  OR  - <b>[Misleading Code Variable Names]</b> Variable/class/method names are not indicative of their function. Ex: a misleading variable name such as <code>`even_array = [1, 3, 5, 7]`</code>	- <b>[Minor Code Readability Issues]</b> The code can be formatted better in some areas, but it's still readable  OR  - <b>[Poor Code Variable Names]</b> Variable/class/method names don't follow the general naming conventions of the respective language	- The code is well organized and uses consistent formatting, making it highly readable  AND  - Variable/class/method names are meaningfully chosen and are reflective of their purpose