Week 5 Quiz

Bryan Gibson - brg2130

In [3]: # print the description of the dataset in boston.DESCR
print(boston.DESCR)

```
.. boston dataset:
```

Boston house prices dataset

Data Set Characteristics:

:Number of Instances: 506

:Number of Attributes: 13 numeric/categorical predictive. Median Value (attribute 14) is usually the target.

:Attribute Information (in order):

- CRIM per capita crime rate by town
- ZN proportion of residential land zoned for lots over 25,000 sq.ft.
 - INDUS proportion of non-retail business acres per town
- CHAS Charles River dummy variable (= 1 if tract bounds river; 0 otherwise)
 - NOX nitric oxides concentration (parts per 10 million)
 - RM average number of rooms per dwelling
 - AGE proportion of owner-occupied units built prior to

1940

- DIS weighted distances to five Boston employment centres

RAD index of accessibility to radial highways
 TAX full-value property-tax rate per \$10,000

- PTRATIO pupil-teacher ratio by town

- B $1000(Bk - 0.63)^2$ where Bk is the proportion of bl acks by town

- LSTAT % lower status of the population

- MEDV Median value of owner-occupied homes in \$1000's

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Creator: Harrison, D. and Rubinfeld, D.L.

This is a copy of UCI ML housing dataset. https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/housing/

This dataset was taken from the StatLib library which is maintained a t Carnegie Mellon University.

The Boston house-price data of Harrison, D. and Rubinfeld, D.L. 'Hedo nic

prices and the demand for clean air', J. Environ. Economics & Managem ent,

vol.5, 81-102, 1978. Used in Belsley, Kuh & Welsch, 'Regression dia gnostics

...', Wiley, 1980. N.B. Various transformations are used in the table on

pages 244-261 of the latter.

The Boston house-price data has been used in many machine learning papers that address regression problems.

.. topic:: References

- Belsley, Kuh & Welsch, 'Regression diagnostics: Identifying Influential Data and Sources of Collinearity', Wiley, 1980. 244-261.
- Quinlan,R. (1993). Combining Instance-Based and Model-Based Learning. In Proceedings on the Tenth International Conference of Machine Learning, 236-243, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Morgan Kaufmann.
- In [4]: # copy the dataset features from boston.data to X X = boston.data
- In [5]: # copy the dataset labels from boston.target to y
 y = boston.target
- In [6]: # import the LinearRegression model from sklearn.linear_model
 from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
- In [7]: # initialize a linear regression model as lr with the default argumen
 ts
 lr = LinearRegression()
- In [8]: # fit the lr model using the entire set of X features and y labels lr.fit(X,y)
- In [9]: # score the lr model on entire set of X features and y labels
 lr.score(X,y)
- Out[9]: 0.7406426641094095
- In [10]: # import the DecisionTreeRegressor from sklearn.tree
 from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
- In [12]: # fit the dt model using the entire set of X features and y labels dt.fit(X,y)

max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0,
min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=1,
min_samples_split=2, min_weight_fraction_leaf=

0.0,

presort=False, random_state=None, splitter='bes

t')

```
In [13]: # score the dt model on the entire set of X features and y labels
dt.score(X,y)
Out[13]: 1.0
```

What are we doing wrong here?!

Why shouldn't we trust these scores to tell us how the models with generalize?

We're training and evaluating on the same data set.