

RESOURCES

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

BLOG

Malicious infrastructure as a service

April 25, 2021



Domains created for malicious purposes are rarely registered on their own. When you have identified such a domain, it is therefore always a good idea to look for other domains used in the same campaign.

Sometimes finding such a domain is easy. For example, you may notice a very similar domain (such as the .net version of a .com domain) registered with the same registrar on the same day. At other times you will need to look for other evidence, for example find them hosted on the same IP address.

In general, however, linking two domains through a single IP address isn't strong enough evidence that the domains themselves are linked. The link becomes a lot stronger though when two or more



RESOURCES INTEGRATIONS >

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

## a LodaRAT campaign targeting Bangladesh.

In this blog post, I will share a few more examples of sets of malicious domains that moved simultaneously through the same set of IP addresses. The sets of domains are linked and there is some evidence to suggest that the infrastructure belongs to a bulletproof hosting service.

## Magecart

The first set of domains spoofs well known services such as Cloudflare, Google, jQuery and Magento:

cloudflareplus[.]com cloudflareplus[.]net cloudflareshop[.]com cloudflare[.]su googleexpert[.]name googleinfo[.]name googlemanagerads[.]com googlemaster[.]name googleplus[.]name googlescript[.]com jquery24[.]com jqueryexpert[.]com jqueryinfo[.]com jquery[.]su jsstroy[.]com magentoinfo[.]name magentoinfo[.]org magentoportal[.]com magentostore[.]org manualseos[.]ru mycloudflare[.]net procloudflare[.]com procloudflare[.]net

seocmson[.]ru



RESOURCES
INTEGRATIONS 

✓

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

For the first three months of 2021, the domains were seen on the following twenty IP addresses, in this order:

208.69.117[.]117

194.147.78[.]6

45.143.136[.]186

92.38.130[.]71

46.17.250[.]52

46.17.250[.]84

91.203.192[.]117

34.65.156[.]213

35.189.71[.]51

34.65.43[.]209

35.197.218[.]54

35.205.161[.]91

8.209.112[.]138

35.228.62[.]27

34.107.33[.]136

35.228.209[.]29

35.187.16[.]185

35.228.228[.]1

35.204.191[.]93

35.198.110[.]173

Sometimes, the domains only pointed to an IP address for less than a day, but in one case they pointed to the same IP address for three weeks in a row.

The IP addresses belong to various hosting services, with a particular preference for Google's.

Interestingly, around the 8th of March, nine more domains joined the cycle:

bing-visitors[.]com cloubfiare[.]net googiemanager[.]com googlemanagerads[.]com



RESOURCES

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

yahoo-tracker[.]com

They have been pointing to the same IP address as the original set ever since.

There is **public evidence** linking these domains to Magecart. Magecart is an umbrella term use to refer to more than a dozen groups that insert code into websites' payment pages that steals credit card data. A common trick used by Magecart groups is to make their domains look like those from which code is regularly included into web pages. A website owner looking at a web page's source code may thus incorrectly assume the inclusion of third-party JavaScript is harmless.

Note: because the domains sometimes pointed to an IP address for a very short time period, it is possible that the list of IP addresses above isn't complete for the three-month period January 1st to March 31st 2021. The same applies to the examples below.

IcedID and Oakbot

A second set of domains also cycled through a set of IP addresses, again all pointing to the same IP address at the same point in time.

The domains are:

aath22rzmo03mvewdj[.]xyz
amr16pzcp03omerd[.]xyz
caqp10snyod03msvsqu[.]com
fkko03vvxohq03taep[.]com
cidn02mjco03pobx[.]com
cyh26wcekai02atpeax[.]com
drt22uhfjmz03ltxc[.]xyz
dskl02touc03jeby[.]com
dzw10jpcgj03fckc[.]com
emqjj27ljgl02hqqzi[.]com
etysu02scnabr03wzaxue[.]com
evz15lmlir03sygmyr[.]xyz
b25d3a23hy[.]com
fb25d3as23hy[.]com



PLATFORM SOLUTIONS COMPANY

INTEGRATIONS >

RESOURCES

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

ftkaq03ihfbh03rehx[.]com fyz10eijkl03mytjfb[.]com gbza26rngn02bekll[.]com ghtyrncjf2df[.]com hei03tfxv03mahl[.]com hqcaz02egeq03bvmhm[.]com hqn27dyhvwp02wznv[.]com ihjpn03sijjl03dtmtr[.]com inpa02lzjvt03anas[.]com jam03iofwv03jniedf[.]com jgu16cbxdr03ehqvx[.]com jhj10jtvwu03zsjwk[.]com iqilt27xsbz02anaeu[.]com klhlh16zldwun03vlpq[.]com kyvws03ndah03hecon[.]com lic02uiccnh03nruvp[.]com lxoyw10bipu03ilyig[.]com mtk23gqakwj03bzds[.]xyz qnvrih26coxejl02enyfn[.]com nwvv27dwmy02bgznc[.]com nygvj27cvlk02cktf[.]com olfs23kvri03wyyb[.]xyz ououz02naba03oiyd[.]com pbdq26xjey02uprxwx[.]com ppk02dmgmzj03dxekog[.]com qab26utxb02pquc[.]com rdraj16rwjw03xnli[.]com rea26ypgvle02hcbunp[.]com rlvq27rmjej02sfvb[.]com rlyrt26rnxw02vqijgs[.]com rsjb23tnxjng03dgiy[.]xyz sal03gicu03qcwtif[.]com tmrz10fxhy03ntxjf[.]com toj27nlpr02irajz[.]com toqku26hwpu02shuroh[.]com tti10qrrqx03kdts[.]com



INTEGRATIONS

RESOURCES

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

vyhml26anpfyb02aqsehz[.]com

vyw27lfrvoj02kkxo[.]com wnah27frybfe02sadb[.]com xgka03stox03cloeqz[.]com xjw10whta03ytgdi[.]com xsd22aeofw03lqzf[.]xyz yar03jmtvr03jtqg[.]com ydw27hfhbk02zpidmv[.]com ywgiu10zmnwcx03vpnyp[.]com

while the IP addresses are

zkkn02lffiff03zkmh[.]com

47.254.134[.]0

34.90.237[.]156

8.209.64[.]96

8.209.68[.]209

34.89.57[.]175

8.208.97[.]177

35.228.62[.]27

8.210.31[.]137

35.228.48[.]27

34.65.218[.]17

8.209.98[.]100

35.204.191[.]93

8.211.4[.]209

The domains were registered between late February and mid March 2021, mostly through Dutch registrar Hosting Concepts with a few using REG.RU instead. The nameservers used were again those of DNSPod, while the IP addresses belong to Google and Alibaba.

Interestingly two of the IP addresses (marked in bold above) were also used by the Magecart domains above, suggesting a possible link between the two sets.

Many of the above domains have been used to download either the <u>lcedID</u> or the <u>Qakbot</u> malware. Both lcedID and Qakbot (also known as Qbot) are commonly used as initial access brokers. Though



RESOURCES
INTEGRATIONS

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

an example of the increased commoditization of cybercrime. This would also explain why the domains listed above are different from the IcedID command and control domains I wrote about **recently**, which use a different hosting infrastructure.

Ursnif and phishing

A third set of domains also cycled through a set of IP addresses:

aodacrtsrytuce[.]com ashguq[.]com chonlinedocstorage[.]com companieshdocstorage-online[.]com docusign-cloudab[.]com docusign-cloudbc[.]com docusign-cloudcd[.]com docusign-cloud[.]com docusign-vault[.]com edssrdsceaaorb[.]com exhssppceaaorb[.]com hutnspiekeagrm[.]com ioqpuyfshaio[.]com ipqweyb[.]com jyohjdowprwiondotrbkght[.]com nbmipqw[.]com ospzsiq[.]com qpofsgw[.]com rconalacrtnspi[.]com rvprmsrirdeala[.]com srirdelehssfaojr[.]com srtnserqdelaeh[.]com uidacrtsppxece[.]com uiwoqp[.]com upsdocstorage[.]com upsdocstorage-online[.]com

vcavwq[.]com



RESOURCES

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

188.227.58[.]120

45.143.136[.]43

188.227.86[.]64

91.203.192[.]117

35.228.188[.]33

35.246.93[.]71

35.228.88[.]152

All these domains were registered through Eranet, a registrar based in Hong Kong, and again used DNSPod's nameservers. Two of the IP addresses, marked in bold, were also used by the Magecart domains, suggesting a possible link.

Interestingly, there are two kinds of domains in the list. One the one hand, there are random looking domains which, as with the IcedID/Qakbot domains above, could suggest a **domain generation algorithm** (DGA). On the other hand, domains like docusign-cloud[.]com and upsdocstorage[.]com of which one can be all but certain they have been used in phishing campaigns: both DocuSign and UPS are commonly used in phishing lures.

It is not surprising therefore that these latter domains were taken down, often within a week after becoming active: lookalike domains are actively hunted by the affected organisations.

As for the DGA-like domains, one of them, uidacrtsppxece[.]com, has been <u>linked</u> to Ursnif, another common malware delivered in email campaigns.

It is unclear whether there is a direct link between Ursnif and the phishing domains beyond the use of the same infrastructure, or even whether all DGA-like domains have served Ursnif.

Other domains

There are many other domains that have used the same infrastructure, including the use of the DNSPod DNS provider.

For example, the domains



RESOURCES NTEGRATIONS >

REQUEST A DEMO REGISTER FOR COMMUNITY EDITION

LOGIN

will no doubt have been used to impersonate KBC, an Irish bank, while authorise-eebilling[.]com has likely targeted customers of UK mobile provider EE. There are also several more domains that suggest a DGA.

Conclusion: a bulletproof hosting provider?

The similarities among the various sets described above, such as the use of DNSPod and the sharing of IP addresses, suggests the campaigns described all use the same infrastructure, likely that of a bulletproof hosting service.

A bulletproof hoster serves a similar function as a content-delivery network (CDN) does for legitimate domains: making it harder for a denial-of-service attack. The "attack" in this case would come from law enforcement and security researchers.

In the past, bulletproof hosters ran their own networks, which often led to the whole ASN being blocklisted. More modern bulletproof hosters rent servers at cloud providers and set these up as proxies for their customers' content. By rotating through a set of IP addresses, the content is less vulnerable to being blocked based on the IP address.

Intel471 recently wrote about bulletproof hosters and in particular mentioned DNSPod.

Of course, we cannot be 100% certain that this is a bulletproof hoster, or even that the various campaigns do use the same infrastructure: the sharing of IP addresses may be a coincidence, or because there is another party involved in renting the servers.

But this is yet another example that shows how understanding the context of a domain name can help one find a lot of related infrastructure that is worth blocking, even without having seen evidence of actual malicious activity.

## BACK TO BLOG