ML Lab 3

Name: Dinakar Emmanuel SRN: PES2UG23CS178

Class: 5C

Q1: Compare the following metrics across all three datasets.

Metric	Accuracy	Precision		Recall		F1-Score	
$\rightarrow$		Weighted	Macro	Weighted	Macro	Weighted	Macro
Mushro oms	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nursery	0.9887	0.9888	0.9577	0.9887	0.9576	0.9887	0.9576
TicTacT oe	0.8836	0.8827	0.8784	0.8836	0.8600	0.8822	0.8680

#### Q2: Tree Characteristics Analysis

Characteristics →	Depth	Nodes		No. of features	
		Total	Leaf	Internal	
Mushrooms	4	29	24	5	22
Nursery	7	983	703	280	8
ТісТасТое	7	260	165	95	9

Characteristics →	Root	Early splits		
Mushrooms	'odor'	'spore-print-color', 'habitat'		
Nursery	'health'	'has_nurs', 'parents'		
ТісТасТое	'middle-middle-square'	'bottom-left-square', 'top-right-square'		

Relationship between tree size and dataset characteristics:

• Greater depth does not always mean higher accuracy. Dataset complexity, class separability, and number of features matter more.

- A higher number of features (Mushrooms, 22) doesn't necessarily mean a larger tree. If the features are highly discriminative, the tree remains shallow and small.
- Fewer features (Nursery, TicTacToe) can lead to larger trees, since the model needs more splits to separate classes.
- Datasets with more overlap or complex class boundaries result in trees growing bigger with more leaves to capture variations.

#### Q3: Dataset specific insights

- Which attribute contributes most to the classification?
  - o The attribute at the root of the tree.
- Signs of overfitting:
  - Large depth of the tree
  - Too many nodes compared to number of features
  - o Some sub-trees are deeper than others.

#### Q4: Comparative analysis report

- Performance
  - Q: Which dataset achieved highest accuracy, why?
     A: Mushrooms, more distinguishing features results in a shallow and wide tree with high accuracy.
  - Q: How does dataset size affect performance?
     A: small dataset size risk of overfitting, larger better generalisation, too large accuracy may not improve much
  - Q: What role does the number of features play?
     A: few low accuracy, more high accuracy up to a point, too many risk of overfitting
- Data characteristics impact
  - Q: How does class imbalance affect tree construction?
     A: bias towards major classes, weaker splits for minor classes, uneven tree growth, increased depth for subtrees of minor classes.
  - Q: Which types of features (binary vs multi-valued) work better?
     A: binary may have deeper trees, accuracy depends on combination of features; multi-valued may achieve high accuracy with less depth with discriminative features since a split can have many child nodes.
- Practical applications
  - Q: For which real-world scenarios is each dataset type most relevant?
     A: mushrooms food safety, quality control, toxicology;
     nursery complex, decision-making systems with different priorities.
     tictactoe game AI, and strategy

# \$ python test.py --ID EC\_C\_PES2UG23CS178\_Lab3 --data mushrooms.csv --framework sklearn --print-tree

```
/content# python test.py --ID EC_C_PES2UG23CS178_Lab3 --data mushrooms.csv --framework sklearn --print-tree Running tests with SKLEARN framework
target column: 'class' (last column)
Original dataset info:
Shape: (8124, 23)
Columns: ['cap-shape', 'cap-surface', 'cap-color', 'bruises', 'odor', 'gill-attachment', 'gill-spacing', 'gill-size', 'g
ill-color', 'stalk-shape', 'stalk-root', 'stalk-surface-above-ring', 'stalk-surface-below-ring', 'stalk-color-above-ring
', 'stalk-color-below-ring', 'veil-type', 'veil-color', 'ring-number', 'ring-type', 'spore-print-color', 'population', 'habitat', 'class']
First few rows:
cap-shape: ['x' 'b' 's' 'f' 'k'] -> [5 0 4 2 3]
cap-surface: ['s' 'y' 'f' 'g'] -> [2 3 0 1]
cap-color: ['n' 'y' 'w' 'g' 'e'] -> [4 9 8 3 2]
class: ['p' 'e'] -> [1 0]
Processed dataset shape: (8124, 23)
Number of features: 22
Features: ['cap-shape', 'cap-surface', 'cap-color', 'bruises', 'odor', 'gill-attachment', 'gill-spacing', 'gill-size', 'gill-color', 'stalk-shape', 'stalk-root', 'stalk-surface-above-ring', 'stalk-shape', 'stalk-color-above-ring', 'stalk-color-below-ring', 'veil-type', 'veil-color', 'ring-number', 'ring-type', 'spore-print-color', 'population',
g', 'stalk-co
'habitat']
Target: class
Framework: SKLEARN
Data type: <class 'numpy.ndarray'>
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
 Total samples: 8124
Training samples: 6499
Testing samples: 1625
Constructing decision tree using training data...
 Decision tree construction completed using SKLEARN!
    DECISION TREE STRUCTURE
 Root [odor] (gain: 0.9048)
       – Class 1
       ├── Class 1
= 3:
├── Class 0
= 4:
├── Class 1
= 5:
             = 1:
             ├─ Class 0
= 2:
                  - Class 0
               : 3:
--- Class 0
                 – Class 0
              ├- '
= 5:
                   .
Class 1
              ÷ 7:
                 - [habitat] (gain: 0.2767)
                    = 0:
|---- [gill-size] (gain: 0.6374)
                        ├─ Class 1
                    = 1:
|— (
= 2:
                        – Class 0
                       — (tass 0
2:
— [cap-color] (gain: 0.8267)
                              — Class 0
```

### \$ python test.py --ID EC\_C\_PES2UG23CS178\_Lab3 --data Nursery.csv --framework sklearn

```
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
Total samples: 12960
Training samples: 10368
Testing samples: 2592
Constructing decision tree using training data...
 Decision tree construction completed using SKLEARN!
1 OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
Accuracy:
                             0.9887
                                      (98.87%)
Precision (weighted): 0.9888
Recall (weighted): 0.9887
F1-Score (weighted): 0.9887
Precision (macro):
Recall (macro):
                             0.9577
0.9576
F1-Score (macro):
   TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
Maximum Depth:
Total Nodes:
                             983
Leaf Nodes:
Internal Nodes:
                             703
280
```

# \$ python test.py --ID EC\_C\_PES2UG23CS178\_Lab3 --data tictactoe.csv --framework sklearn

```
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
Total samples: 958
Training samples: 766
Testing samples: 192
Constructing decision tree using training data...
 Decision tree construction completed using SKLEARN!
1 OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
Accuracy: 0.8827
Precision (weighted): 0.8836
                              0.8836 (88.36%)
Recall (weighted):
F1-Score (weighted):
Precision (macro):
                             0.8822
0.8784
 Recall (macro):
F1-Score (macro):
                              0.8680
 TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
Maximum Depth:
Total Nodes:
Leaf Nodes:
                              260
165
Internal Nodes:
```