



Nomis

Nomis Security Scan Results

by Pessimistic

This is not a security audit

This report is public

May 27, 2024

Abstract	2
Disclaimer	2
Summary	2
Scan process	3
Workflow	3
Issue categories	3
Scan results	4
Discovered Issues	5
Uninitialized variable	5
External vs public	5

Abstract

This report considers the security of smart contracts of the [Nomis](#) protocol. Our task is to find and describe security issues using the static-analysis tools [Slither](#) and [Slitherin](#) and help resolve them.

The work is financially covered by the Arbitrum Foundation grant.

Disclaimer

Current work does not give any warranties on the security of the code. It is not an audit or its replacement. Performing this scan, we focused on finding as many crucial issues as possible rather than making sure that the protocol was entirely secure. We always recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Summary

In this report, we described issues found in smart contracts of the [Nomis](#) protocol.

We scanned the codebase and manually rejected or verified all automated findings, revealing two relevant issues.

The developers fixed and commented on one issue.

The entire process is described in the [section below](#).

Scan process

Under the Arbitrum Foundation grant, we researched and developed Arbitrum-specific detectors. They became publicly available with Slitherin v0.6.0 release.

Workflow

This work consisted of five stages:

1. For the scan, we were provided with the [Nomis](#) project on a private GitHub [repository](#), commit [df15683c6e2f4f53dc79f196a84dd2e4f8c51f22](#).
2. For the analysis of the protocol, we launched [Slither v0.10.1](#) and [Slitherin v0.6.0](#) on the provided codebase.
3. One auditor manually checked (rejected or accepted) all findings reported by the tools. The second auditor verified this work. We shared all relevant issues with the protocol developers and answered their questions.
4. The developers reviewed the findings, updated the code accordingly, and gave comments on issues. We reviewed the fixes and found no new issues.
5. We prepared this final report summarizing all the issues and comments from the developers.

Issue categories

Within the confines of this work, we were looking for:

- Arbitrum-specific problems;
- Standard vulnerabilities like re-entrancy, overflow, arbitrary calls, etc;
- Non-compliance with popular standards like ERC20 and ERC721;
- Some access control problems;
- Integration issues with some popular DeFi protocols;
- A wide range of code quality and gas efficiency improvement opportunities.

This scan does not guarantee that these issues are not present in the codebase.

Scan results

Issue category	Number of detectors	Status
Compilation	1	Passed
Arbitrum Integration	3	Passed
AAVE Integration	1	Passed
Uniswap V2 Integration	7	Passed
OpenZeppelin	2	Passed
ERC-20	7	Passed
ERC-721	2	Passed
Known Bugs	15	1 issue found (1/1 fixed)
Access Control	3	Passed
Arbitrary Call	5	Passed
Re-entrancy	6	Passed
Weak PRNG	2	Passed
Upgradability	2	Passed
Ether Handling	3	Passed
Low-level Calls	2	Passed
Assembly	2	Passed
Inheritance	3	Passed
Arithmetic	2	Passed
Old Solidity Versions Bugs	10	Passed
Code Quality	15	Passed
Best Practices	4	Passed
Gas	7	1 issue found

Discovered Issues

Uninitialized variable

In the **NomisScore.sol** contract, the `_individualReward` mapping is never initialized. However, its default values are used in `setScore`, `claimReferralRewards`, `getClaimableReward` functions without impacting on their logic, as when the `_individualReward` is equal to zero, the value of `_referralReward` is used instead. We recommend removing the `_individualReward` mapping to improve code quality and decrease gas consumption, or adding a function to modify the values of the `_individualReward` mapping.

***Comment from the developers:** Yes, this bug exists in this version of the code. There is a function for modifying the `_individualReward` values in the current version of the code.*

External vs public

The `getWalletsByReferrerCode` and `initialize` functions of the **NomisScore** contract can be declared as `external`. Consider declaring functions as `external` instead of `public` when possible to improve code readability and optimize gas consumption.

This analysis was performed by [Pessimistic](#):

Egor Dergunov, Junior Security Engineer

Rasul Yunusov, Security Engineer

Evgeny Bokarev, Junior Security Engineer

Nikita Kirillov, Product Owner

Evgeny Marchenko, CTO

Konstantin Zharebtsov, Business Development Lead

May 27, 2024