

CS442 Notes

1 Functional Programming

- to begin: Functional Programming in general, not a particular language
- need a model
 - simple
 - powerful enough to be useful

Church-Turing Thesis: Any algorithm can be simulated on a Turing machine.

Alan Turing

- Turing machine
- not an inspiring model for programming

Alonzo Church

- λ -calculus
- equivalent to Turing Machines
- the basis for all of functional programming

1.1 Untyped Lambda-Calculus

Calculus

- a system or method of calculation
- syntax + rules for manipulating syntax

Lambda-Calculus (1934) - "a calculus of functions"

Syntax: Abstract Syntax

variable, abstraction, application

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{expr} \rangle &::= \langle \text{var} \rangle \mid \langle \text{abs} \rangle \mid \langle \text{app} \rangle \\ \langle \text{var} \rangle &::= a \mid b \mid c \\ &\text{variable and body} \\ \langle \text{abs} \rangle &::= \lambda \langle \text{var} \rangle . \langle \text{expr} \rangle \\ &\text{rator and rand} \\ \langle \text{app} \rangle &::= \langle \text{expr} \rangle \langle \text{expr} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Use parenthesis to disambiguate parses

Conventions:

1. Abstractions extend as far to the right as possible

e.g. $\lambda x.y\ z = \lambda x.(y\ z) \neq (\lambda x.y)\ z$

2. Applications associate left-to-right

e.g. $x\ y\ z = (x\ y)\ z \neq x\ (y\ z)$

Interpretation

- Var: Self explanatory
- Abs: $\lambda x.E$ = "function" taking argument x and returning expr E
- App: $M\ N$ - result of applying "function" M to argument N

Consider:

$\lambda x.x$ - Here the first x is a binding occurrence and second x is a bound occurrence.

$\lambda x.x\ y\ x$ - The y is a free occurrence (fully parenthesized function is $\lambda x.((x\ y)\ x)$)

$\lambda x.x\ (\lambda x.x)\ x$ - Same variable name might be bound in different places as long as the scope is not clashing

$x\ \lambda x.x$ - The x in the front is free while the other one is bound

Informally:

A variable is bound if it has a bound occurrence.

A variable is free if it has a free occurrence

The same variable can be used in both bound and free occurrences

Formally:

Definition: Let E be an expression. The bound variables of E , $B \cup [E]$ are given by

$$B \cup [x] = \emptyset$$

$$B \cup [\lambda x.E] = B \cup [E] \cup \{x\}$$

$$B \cup [M\ N] = B \cup [M] \cup B \cup [N]$$

x is bound in E if $x \in B \cup [E]$

The free variables of E , $F \cup [E]$ are given by

$$F \cup [x] = \{x\}$$

$$F \cup [\lambda x.E] = F \cup [E] \setminus \{x\}$$

$$F \cup [M N] = F \cup [M] \cup F \cup [N]$$

x is free in E if $x \in F \cup [E]$

A variable can be both bound and free: $F \cup [x \lambda x.x] = B \cup [x \lambda x.x] = \{x\}$

But each occurrence is either bound or free, not both

Two occurrences of a variable x "mean the same thing" if

1. they are both free occurrences
2. OR they have the same binding occurrence

$\lambda x.\lambda y.y x$ and $\lambda a.\lambda b.b a$ these should mean the same thing

$\lambda x.y x$ and $\lambda x.w x$ should not mean the same thing because y and w do not have to be the same free variable

You can change the names of bound variables, but not free ones.

Formally:

Definition (α -conversion): For all x, y, M , $\lambda x.M =_\alpha \lambda y.M[y/x]$ if $y \notin F \cup [M]$

$M[N/x]$ = "substitute N for x in M "

More generally, if $C[\lambda x.M]$ denotes an expr in which $\lambda x.M$ occurs as a subexpression, then $C[\lambda x.M] =_\alpha C[\lambda y.M[y/x]]$ if $y \notin F \cup [M]$.

e.g.

$$\lambda x.x =_\alpha \lambda y.y$$

$$x \lambda x.x =_\alpha x \lambda a.a$$

$$\lambda a.b a =_\alpha \lambda c.b c \neq_\alpha \lambda b.b b \neq_\alpha \lambda a.d a$$

Computation:

Expr. $(\lambda x.M) N$ is called a (β) -redex (reducible expression)

We expect: $(\lambda x.M) N \Rightarrow$ evaluate M , with N substituted for x i.e. $M[N/x]$

Definition (β -reduction): For all M, N, x , $(\lambda x.M) N \rightarrow_\beta M[N/x]$

More generally - for all contexts C , $C[(\lambda x.M) N] \rightarrow_\beta C[M[N/x]]$

\rightarrow_β is a binary relation on terms

$A \rightarrow_\beta B$: A beta reduces to B in one step

$A \rightarrow_\beta^n B$: A beta reduces to B in n steps

$A \rightarrow_\beta^* B$: A beta reduces to B in 0 or more steps

$A \rightarrow_\beta^+ B$: A beta reduces to B in 1 or more steps

Evaluating $M[N/x]$

Want: Substitute N for all free occurrences of x in M

Definition (substitution, naive(wrong)):

$$x[E/x] = E$$

$$y[E/x] = y, y \neq x$$

$$(M N)[E/x] = M[E/x] N[E/x]$$

$$(\lambda x.P)[E/x] = \lambda x.P$$

$$(\lambda y.P)[E/x] = \lambda y.P[E/x], y \neq x$$

E.g.

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x.x y) z &\rightarrow_\beta (x y)[z/x] \\ &= x[z/x] y[z/x] \\ &= z y \end{aligned}$$

$$(\lambda x.x) a \rightarrow_\beta x[a/x] = a$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x.\lambda y.x) a b &\rightarrow_\beta (\lambda y.x)[a/x] b \\ &= (\lambda y.x[a/x]) b \\ &= (\lambda y.a) b \\ &\rightarrow_\beta a[b/y] \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x.\lambda y.y) a b &\rightarrow_\beta (\lambda y.y)[a/x] b \\ &= (\lambda y.y[a/x]) b \\ &= (\lambda y.y) b \\ &\rightarrow_\beta y[b/y] \\ &= b \end{aligned}$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x. \lambda y. x) y w &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. x)[y/x] w \\&= (\lambda y. x[y/x]) w \\&= (\lambda y. y) w \\&\rightarrow_{\beta} y[w/y] \\&= w\end{aligned}$$

We can see from this that the last rule of $(\lambda y. P)[E/x] = \lambda y. P[E/x]$, $y \neq x$ must be wrong.

What happened? Free variable y became bound after substitution

As a result, the binding occurrence of x changed

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x \leftarrow$ the inner x is bound to the λx on the outside.

This is called **Dynamic binding** - meaning of variables uncertain until runtime

We want **static binding** - meanings of variables fixed before runtime

Definition (substitution, fixed):

$$x[E/x] = E$$

$$y[E/x] = y, y \neq x$$

$$(M N)[E/x] = M[E/x] N[E/x]$$

$$(\lambda x. P)[E/x] = \lambda x. P$$

$$(\lambda y. P)[E/x] = \lambda y. P[E/x], y \notin F \cup [E]$$

What if y is free???? (Thursday class)