



Departament d'Enginyeria  
Telemàtica



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA

## PhD Research Plan

---

# LOCALLY RECOVERABLE CODES APPLICATIONS

---

Petar Hlad Colic

Information Security Group  
Department of Network Engineering  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Advisor: Marcel Fernández Muñoz

Barcelona, July 2018



---

# CONTENTS

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Context and motivation</b>                        | <b>1</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>State of the Art</b>                              | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1      | Definition of LRC codes . . . . .                    | 3        |
| 2.2      | Bounds on parameters of LRC codes . . . . .          | 4        |
| 2.3      | Algebraic Geometric Codes . . . . .                  | 4        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Open Problems</b>                                 | <b>5</b> |
| 3.1      | Constructions of binary LRC codes . . . . .          | 5        |
| 3.2      | Constructions of codes on algebraic curves . . . . . | 5        |
| 3.3      | Bounds on codes with locality . . . . .              | 6        |
| 3.4      | List decoding of LRC codes . . . . .                 | 6        |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>                                  | <b>7</b> |



---

---

# CHAPTER 1

---

## CONTEXT AND MOTIVATION

In recent years the explosion in the volumes of data being stored online has resulted in distributed storage systems transitioning to erasure coding based schemes in order to ensure reliability with low storage overheads. On such a massive scale, unreachable or failed servers are no longer an exception but a regular occurrence and recovery from such events has to be done efficiently.

In recent years Locally Recoverable Codes (LRC) emerged as the codes of choice for many such scenarios and have been implemented in a number of large scale systems ([8], [11]).

Classical erasure correcting codes guarantee that data can be recovered if a bounded number of codeword coordinates is erased. However recovering data typically involves accessing all surviving coordinates. LRC codes have the property that a symbol of the codeword can be recovering accessing few other symbols of the codeword (called the *recovering set*).

Symbols can have more than one recovering set. Having more than one recovering set is beneficial in practice because it enables more users to access a given portion of data, thus enhancing data availability in the system.

Data storage applications require codes with small redundancy, low locality for information coordinates, large distance, and low locality for parity coordinates.



---

# CHAPTER 2

---

## STATE OF THE ART

### 2.1 Definition of LRC codes

Consider a linear  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , where  $q$  is a prime power. We say that the  $i$ -th coordinate of  $\mathcal{C}$  has locality  $r$ , if the value at this coordinate can be recovered from accessing some other  $r$  coordinates of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We say that the code  $\mathcal{C}$  has locality  $r$  if every symbol of the codeword  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  can be recovered from a subset of  $r$  other symbols of  $x$ .

**Definition 2.1** (LRC Codes). A code  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a *locally recoverable code* (LRC) with locality  $r$  if for every  $i \in [n]$  there exists a subset  $\mathcal{R}_i \subset [n] \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $|\mathcal{R}_i| \leq r$  and a map  $\phi_i$  such that for every codeword  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \phi_i(\{\mathbf{x}_j, j \in \mathcal{R}_i\}) \quad (2.1)$$

This definition can be also rephrased as follows. Given  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  consider the sets of codewords

$$\mathcal{C}(i, a) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : x_i = a\}, \quad i \in [n]$$

The code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to have locality  $r$  if for every  $i \in [n]$  there exists a subset  $\mathcal{R}_i \subset [n] \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $|\mathcal{R}_i| \leq r$  such that the restrictions of the sets  $\mathcal{C}(i, a)$  to the coordinates in  $\mathcal{R}_i$  for different  $a$  are disjoint:

$$\mathcal{C}_{I_i}(i, a) \cap \mathcal{C}_{I_i}(i, a') = \emptyset, \quad a \neq a' \quad (2.2)$$

The subset  $I_i$  is called a *recovering set* for the symbol  $x_i$ .

**Definition 2.2** (t-LRC Codes). A code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to have  $t$  disjoint recovering sets if for every  $i \in [n]$  there are pairwise disjoint subsets  $R_i^1, \dots, R_i^t \subset [n] \setminus \{i\}$  such that for all  $j = 1, \dots, t$  and every pair of symbols  $a, a' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $a \neq a'$

$$\mathcal{C}(i, a)_{R_i^j} \cap \mathcal{C}(i, a')_{R_i^j} = \emptyset \quad (2.3)$$

For linear LRC codes, the relation between a symbol  $i$  and its recovering set  $I_i$  is linear. Thus, any symbol in  $I_i \cup \{i\}$  can be recovered from the remaining symbols. We then call  $I_i \cup \{i\}$  a *repair group*.

## 2.2 Bounds on parameters of LRC codes

Gopalan et al. proved in [4] the following bounds:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $(n, k, r)$  LRC code. The rate of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies*

$$\frac{k}{n} \leq \frac{r}{r+1} \quad (2.4)$$

*The minimum distance of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies:*

$$d \leq n - k - \left\lceil \frac{k}{r} \right\rceil + 2 \quad (2.5)$$

**Theorem 2.4** ([10, 15]). *For  $(n, k, r, t)$  LRC codes with  $t \geq 2$  disjoint recovering sets:*

$$d \leq n - k + 2 - \left\lceil \frac{t(k-1) + 1}{t(r-1) + 1} \right\rceil \quad (2.6)$$

We will refer to codes attaining the bound 2.5 (the bound 2.6 in case  $t \geq 2$ ) as optimal LRC codes.

In [12], Tamo, Barg, and Frolov find many new bounds on the distance and rate of LRC codes as well as asymptotic bounds.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $(n, k, r, t)$  LRC code with  $t$  disjoint recovering sets of size  $r$ . Then the rate of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies*

$$\frac{k}{n} \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^t (1 + \frac{1}{jr})} \quad (2.7)$$

*The minimum distance of  $\mathcal{C}$  is bounded above as follows:*

$$d \leq n - \sum_{i=0}^t \left\lceil \frac{k-1}{r^i} \right\rceil \quad (2.8)$$

$$R_q(r, \delta) \geq 1 - \min_{0 < s \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{r+1} \log_q((1 + (q-1)s)^{r+1} + (q-1)(1-s)^{r+1}) - \delta \log_q s \right\} \quad (2.9)$$

## 2.3 Algebraic Geometric Codes

Let  $X$  be a nonsingular irreducible projective curve over  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  with genus  $g$  and let  $K(X)$  be the function field of  $X$ . For a divisor  $G$  on  $X$  define the vector space  $L(G) := \{f \in K(X) | \text{div}(f) + G > 0\} \cup \{0\}$ .

Assume  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are rational points on the curve  $X$  and let  $D = P_1 + \dots + P_n$ . Assume  $G$  is a divisor on  $X$  with rational points and support disjoint from  $D$ . Also assume that  $2g - 2 < \deg(G) < n$ .



**Definition 2.6.** The linear code  $\mathcal{C}(D, G)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the image of the linear map  $\alpha : L(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$  where  $\alpha(f) = (f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n))$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *The code  $\mathcal{C}(D, G)$  has parameters  $[n, k, d]_q$  with*

$$n = \deg(D), \quad k = \deg(G) - g + 1, \quad d \geq d^* = n - \deg(G)$$



---

## CHAPTER 3

---

### OPEN PROBLEMS

[5]

#### 3.1 Constructions of binary LRC codes

[14]

#### 3.2 Constructions of codes on algebraic curves

[3, 2, 6]

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a degree- $(r+1)$  morphism of projective smooth irreducible curves over  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  be points of  $Y(K)$  s.t. for each  $Q_i$  there are  $r+1$  points  $P_{i,0}, \dots, P_{i,r}$  in  $X(K)$  that map to  $Q_i$ .

The map  $\varphi$  induces an injection of function fields  $\varphi^* : K(Y) \hookrightarrow K(X)$  that makes  $K(X)$  a degree- $(r+1)$  extension of  $K(Y)$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  be elements of  $K(X)$  that are l.i. over  $K(Y)$  and whose sets of poles are disjoint from the  $P_{i,j}$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be elements of  $K(Y)$  that are l.i. over  $K$  and whose sets of poles are disjoint from the  $Q_i$ .

Given a vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{i,j}) \in K^{r \times t}$  we define

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} := \sum_{i=1}^r e_i \sum_{j=1}^t a_{i,j} \varphi^* f_j$$

Let  $D$  be the smallest effective divisor on  $X$  so that each product  $e_i \varphi^* f_j$  lies in  $L(D)$ , and let  $\delta := \deg(D)$ . Define the code  $\mathcal{C} := \{f_{\mathbf{a}}(P_{i,j}) \mid \mathbf{a} \in K^{r \times t}\} \subseteq K^{s \times (r+1)}$ . If  $\delta < s(r+1)$  then the code  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear of dimension  $k = rt$ , length  $n = s(r+1)$ , and minimum distance  $d$  at least  $s(r+1) - \delta$ .

### 3.3 Bounds on codes with locality

The bound 2.8 gives the following asymptotic rate bound for LRC codes:

$$R_q^{(t)}(r, \delta) \leq \frac{r^t(r-1)}{r^{t+1}-1}(1-\delta), \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \quad (3.1)$$

The lower bound 2.7 appears to be far from tight. Tamo and Barg in [13] said they believe that the rate  $\left(\frac{r}{r+1}\right)^t$  is the largest possible for a LRC- $t$  code as long as  $t$  is not too large (e.g.  $t \in O(\log n)$ ). This rate can be achieved constructing a  $t$ -fold power of the binary  $(r+1, r)$  single-parity-check code.

Theorem 2.5 is proved applying probabilistic method techniques on the properties of a graph. The problem of optimizing the bound of the rate of LRC- $t$  codes will be studied, and one of the ways could be following a similar proof considering some restrictions that were not considered in [12].

Also, existence of  $t$ -LRC codes with arbitrary  $t$  and  $r$  seems to be a difficult problem.

### 3.4 List decoding of LRC codes

A code of length  $n$  is called  $(\tau, \ell)$ -list decodable if the Hamming sphere of radius  $\tau$  centered at any vector  $v$  of length  $n$  always contains at most  $\ell$  codewords  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . It was shown by Johnson in [9] that any code of length  $n$  and distance  $d$  is  $(\tau_J, \ell)$ -list decodable where  $\tau_J = n - \sqrt{n(n-d)}$  is the Johnson radius and  $\ell \in \text{poly}(n)$ .

It was recently shown by Holzbaur and Wachter-Zeh in [7] that the list decoding radius of certain LRC codes exceed the Johnson radius and give a general list decoding algorithm. The complexity of the algorithm is polynomial in  $n$  when the number of repairing groups is constant, otherwise it grows exponentially.

This problem will be studied to research for other families of LRC codes that could be list decoded beyond the Johnson radius.

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Abhishek Agarwal, Alexander Barg, Sihuang Hu, Arya Mazumdar, and Itzhak Tamo. “Combinatorial Alphabet-Dependent Bounds for Locally Recoverable Codes”. In: *CoRR* abs/1702.02685 (2017). DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2018.2800042](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2018.2800042). arXiv: [1702.02685](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02685). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02685>.
- [2] Alexander Barg, Kathryn Haymaker, Everett W. Howe, Gretchen L. Matthews, and Anthony Várilly-Alvarado. “Locally Recoverable Codes from Algebraic Curves and Surfaces”. In: *Algebraic Geometry for Coding Theory and Cryptography*. Ed. by Everett W. Howe, Kristin E. Lauter, and Judy L. Walker. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 95–127. ISBN: 978-3-319-63931-4 (cit. on p. 5).
- [3] Alexander Barg, Itzhak Tamo, and Serge Vladuts. “Locally recoverable codes on algebraic curves”. In: *CoRR* abs/1603.08876 (2016). arXiv: [1603.08876](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08876). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08876> (cit. on p. 5).
- [4] P. Gopalan, C. Huang, H. Simitci, and S. Yekhanin. “On the Locality of Codeword Symbols”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 58.11 (2012), pp. 6925–6934. ISSN: 0018-9448. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2012.2208937](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2012.2208937) (cit. on p. 4).
- [5] Sivakanth Gopi, Venkatesan Guruswami, and Sergey Yekhanin. “On Maximally Recoverable Local Reconstruction Codes”. In: *CoRR* abs/1710.10322 (2017). arXiv: [1710.10322](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10322). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10322> (cit. on p. 5).
- [6] Kathryn Haymaker, Beth Malmskog, and Gretchen Matthews. “Locally Recoverable Codes with Availability  $t \geq 2$  from Fiber Products of Curves”. In: abs/1612.03841 (2016). arXiv: [1612.03841](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03841). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03841> (cit. on p. 5).
- [7] Lukas Holzbaur and Antonia Wachter-Zeh. “List Decoding of Locally Repairable Codes”. In: *CoRR* abs/1801.04229 (2018). arXiv: [1801.04229](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.04229). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.04229> (cit. on p. 6).

- 
- [8] Cheng Huang, Huseyin Simitci, Yikang Xu, Aaron Ogus, Brad Calder, Parikshit Gopalan, Jin Li, and Sergey Yekhanin. “Erasure Coding in Windows Azure Storage”. In: *Presented as part of the 2012 USENIX Annual Technical Conference (USENIX ATC 12)*. Boston, MA: USENIX, 2012, pp. 15–26. ISBN: 978-931971-93-5. URL: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/atc12/technical-sessions/presentation/huang> (cit. on p. 1).
  - [9] S. Johnson. “A new upper bound for error-correcting codes”. In: *IRE Transactions on Information Theory* 8.3 (1962), pp. 203–207. ISSN: 0096-1000. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.1962.1057714](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1962.1057714) (cit. on p. 6).
  - [10] A. S. Rawat, D. S. Papailiopoulos, A. G. Dimakis, and S. Vishwanath. “Locality and Availability in Distributed Storage”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 62.8 (2016), pp. 4481–4493. ISSN: 0018-9448. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2016.2524510](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2016.2524510) (cit. on p. 4).
  - [11] Maheswaran Sathiamoorthy, Megasthenis Asteris, Dimitris Papailiopoulos, Alexandros G. Dimakis, Ramkumar Vadali, Scott Chen, and Dhruba Borthakur. “XOR-ing Elephants: Novel Erasure Codes for Big Data”. In: *Proc. VLDB Endow.* 6.5 (Mar. 2013), pp. 325–336. ISSN: 2150-8097. DOI: [10.14778/2535573.2488339](https://doi.org/10.14778/2535573.2488339). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14778/2535573.2488339> (cit. on p. 1).
  - [12] I. Tamo, A. Barg, and A. Frolov. “Bounds on the Parameters of Locally Recoverable Codes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 62.6 (2016), pp. 3070–3083. ISSN: 0018-9448. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2016.2518663](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2016.2518663) (cit. on pp. 4, 6).
  - [13] Itzhak Tamo and Alexander Barg. “Bounds on Locally Recoverable Codes with Multiple Recovering Sets”. In: *CoRR* abs/1402.0916 (2014). arXiv: [1402.0916](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0916). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0916> (cit. on p. 6).
  - [14] Itzhak Tamo, Alexander Barg, Sreechakra Goparaju, and Robert Calderbank. “Cyclic LRC Codes, Binary LRC Codes, and Upper Bounds on the Distance of Cyclic Codes”. In: *Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory* 3.4 (Jan. 2016), pp. 345–364. ISSN: 1753-7703. DOI: [10.1504/IJICOT.2016.079496](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICOT.2016.079496). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICOT.2016.079496> (cit. on p. 5).
  - [15] A. Wang and Z. Zhang. “Repair Locality With Multiple Erasure Tolerance”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.11 (2014), pp. 6979–6987. ISSN: 0018-9448. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2014.2351404](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2014.2351404) (cit. on p. 4).