#### Abstraction

November 17, 2019

https://en.wikipedia.org/ wiki/Abstract\_nonsense





• Software engineers love architecture principles.



- Software engineers love architecture principles.
- Who has heard of design patterns and the gang of four book?



- Software engineers love architecture principles.
- Who has heard of design patterns and the gang of four book?
- The authors were actually inspired by a book that came up with a pattern language in architecture



- Software engineers love architecture principles.
- Who has heard of design patterns and the gang of four book?
- The authors were actually inspired by a book that came up with a pattern language in architecture
- Such patterns were meant to provide guidelines across buildings in multiple style abstracting away concrete details of individual buildings.



- Software engineers love architecture principles.
- Who has heard of design patterns and the gang of four book?
- The authors were actually inspired by a book that came up with a pattern language in architecture
- Such patterns were meant to provide guidelines across buildings in multiple style abstracting away concrete details of individual buildings.
- Software design patterns are thus all about providing abstractions.

Let's talk about abstraction.

Let's talk about abstraction.



Let's talk about abstraction.



Abstraction in visual art is about avoiding concrete subjects.
 It attempts to convey something (often an emotion) without appealing to sentiment.

Let's talk about abstraction.



- Abstraction in visual art is about avoiding concrete subjects.
   It attempts to convey something (often an emotion) without appealing to sentiment.
- Oddly enough there's a bit of a stigma against it, despite the fact that music without lyrics has far less stigma.

Let's talk about abstraction.



- Abstraction in visual art is about avoiding concrete subjects.
   It attempts to convey something (often an emotion) without appealing to sentiment.
- Oddly enough there's a bit of a stigma against it, despite the fact that music without lyrics has far less stigma.
- Abstraction in mathematics and computer science is about generalization. Take away the concrete details of certain objects and see how they are similar.

This can lead us to *classify* different objects into related groups.

 Category theory has been influential in programming language theory because it is a powerful language for communicating abstractions in ways that are largely constructive.

- Category theory has been influential in programming language theory because it is a powerful language for communicating abstractions in ways that are largely constructive.
- This is important to computing because the point of programs are to construct some form of answer.

- Category theory has been influential in programming language theory because it is a powerful language for communicating abstractions in ways that are largely constructive.
- This is important to computing because the point of programs are to construct some form of answer.
- Although categorical abstractions are powerful, I will not discuss them much (and most of the really powerful abstractions go over my head).

- Category theory has been influential in programming language theory because it is a powerful language for communicating abstractions in ways that are largely constructive.
- This is important to computing because the point of programs are to construct some form of answer.
- Although categorical abstractions are powerful, I will not discuss them much (and most of the really powerful abstractions go over my head).
- But we will study abstraction from a less mathematical viewpoint.

- Category theory has been influential in programming language theory because it is a powerful language for communicating abstractions in ways that are largely constructive.
- This is important to computing because the point of programs are to construct some form of answer.
- Although categorical abstractions are powerful, I will not discuss them much (and most of the really powerful abstractions go over my head).
- But we will study abstraction from a less mathematical viewpoint.
- I will show instances of almost identical code and how a programming language feature allows the two pieces of code to be generalized.

# **Buddy Functions**

Let's consider the following functions in Java:

```
public boolean hasSmith(List<String> names) {
 for (String name : names) {
    if (name == "Smith")
     return true;
 return false;
public boolean hasBob(List<String> names) {
 for (String name : names) {
    if (name == "Bob")
     return true;
 return false;
```

## **Buddy Functions**

Let's consider the following functions in Java:

```
public boolean hasSmith(List<String> names) {
 for (String name : names) {
    if (name == "Smith")
      return true;
 return false;
public boolean hasBob(List<String> names) {
 for (String name : names) {
    if (name == "Bob")
      return true;
 return false;
```

These look pretty similar, right?

So, let's eliminate the redundancy of searching for different names by abstracting out towards a definition that takes in a string parameter to search for. This generalizes writing functions to search for specific strings.

```
public boolean hasName(String searchName, List<String> names) {
  for (String name : names) {
    if (name == searchName)
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

So, let's eliminate the redundancy of searching for different names by abstracting out towards a definition that takes in a string parameter to search for. This generalizes writing functions to search for specific strings.

```
public boolean hasName(String searchName, List<String> names) {
  for (String name : names) {
    if (name == searchName)
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

• Why only design a function that searches for names?

So, let's eliminate the redundancy of searching for different names by abstracting out towards a definition that takes in a string parameter to search for. This generalizes writing functions to search for specific strings.

```
public boolean hasName(String searchName, List<String> names) {
  for (String name : names) {
    if (name == searchName)
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

- Why only design a function that searches for names?
- Why not search for arbitrary items so long as they are comparable?

So, let's eliminate the redundancy of searching for different names by abstracting out towards a definition that takes in a string parameter to search for. This generalizes writing functions to search for specific strings.

```
public boolean hasName(String searchName, List<String> names) {
  for (String name : names) {
    if (name == searchName)
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

- Why only design a function that searches for names?
- Why not search for arbitrary items so long as they are comparable?
- Then searching for names becomes an instance of a more general problem that is solved.

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
  boolean hasItem(T searchItem, List<T> items) {
  for (T item : items) {
    if (item.equals(searchItem))
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

So, let's use some Java generalize our program.

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
  boolean hasItem(T searchItem, List<T> items) {
  for (T item : items) {
    if (item.equals(searchItem))
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

• Alright, now we can search for arbitrary comparable items!

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
  boolean hasItem(T searchItem, List<T> items) {
  for (T item : items) {
    if (item.equals(searchItem))
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

- Alright, now we can search for arbitrary comparable items!
- This is nice and general!

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
  boolean hasItem(T searchItem, List<T> items) {
  for (T item : items) {
    if (item.equals(searchItem))
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

- Alright, now we can search for arbitrary comparable items!
- This is nice and general!
- Can we generalize any more?

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
  boolean hasItem(T searchItem, List<T> items) {
  for (T item : items) {
    if (item.equals(searchItem))
      return true;
  }
  return false;
}
```

- Alright, now we can search for arbitrary comparable items!
- This is nice and general!
- Can we generalize any more?
- We actually have 2 more abstractions that we can apply!

#### Two Abstractions??



# I'm Sorry



Clear Lectures

Lectures with memes

Ok, let's get down to business.

Ok, let's get down to business.



Ok, let's get down to business.



 We can actually consider searching for a specific item via equality as the process of seeing if an arbitrary predicate returns true for an item in a list.

Ok, let's get down to business.



- We can actually consider searching for a specific item via equality as the process of seeing if an arbitrary predicate returns true for an item in a list.
- So if we wanted to check if a string equaled smith we could write:

```
Predicate<String> isSmith = str -> str == "Smith";
```

#### Back on Track

Ok, let's get down to business.



- We can actually consider searching for a specific item via equality as the process of seeing if an arbitrary predicate returns true for an item in a list.
- So if we wanted to check if a string equaled smith we could write:

```
Predicate<String> isSmith = str -> str == "Smith";
```

I could then pass this as the first argument to a funciton
 TestItems that I will now define.

```
Here is that function now:
  public <T extends Comparable<T>>
    boolean testItems(Predicate<T> pred, List<T> items) {
    for (T item : items) {
       if (pred.test(searchItem))
         return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

```
Here is that function now:
  public <T extends Comparable<T>>
    boolean testItems(Predicate<T> pred, List<T> items) {
    for (T item : items) {
       if (pred.test(searchItem))
         return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

 We can provide one last abstraction that applies predicates to every item in any iterable collection.

```
Here is that function now:
  public <T extends Comparable<T>>
    boolean testItems(Predicate<T> pred, List<T> items) {
    for (T item : items) {
       if (pred.test(searchItem))
         return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

- We can provide one last abstraction that applies predicates to every item in any iterable collection.
- For example, if I define iterators over dictionaries or trees we should still be able to apply a predicate to them.

```
Here is that function now:
  public <T extends Comparable<T>>
    boolean testItems(Predicate<T> pred, List<T> items) {
    for (T item : items) {
       if (pred.test(searchItem))
         return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

- We can provide one last abstraction that applies predicates to every item in any iterable collection.
- For example, if I define iterators over dictionaries or trees we should still be able to apply a predicate to them.

```
public <T extends Comparable<T>>
boolean testItems(Predicate<T> pred, Iterable<T> items) {
   for (T item : items) {
     if (pred.test(item))
        return true;
   }
}
```

Our third abstraction was a bit strange, right?

• I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.

- I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.
- This is a function with no name, and it is useful if you only need to use a function in one place in your program.

- I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.
- This is a function with no name, and it is useful if you only need to use a function in one place in your program.
- The second thing is that we had a parameter that received a function as input.

- I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.
- This is a function with no name, and it is useful if you only need to use a function in one place in your program.
- The second thing is that we had a parameter that received a function as input.
- It then applied this function to every element in the list and observed whether it returned true for that element.

- I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.
- This is a function with no name, and it is useful if you only need to use a function in one place in your program.
- The second thing is that we had a parameter that received a function as input.
- It then applied this function to every element in the list and observed whether it returned true for that element.
- This is a very powerful concept where we can determine if perhaps all elements in a collection satisfy a property or even one element. Or we can collect all individuals in a collection that satisfy some property.

- I used this weird predicate type in Java and then assigned an anonymous function.
- This is a function with no name, and it is useful if you only need to use a function in one place in your program.
- The second thing is that we had a parameter that received a function as input.
- It then applied this function to every element in the list and observed whether it returned true for that element.
- This is a very powerful concept where we can determine if perhaps all elements in a collection satisfy a property or even one element. Or we can collect all individuals in a collection that satisfy some property.
- For example, let's consider writing a program that only admits people that are 18 and older.

Let's consider that we have a list of people whose ages are represented by Natural numbers and that we want to only collect the people over 18. Assume a person has an age field that we can project.

• How do we start writing such a function?

- How do we start writing such a function?
- Via recursion over a list of course.

- How do we start writing such a function?
- Via recursion over a list of course.
- I'll skip to providing a skeleton:

- How do we start writing such a function?
- Via recursion over a list of course.
- I'll skip to providing a skeleton:

So, in order to protect against underage patrons getting in, we must do a comparision.

 Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))
- How do I check whether the age is less than 18?

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))
- How do I check whether the age is less than 18?
- (< (person-age (first patrons)) 18)

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))
- How do I check whether the age is less than 18?
- (< (person-age (first patrons)) 18)
- Thus, we should get (< 26 18) since we are getting Sara as our person, and this should return false.

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))
- How do I check whether the age is less than 18?
- (< (person-age (first patrons)) 18)
- Thus, we should get (< 26 18) since we are getting Sara as our person, and this should return false.
- Since this returns false, we should throw Sara into the list we're building. How do we do that?

- Assume that (first patrons) returns (person "Sara" 26).
- How do I get the age out?
- (person-age (first patrons))
- How do I check whether the age is less than 18?
- (< (person-age (first patrons)) 18)
- Thus, we should get (< 26 18) since we are getting Sara as our person, and this should return false.
- Since this returns false, we should throw Sara into the list we're building. How do we do that?
- With (cons (first patrons) ...)

```
Then, we had(< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)</li>
```

- Then, we had (< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)
- which evaluates to (< 16 18) and then return true.

- Then, we had (< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)
- which evaluates to (< 16 18) and then return true.
- This means that we must not add Dustin to our patron list.
   So we shouldn't use a cons operation.

- Then, we had (< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)
- which evaluates to (< 16 18) and then return true.</li>
- This means that we must not add Dustin to our patron list.
   So we shouldn't use a cons operation.
- There are two ways around this. One is to locally add a complicated if expression. The second is to design a new function that only does a cons operation if the first element of the list has an age greater than 18 and otherwise returns the sublist that already had filtered out underage people.

- Then, we had (< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)
- which evaluates to (< 16 18) and then return true.</li>
- This means that we must not add Dustin to our patron list.
   So we shouldn't use a cons operation.
- There are two ways around this. One is to locally add a complicated if expression. The second is to design a new function that only does a cons operation if the first element of the list has an age greater than 18 and otherwise returns the sublist that already had filtered out underage people.
- Let's consider designing this second function, called cons-over-18

- Then, we had (< (person-age (person "Dustin" 16)) 18)
- which evaluates to (< 16 18) and then return true.
- This means that we must not add Dustin to our patron list.
   So we shouldn't use a cons operation.
- There are two ways around this. One is to locally add a complicated if expression. The second is to design a new function that only does a cons operation if the first element of the list has an age greater than 18 and otherwise returns the sublist that already had filtered out underage people.
- Let's consider designing this second function, called cons-over-18
- Does this function need to do recursion itself?

### Designing a Helper Function

Thankfully, our helper function does not need to do recursion!

#### Designing a Helper Function

Thankfully, our helper function does not need to do recursion!

• Why?

#### Designing a Helper Function

Thankfully, our helper function does not need to do recursion!

- Why?
- We assume that the recursion for bar-entry already filters out people from sublists.

# Designing a Helper Function

Thankfully, our helper function does not need to do recursion!

- Why?
- We assume that the recursion for bar-entry already filters out people from sublists.
- So, our helper function simply takes in a person and a list and only conses the person onto the list if they are over 18.

# Designing a Helper Function

Thankfully, our helper function does not need to do recursion!

- Why?
- We assume that the recursion for bar-entry already filters out people from sublists.
- So, our helper function simply takes in a person and a list and only conses the person onto the list if they are over 18.

```
;; List<person> -> List<person>
;; Add a person to the patron list as
;; long as they are over 18.
(define (cons-over-18 possible-patron patrons)
   (if (>= (person-age possible-patron) 18)
        (cons possible-patron patrons)
        patrons))
```

Alright, with our helper combinator we can structure our recursive function in the usual way, instead of having to add an additional condition in the body of the function.

Alright, with our helper combinator we can structure our recursive function in the usual way, instead of having to add an additional condition in the body of the function.

Alright, with our helper combinator we can structure our recursive function in the usual way, instead of having to add an additional condition in the body of the function.

•

 In general, if it seems like the list you're building depends on the structure of the values in the list, then you need some kind of cons operation that checks properties on the head of the list.

Alright, with our helper combinator we can structure our recursive function in the usual way, instead of having to add an additional condition in the body of the function.

- •
- In general, if it seems like the list you're building depends on the structure of the values in the list, then you need some kind of cons operation that checks properties on the head of the list.
- But what happens if the condition we are checking needs to change?

Concretely, let's say that that our bar was serving alcohol to patrons under 21 (this is illegal but common...) and a new Sheriff comes in and is stricter on enforcing alcohol laws.

Concretely, let's say that that our bar was serving alcohol to patrons under 21 (this is illegal but common...) and a new Sheriff comes in and is stricter on enforcing alcohol laws.

Now our bar can't afford to give drinks to patrons under 21
years old. We decide that the easiest solution is to only allow
21 and over patrons, to prevent underage people from getting
in and sneakily get drinks.

Concretely, let's say that that our bar was serving alcohol to patrons under 21 (this is illegal but common...) and a new Sheriff comes in and is stricter on enforcing alcohol laws.

- Now our bar can't afford to give drinks to patrons under 21 years old. We decide that the easiest solution is to only allow 21 and over patrons, to prevent underage people from getting in and sneakily get drinks.
- To model this behavior, our program must change cons-over-18 to something named cons-over-21 that changes its check to make sure patrons are at least 21.

Concretely, let's say that that our bar was serving alcohol to patrons under 21 (this is illegal but common...) and a new Sheriff comes in and is stricter on enforcing alcohol laws.

- Now our bar can't afford to give drinks to patrons under 21 years old. We decide that the easiest solution is to only allow 21 and over patrons, to prevent underage people from getting in and sneakily get drinks.
- To model this behavior, our program must change cons-over-18 to something named cons-over-21 that changes its check to make sure patrons are at least 21.
- But let's say we still need to model another bar that allows people over 18, but charges them covers. Then we will need four functions for our program.

# Repetitive Bar Program

```
(define (cons-over-18 possible-patron patrons)
 (if (>= (person-age possible-patron) 18)
      (cons possible-patron patrons)
     patrons))
(define (bar-entry-18 patrons)
 (cond
    [(empty? patrons) patrons]
    [(cons? patrons) (cons-over-18
                       (first patrons)
                       (bar-entry-18 (rest patrons)))]))
(define (cons-over-21 possible-patron patrons)
 (if (>= (person-age possible-patron) 21)
       (cons possible-patron patrons)
      patrons))
(define (bar-entry-21 patrons)
 (cond
    [(empty? patrons) patrons]
    [(cons? patrons) (cons-over-21
                      (first patrons)
                      (bar-entry-21 (rest patrons)))]))
```

So, we can see we have a lot of redundant code in the program.

• Redundant code should cause developers an itch.

- Redundant code should cause developers an itch.
- On the one hand, there are more places for the program to go wrong.

- Redundant code should cause developers an itch.
- On the one hand, there are more places for the program to go wrong.
- On the other, most developers get bored of re-reading similar code.

- Redundant code should cause developers an itch.
- On the one hand, there are more places for the program to go wrong.
- On the other, most developers get bored of re-reading similar code.
- To minimize failure locations and keep interest, we should abstract out patterns in the code.

- Redundant code should cause developers an itch.
- On the one hand, there are more places for the program to go wrong.
- On the other, most developers get bored of re-reading similar code.
- To minimize failure locations and keep interest, we should abstract out patterns in the code.
- So, how do we get started?

A rule of thumb when abstracting code is that either you need another parameter or you need another function.

A rule of thumb when abstracting code is that either you need another parameter or you need another function.

 Let's consider adding another parameter. We can add a boolean parameter that is a flag indicating whether to check if patrons are over 18 if the flag is false and over 21 if it is true.

A rule of thumb when abstracting code is that either you need another parameter or you need another function.

 Let's consider adding another parameter. We can add a boolean parameter that is a flag indicating whether to check if patrons are over 18 if the flag is false and over 21 if it is true.

A rule of thumb when abstracting code is that either you need another parameter or you need another function.

- Let's consider adding another parameter. We can add a boolean parameter that is a flag indicating whether to check if patrons are over 18 if the flag is false and over 21 if it is true.
- But wouldn't it be more elegant to just have a numeric parameter that is used as the threshold for our checks?

A rule of thumb when abstracting code is that either you need another parameter or you need another function.

- Let's consider adding another parameter. We can add a boolean parameter that is a flag indicating whether to check if patrons are over 18 if the flag is false and over 21 if it is true.
- But wouldn't it be more elegant to just have a numeric parameter that is used as the threshold for our checks?

Now, let's say that we need to check that patrons have a valid id that hasn't expired. Assume that our persons struct has been updated with id information.

 How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.
- But in general, as we add restrictions, we have to keep modifying cons-over.

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.
- But in general, as we add restrictions, we have to keep modifying cons-over.
- Is there any way we can abstract over these changes?

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.
- But in general, as we add restrictions, we have to keep modifying cons-over.
- Is there any way we can abstract over these changes?
- Just like the abstraction I provided at the beginning of this material in Java, we can add a predicate as a parameter!

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.
- But in general, as we add restrictions, we have to keep modifying cons-over.
- Is there any way we can abstract over these changes?
- Just like the abstraction I provided at the beginning of this material in Java, we can add a predicate as a parameter!
- We then only cons items that meet this predicate!

- How do we have to change to our program to account for this new restriction?
- We need to change cons-over to add an additional check.
- Luckily, this restriction applies to all bars, so we don't have to add an additional parameter.
- But in general, as we add restrictions, we have to keep modifying cons-over.
- Is there any way we can abstract over these changes?
- Just like the abstraction I provided at the beginning of this material in Java, we can add a predicate as a parameter!
- We then only cons items that meet this predicate!
- This is the power of having first class functions in your language!



## Filtering Functions

```
You must be thinking "show me the code!" by now, so here it is:
    (define (over-18? patron) (>= (person-age patron) 18))
    (define (over-21? patron) (>= (person-age patron) 21))
    (define (cons-over-p pred possible-patron patrons)
      (if (pred possible-patron)
          (cons possible-patron patrons)
          patrons))
    (define (bar-entry pred patrons)
      (cond
        [(empty? patrons) patrons]
        [(cons? patrons) (cons-over-p
                           pred
                           (first patrons)
                           (bar-entry (rest patrons)))]))
```

 We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)

- We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)
- It turns out however that our functions have become general to the point of being badly named.

- We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)
- It turns out however that our functions have become general to the point of being badly named.
- For example, I can call (bar-entry? string? '(1 "foo" (point 1 2) "bar")) and the function call returns '("foo" "bar")

#### Accidental Derivations

- We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)
- It turns out however that our functions have become general to the point of being badly named.
- For example, I can call
   (bar-entry? string? '(1 "foo" (point 1 2) "bar"))
   and the function call returns '("foo" "bar")
- It turns out our bouncer function ended up being able to get all of the lists out of a heterogeneous list.

#### Accidental Derivations

- We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)
- It turns out however that our functions have become general to the point of being badly named.
- For example, I can call
   (bar-entry? string? '(1 "foo" (point 1 2) "bar"))
   and the function call returns '("foo" "bar")
- It turns out our bouncer function ended up being able to get all of the lists out of a heterogeneous list.
- It turns out that we have derived a famous function and called it bar-entry!

#### Accidental Derivations

- We can now have our separate bars filter out by different ages with: (bar-entry over-18? PATRONS) and (bar-entry over-21? PATRONS)
- It turns out however that our functions have become general to the point of being badly named.
- For example, I can call
   (bar-entry? string? '(1 "foo" (point 1 2) "bar"))
   and the function call returns '("foo" "bar")
- It turns out our bouncer function ended up being able to get all of the lists out of a heterogeneous list.
- It turns out that we have derived a famous function and called it bar-entry!
- The name of this function is filter

Ah Filter, everyone's favorite 90's 2 hit wonder!

 But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))
- The result is '(2 4 6)

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))
- The result is '(2 4 6)
- We can call:

```
(filter
  (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1))
  '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown" "Z"))
```

Ah Filter, everyone's favorite 90's 2 hit wonder!

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))
- The result is '(2 4 6)
- We can call:

```
(filter
  (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1))
  '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown" "Z"))
```

• And this returns '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown")

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))
- The result is '(2 4 6)
- We can call:

```
(filter
  (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1))
  '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown" "Z"))
```

- And this returns '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown")
- You might be wondering what (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1)) is.

- But anyway, filter takes in a predicate as its first argument and a list to collect items out of (when the predicate returns true on a list item, it is collected).
- For example, we can call (filter even? '(1 2 3 4 5 6))
- The result is '(2 4 6)
- We can call:

```
(filter
  (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1))
  '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown" "Z"))
```

- And this returns '("Smith" "Jenkins" "Brown")
- You might be wondering what (lambda (s) (>= (string-length s) 1)) is.
- It is an anonymous function, just like the one I previously showed in Java.

Anonymous functions, functions as arguments, and functions as return values are powerful features in functional programming languages that have made their way into most mainstream languages.

• In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda

- In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda
- (lambda (x) (add1 x)) is an anonymous function with one parameter x that increments the number.

- In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda
- (lambda (x) (add1 x)) is an anonymous function with one parameter x that increments the number.
- We can also take functions as arguments to functions.

- In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda
- (lambda (x) (add1 x)) is an anonymous function with one parameter x that increments the number.
- We can also take functions as arguments to functions.
- (filter (lambda (x) (< x 5)) '(1 2 4 8 16)) provides an anonymous function (that is a predicate) as an argument to filter

- In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda
- (lambda (x) (add1 x)) is an anonymous function with one parameter x that increments the number.
- We can also take functions as arguments to functions.
- (filter (lambda (x) (< x 5)) '(1 2 4 8 16)) provides an anonymous function (that is a predicate) as an argument to filter
- We can also write functions that return functions.

- In Racket, we introduce an anonymous function with lambda
- (lambda (x) (add1 x)) is an anonymous function with one parameter x that increments the number.
- We can also take functions as arguments to functions.
- (filter (lambda (x) (< x 5)) '(1 2 4 8 16))</li>
   provides an anonymous function (that is a predicate) as an argument to filter
- We can also write functions that return functions.
- Here is a small example: (define (add x) (lambda (y) (+ x y)))

What do you think the following code is doing?

```
What do you think the following code is doing? (define add-two (add 2))
```

```
(define add-two (add 2))
(define add-three (add 3))
```

•

What do you think the following code is doing?

```
(define add-two (add 2))
(define add-three (add 3))
```

•

 Well, let's substitute 2 for x and then look at the body of the original function:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 2 y))
```

What do you think the following code is doing?

```
(define add-two (add 2))
(define add-three (add 3))
```

•

 Well, let's substitute 2 for x and then look at the body of the original function:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 2 y))
```

 And when we apply 3 in defining add-three we substitute 3 and have:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 3 y))
```

What do you think the following code is doing?

```
(define add-two (add 2))
(define add-three (add 3))
```

•

 Well, let's substitute 2 for x and then look at the body of the original function:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 2 y))
```

 And when we apply 3 in defining add-three we substitute 3 and have:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 3 y))
```

• So, in the first case we are returning an anonymous function that adds 2 to y, and in the latter case we are returning an anonymous function that adds 3 to y.

What do you think the following code is doing?

```
(define add-two (add 2))
(define add-three (add 3))
```

•

 Well, let's substitute 2 for x and then look at the body of the original function:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 2 y))
```

 And when we apply 3 in defining add-three we substitute 3 and have:

```
(lambda (y)
(+ 3 y))
```

- So, in the first case we are returning an anonymous function that adds 2 to y, and in the latter case we are returning an anonymous function that adds 3 to y.
- So, in a sense we are deanonymizing these two functions by using define with add-two and add-three.

There are a couple of famous higher order functions that you learn about in math. Namely derivation, integration, and composition.

Let's first think about derivation:

- Let's first think about derivation:
- What is  $\frac{d}{dx} x^2$  ?

- Let's first think about derivation:
- What is  $\frac{d}{dx} x^2$ ?
- It is another function written in terms of x: 2x.

- Let's first think about derivation:
- What is  $\frac{d}{dx} x^2$ ?
- It is another function written in terms of x: 2x.
- Similarly, we know that the integration of 2x is  $x^2 + C$  for some constant C.

- Let's first think about derivation:
- What is  $\frac{d}{dx} x^2$ ?
- It is another function written in terms of x: 2x.
- Similarly, we know that the integration of 2x is  $x^2 + C$  for some constant C.
- In general, integration is uncomputable, so we'll focus on programming an approximate derivative by aproximating the limit rule with a "small enough" change.

- Let's first think about derivation:
- What is  $\frac{d}{dx} x^2$  ?
- It is another function written in terms of x: 2x.
- Similarly, we know that the integration of 2x is  $x^2 + C$  for some constant C.
- In general, integration is uncomputable, so we'll focus on programming an approximate derivative by aproximating the limit rule with a "small enough" change.

We can then use our derivative calculating function to create new functions:

• (define double (deriv sqr))

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.
- I can now call (double 3) to get 6.0000000000001

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.
- I can now call (double 3) to get 6.0000000000001
- If I now need to calculate numerical derivatives of functions repeatedly in some program, I can use this deriv function.

### Famous Higher Order Functions

We can then use our derivative calculating function to create new functions:

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.
- I can now call (double 3) to get 6.0000000000001
- If I now need to calculate numerical derivatives of functions repeatedly in some program, I can use this deriv function.
- For example, I can take (deriv double) and then get a constant function that always returns (approximately) 2.

### Famous Higher Order Functions

We can then use our derivative calculating function to create new functions:

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.
- I can now call (double 3) to get 6.0000000000001
- If I now need to calculate numerical derivatives of functions repeatedly in some program, I can use this deriv function.
- For example, I can take (deriv double) and then get a constant function that always returns (approximately) 2.
- In general, the idea of treating functions as data that can be manipulated is interesting and comprises entire areas of math and computer science.

# Famous Higher Order Functions

We can then use our derivative calculating function to create new functions:

- (define double (deriv sqr))
- I have taking the derivative of the square function and defined a new function double, to be the result.
- I can now call (double 3) to get 6.00000000000001
- If I now need to calculate numerical derivatives of functions repeatedly in some program, I can use this deriv function.
- For example, I can take (deriv double) and then get a constant function that always returns (approximately) 2.
- In general, the idea of treating functions as data that can be manipulated is interesting and comprises entire areas of math and computer science.
- In particular, the idea of modern AI is to learn functions from large sets of data or live input sources.

In our math education, we are taught about composition rather abstractly.

 But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.

- But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.
- But the composition function,  $\circ$ , is a function of type:  $(A \to B) \to (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$  that takes two functions as input and returns a new function as output.

- But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.
- But the composition function,  $\circ$ , is a function of type:  $(A \to B) \to (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$  that takes two functions as input and returns a new function as output.

- But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.
- But the composition function, ○, is a function of type: (A → B) → (B → C) → (A → C) that takes two functions as input and returns a new function as output.
- For example,  $y^2 \circ 2x$ , returns a function that first doubles a number and then squares it:  $(2x)^2$ .

- But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.
- But the composition function, ○, is a function of type:
   (A → B) → (B → C) → (A → C) that takes two functions as input and returns a new function as output.
- For example,  $y^2 \circ 2x$ , returns a function that first doubles a number and then squares it:  $(2x)^2$ .
- To define this in racket, we need a function that takes in two arguments as input and returns an anonymous function as output.

- But in this class we learn that programs can be represented as compositions of pure functions, and we designed (small but) real programs in this fashion.
- But the composition function, ○, is a function of type: (A → B) → (B → C) → (A → C) that takes two functions as input and returns a new function as output.
- For example,  $y^2 \circ 2x$ , returns a function that first doubles a number and then squares it:  $(2x)^2$ .
- To define this in racket, we need a function that takes in two arguments as input and returns an anonymous function as output.

```
(define (compose f g)
  (lambda (x) (f (g x))))
```

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

 Here's an unnecessarily complicated definition of the identity function: (define id (compose sqrt sqr))

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

- Here's an unnecessarily complicated definition of the identity function: (define id (compose sqrt sqr))
- But in general, we can replace certain programming patterns with composition.

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

- Here's an unnecessarily complicated definition of the identity function: (define id (compose sqrt sqr))
- But in general, we can replace certain programming patterns with composition.
- For example, we can replace the many bindings in programs like:

```
(define old-tank (aim-tank game-state))
(define old-loc (tank-loc old-tank))
(define new-loc (add1 old-loc))
(define new-tank (tank-set-loc old-tank new-loc)
(aim (aim-ufo game-state) new-tank)
```

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

- Here's an unnecessarily complicated definition of the identity function: (define id (compose sqrt sqr))
- But in general, we can replace certain programming patterns with composition.
- For example, we can replace the many bindings in programs like:

```
(define old-tank (aim-tank game-state))
(define old-loc (tank-loc old-tank))
(define new-loc (add1 old-loc))
(define new-tank (tank-set-loc old-tank new-loc)
(aim (aim-ufo game-state) new-tank)
```

• With a (nearly) *pointfree* program that uses compose:

We can compose simple functions like inverses:

- Here's an unnecessarily complicated definition of the identity function: (define id (compose sqrt sqr))
- But in general, we can replace certain programming patterns with composition.
- For example, we can replace the many bindings in programs like:

```
(define old-tank (aim-tank game-state))
(define old-loc (tank-loc old-tank))
(define new-loc (add1 old-loc))
(define new-tank (tank-set-loc old-tank new-loc)
(aim (aim-ufo game-state) new-tank)
```

• With a (nearly) pointfree program that uses compose:

```
(aim (aim-ufo game-state)
  ((compose
          (lambda (t 1) tank-set-loc) add1 tank-loc aim-tank) game-state)
          (tank-vel (aim-tank game-state)))
```

#### **Back To Abstraction**

Still, it's interesting that we can represent a series of sequential computations that involve assigning to local bindings, to a version that uses pointfree functions and avoids adding variable names.

#### **Back To Abstraction**

Still, it's interesting that we can represent a series of sequential computations that involve assigning to local bindings, to a version that uses pointfree functions and avoids adding variable names.

Still, this lecture is about abstractions, and I need to illustrate
why being able to define functions like the pointfree one
above is useful to abstracting away unnecessary details from
programs.

#### **Back To Abstraction**

Still, it's interesting that we can represent a series of sequential computations that involve assigning to local bindings, to a version that uses pointfree functions and avoids adding variable names.

Still, this lecture is about abstractions, and I need to illustrate
why being able to define functions like the pointfree one
above is useful to abstracting away unnecessary details from
programs.

•