University of Zürich, fall semester 2022

Northwest Caucasian Languages: Grammar and Typology

IV. Grammatical relations and alignment(s)

Peter Arkadiev (UZH, Slavisches Seminar)
alpgurev@gmail.com



• In the literature, NWC languages are considered ergative (Bossong 1982, Kumakhov & Vamling 1996, 2009, Letuchiy 2012 etc.).

- In the literature, NWC languages are considered ergative (Bossong 1982, Kumakhov & Vamling 1996, 2009, Letuchiy 2012 etc.).
- This is certainly justified, but...

- In the literature, NWC languages are considered ergative (Bossong 1982, Kumakhov & Vamling 1996, 2009, Letuchiy 2012 etc.).
- This is certainly justified, but...
- What does it exactly mean?
- And is it all that can be said about grammatical relations in NWC?

- What are grammatical relations?
- The "Zürich" approach (Bickel 2011, Witzlack-Makarevich 2010, Witzlack-Makarevich & Bickel eds. 2019):
- "A syntactic relation that an argument bears to a *specific construction or rule*" (Bickel 2011)
- "This means that an argument can bear as many GRs as it enters constructions in a given syntactic context, and these GRs need not be the same across constructions." (ibid.)
- "Thus, grammatical relations are reconceptualized as equivalence sets of arguments which are treated the same way (i.e. "aligned") by an argument selector (any morphosyntactic construction or pattern of marking or rule) under certain conditions." (Witzlack-Makarevich 2019: 5)

2. Ingredients

- Argument roles: S, A, P, R, T
- Valency classes of verbs (transitive and intransitive).
- Indexing of arguments by pronominal markers on verbs.
- Flagging of arguments by case-markers (where available).
- Plural marking (Circassian, Ubykh).
- Reflexives and reciprocals.
- Voice constructions (e.g. antipassive).
- Direct-inverse marking.
- Cross-clausal dependencies (e.g. relativisation, control, ellipsis).
- etc

- Numerical valency vs. transitivity (Letuchiy 2013 etc.)
- Transitivity is understood morphosyntactically (as encoding of A and P in the way similar to the verb 'break', cf. Haspelmath 2011: 545)

	ı	П	Ш	example
Intransitive	Abs			'live'
transitive	Erg	Abs		'break'
inverse	10	Abs		'forget'

- Numerical valency vs. transitivity (Letuchiy 2013 etc.)
- Transitivity is understood morphosyntactically (as encoding of A and P in the way similar to the verb 'break', cf. Haspelmath 2011: 545)

	ı	П	Ш	example
intransitive	Abs	10		'hit'
transitive	Erg	Abs		'break'
inverse	10	Abs		'forget'

- Numerical valency vs. transitivity (Letuchiy 2013 etc.)
- Transitivity is understood morphosyntactically (as encoding of A and P in the way similar to the verb 'break', cf. Haspelmath 2011: 545)

	ı	II	III	example
intransitive	Abs	10		'hit'
transitive	Erg	Abs	Ю	'give'
inverse	10	Abs		'forget'

Indexing

Abaza

```
(1) h-bzáza-ṭ

1PL.ABS-live-DCL

'we lived'
```

(2) hə-l-bá-ṭ 1PL.ABS-3SG.F.ERG-see-DCL 'she saw us' monovalent intransitive

bivalent transitive

Indexing

Abaza

- (1) h-bzáza-ṭ 1PL.ABS-live-DCL 'we lived'
- (2) ha-l-bá-ṭ 1PL.ABS-3SG.F.ERG-see-DCL 'she saw us'

monovalent intransitive

bivalent transitive

Ergative alignment S=P≠A

Indexing

```
Abaza
```

(1) h-bzáza-ţ

1PL.ABS-live-DCL
'we lived'

monovalent intransitive

(2) hə-l-bá-ṭ 1PL.ABS-3SG.F.ERG-see-DCL 'she saw us' bivalent transitive

(3) *j-ŝá-s-t-ţ*3SG.N.ABS-2PL.IO-1SG.ERG-give-DCL
'I gave you it'

trivalent transitive

(4) hə-l-q-áštəl-ṭ 1SG.ABS-3SG.F.IO-PVB-forget-DCL 'she forgot us'

bivalent inverse

Indexing

Abaza

```
(1) h-bzáza-ṭ

1PL.ABS-live-DCL

'we lived'
```

(5) ha-l-pšá-ṭ 1PL.ABS-3SG.F.IO-look-DCL 'we looked at her' monovalent intransitive

bivalent intransitive

Indexing

Abaza

- (1) h-bzáza-ṭ 1PL.ABS-live-DCL 'we lived'
- (5) ha-l-pšá-ṭ 1PL.ABS-3SG.F.IO-look-DCL 'we looked at her'

monovalent intransitive

bivalent intransitive

Accusative alignment S=A≠P

Flagging

West Circassian

- (6) *č'ale-r me-čəje* boy-<mark>ABS</mark> DYN-sleep 'The boy is sleeping.'
- (7) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-r jə-λeʁ^wə-ʁ girl-OBL boy-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST 'The girl saw the boy.'
- (8) ¿c'ale-r pŝaŝe-m je-ža-s boy-ABS girl-OBL 3SG.IO+DAT-wait-PST 'The boy waited for the girl.'

monovalent intransitive

bivalent transitive

bivalent intransitive

Flagging

West Circassian

- (6) *č'ale-r* me-čəje boy-ABS DYN-sleep 'The boy is sleeping.'
- (7) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-r jə-λeʁ^wə-ʁ girl-OBL boy-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST 'The girl saw the boy.'
- (8) ¿c'ale-r pŝaŝe-m je-ža-ʁ boy-ABS girl-OBL 3SG.IO+DAT-wait-PST 'The boy waited for the girl.'

Ergative alignment S=P≠A

Flagging

West Circassian

- (6) *č'ale-r* me-čəje boy-ABS DYN-sleep 'The boy is sleeping.'
- (7) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-r jə-λeʁ^wə-ʁ girl-OBL boy-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST 'The girl saw the boy.'
- (8) ¿c'ale-r pŝaŝe-m je-ža-s boy-ABS girl-OBL 3SG.IO+DAT-wait-PST 'The boy waited for the girl.'

Accusative alignment S=A≠P

Flagging

West Circassian

- (6) *č'ale-r* me-čəje monovalent intransitive boy-ABS DYN-sleep 'The boy is sleeping.'
- (7) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-r jə-λeʁʷə-ʁ bivalent transitive girl-OBL boy-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST 'The girl saw the boy.'
- (8) ¿'ale-r pŝaŝe-m je-ža-ʁ bivalent intransitive boy-ABS girl-OBL 3SG.IO+DAT-wait-PST 'The boy waited for the girl.'
- (9) <u>č</u>'ale-m pŝaŝe-m txəλə-r r-j-e-tə trivalent transitive boy-OBL girl-OBL book-ABS 3SG.IO+DAT-3SG.ERG-DYN-give 'The boy is giving the book to the girl.'

- West Circassian
- (10) *č'ale-xe-r* me-čəje-x boy-PL-ABS DYN-sleep-PL 'The boys are sleeping.'
- (11) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-xe-r jə-λeʁ^wə-ʁe-x girl-OBL boy-PL-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST-PL 'The girl saw the boys.'

- West Circassian
- (10) *č'ale-xe-r* me-čəje-x boy-PL-ABS DYN-sleep-PL 'The boys are sleeping.'
- (11) pŝaŝe-m č'ale-xe-r jə-λeʁ^wə-ʁe-x girl-OBL boy-PL-ABS 3SG.ERG-see-PST-PL 'The girl saw the boys.'

Ergative alignment S=P≠A

Ubykh (Dumézil & Esenç 1975: 161–162; Smeets 1997; Fenwick 2011: 135–136)

present	'go'		'see', 3Pl Erg	
	Sg	Pl	Sg	PI
1	s-ķ'e-n	š'-ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	s-a-bje-n	š'-a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n
2	w-ķ'e-n	ŝ ^w -ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	w-a-bje-n	ŝ ^w -a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n
3	(a)-ķ'e-n	a-ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	a-bje-n	a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n

Ubykh (Dumézil & Esenç 1975: 161–162; Smeets 1997; Fenwick 2011: 135–136)

present	'go'		'see', 3Pl Erg	
	Sg	Pl	Sg	PI
1	s-ķ'e-n	š'-ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	s-a-bje-n	š'-a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n
2	w-ķ'e-n	ŝ ^w -ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	w-a-bje-n	ŝ ^w -a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n
3	(a)-ķ'e-n	a-ķ'- <mark>a</mark> -n	a-bje-n	a-bj- <mark>a</mark> -n

Ergative alignment S=P≠A

- Ubykh (Dumézil & Esenç 1975: 88; Smeets 1997; Fenwick 2011: 101-2):
- (12) a. wə-ž'-bje-n 2SG.ABS-1PL.ERG-see-PRS 'We see you (sg).'
 - b. $s = -2^w bj a n$ 1SG.ABS-2PL.ERG-see-PL-PRS 'You (pl) see me.'
- (13) a. wə-ž'-ʁe-χ^weč'e-n
 2SG.ABS-1PL.IO-LOC-search-PRS
 'You (sg) are looking for us.'
 - b. sə-2w-κe-χweč'-a-n
 1SG.ABS-2PL.IO-LOC-search-PL-PRS
 'I am looking for you (pl).'

- Ubykh (Dumézil & Esenç 1975: 88; Smeets 1997; Fenwick 2011: 101-2):
- (12) a. wə-ž'-bje-n 2SG.ABS-1PL.ERG-see-PRS 'We see you (sg).'
 - b. $s = -2^w bj a n$ 1SG.ABS-2PL.ERG-see-PL-PRS 'You (pl) see me.'
- (13) a. wə-ž'-ʁe-χ^weč'e-n2SG.ABS-1PL.IO-LOC-search-PRS'You (sg) are looking for us.'
 - b. sə-²w-κe-χweč'-a-n
 1SG.ABS-2PL.IO-LOC-search-PL-PRS
 'I am looking for you (pl).'

Neutral alignment S=P=A=R

West Circassian (cf. Letuchiy 2007, 2012, Ershova 2022)

- (14) zə-sə-wəpsə-ʁ RFL.ABS-1SG.ERG-shave-PST 'I shaved (myself).'
- (15) sə-z-fe-g^wəbžə-ž'ə bivalent intransitive 1SG.ABS-RFL.IO-BEN-angry-RE 'I am angry at myself.'
- (16) wəne-r zə-fe-p-ṣ̂ə-ž'ə-ʁ trivalent transitive house-ABS RFL.IO-BEN-2SG.ERG-make-RE-PST 'You build a house for yourself.'

West Circassian (cf. Letuchiy 2007, 2012, Ershova 2022)

- (14) zə-sə-wəpsə-ʁ RFL.ABS-1SG.ERG-shave-PST 'I shaved (myself).'
- (15) sə-z-fe-g^wəbžə-ž'ə bivalent intransitive 1SG.ABS-RFL.IO-BEN-angry-RE 'I am angry at myself.'
- (16) wəne-r zə-fe-p-ṣ̂ə-ž'ə-ʁ trivalent transitive house-ABS RFL.IO-BEN-2SG.ERG-make-RE-PST 'You built a house for yourself.'

Reflexive is oriented towards the more agentive participant. Basically, an accusative (S+A) orientation.

West Circassian (cf. Letuchiy 2007, 2012, Ershova 2022)

- (17) tə-qə-ze-de-ŝwe bivalent intransitive 1PL.ABS-CSL-REC.IO-COM-dance 'We are dancing with each other.'
- (18) wəne-xe-r ze-fe-t-ṣə-ž'ə-ʁ trivalent transitive IO>REC house-PL-ABS REC.IO-BEN-1PL.ERG-make-RE-PST 'We built houses for each other.'

West Circassian (cf. Letuchiy 2007, 2012, Ershova 2022)

- (17) tə-qə-ze-de-ŝ^we bivalent intransitive 1PL.ABS-CSL-REC.IO-COM-dance 'We are dancing with each other.'
- (18) wəne-xe-r ze-fe-t-ṣə-ž'ə-ʁ trivalent transitive IO>REC house-PL-ABS REC.IO-BEN-1PL.ERG-make-RE-PST 'We built houses for each other.'

IO-reciprocal, like reflexive, is agent-oriented (S+A).

West Circassian (cf. Letuchiy 2007, 2012, Ershova 2022)

```
(17) tə-qə-ze-de-ŝwe bivalent intransitive 1PL.ABS-CSL-REC.IO-COM-dance 'We are dancing with each other.'
```

(19) $t \partial - z e r e - \lambda e \kappa^w \partial - \check{z}' \partial - \kappa$ bivalent transitive 1PL.ABS-REC.ERG-see-RE-PST 'We saw each other.'

Looks like absolutive (S+P) orientation.

Abaza (similarly Abkhaz), Arkadiev & Durneva (2023):

- (20) č̄∂-j-χ̄^w∂-ṭ bivalent transitive RFL.ABS-3SG.M.ERG-injure-DCL 'He injured himself.'
- (21) č-s-ĉa-mas-χ-p bivalent inverse RFL.ABS-1SG.IO-MAL-be.unpleasant-RE-NPST.DCL 'I hate myself.'
- (22) *čə-l-pš-əj-ṭ
 RFL.ABS-3SG.F.IO-look-PRS-DCL
 intended: 'She looked at herself.'

bivalent intransitive

Abaza (similarly Abkhaz), Arkadiev & Durneva (2023):

- (20) ča-j-χ"a-ṭ bivalent transitive RFL.ABS-3SG.M.ERG-injure-DCL 'He injured himself.'
- (21) č-s-ĉa-maκ-χ-p bivalent inverse RFL.ABS-1SG.IO-MAL-be.unpleasant-RE-NPST.DCL 'I hate myself.'
- (22) *čə-l-pš-əj-ţ
 RFL.ABS-3SG.F.IO-look-PRS-DCL
 intended: 'She looked at herself.'

A + oblique A orientation

bivalent intransitive

5. Relativisation

- Absolutive vs. oblique in morphology
- Lander (2010, 2012), Ershova (2021): absolutive is privileged also in syntax

5. Relativisation

West Circassian (Lander 2012: 251-252): the internal head cannot occur between the absolutive and the relativised predicate

- (23) [cəf-ew qwaje-r zə-šxə-re]-r ʁe.reza.ʁ-ew š'ə.t man-ADV cheese-ABS REL.ERG-eat-DYN-ABS content AUX 'The man who is eating cheese is content.'
- (23') *[qwaje-r çəf-ew zə-šxə-re]-r ʁe.reza.ʁ-ew š'ə.t cheese-ABS man-ADV REL.ERG-eat-DYN-ABS content AUX 'The man who is eating cheese is content.'

5. Relativisation

West Circassian (Lander 2012: 251-252): the internal head cannot occur between the absolutive and the relativised predicate

- (23) [cəf-ew qwaje-r zə-šxə-re]-r ʁe.reza.ʁ-ew š'ə.t man-ADV cheese-ABS REL.ERG-eat-DYN-ABS content AUX 'The man who is eating cheese is content.'
- (23') *[qwaje-r çəf-ew zə-šxə-re]-r ʁe.reza.ʁ-ew š'ə.t cheese-ABS man-ADV REL.ERG-eat-DYN-ABS content AUX 'The man who is eating cheese is content.'
- (24) [se č'et-ew s-šxə-re]-r qe.ĉwe.ua.p-ep

 1SG chicken-ADV 1SG.ERG-eat-DYN-ABS good.cooked-NEG

 'The chicken that I am eating is not cooked properly.'

6. Voice-like phenomena

Potential (based on the benefactive):

West Circassian (Letuchiy 2009: 355-358): A-orientation

- (25) a. se harəfə-xe-r s-e-txə transitive 1SG character-PL-ABS 1SG.ERG-DYN-write 'I am writing characters.'
 - b. se harəfə-xe-r s-fe-txə-r-ep
 1SG character-PL-ABS 1SG.IO-BEN-write-DYN-NEG
 'I cannot write characters.'
- (26) **č*′alʒe-r /**č*′alʒe-m fa-ḳ^we-r-ep intransitive boy-ABS /boy-OBL BEN-go-DYN-NEG intended 'The boy cannot go.'

6. Voice-like phenomena

Potential (based on the benefactive):

```
Abaza (texts): S+A-orientation
```

- (27) $knig\acute{a}$ g'-s- $z\acute{a}$ -m- $\chi^w \^{\varsigma}$ - ∂w -z-t transitive book NEG-1SG.IO-POT-NEG-buy-IPF-PST.NFIN-DCL 'I could not buy books.'
- (28) jará d-g'ə-z-ʕá-mə-j-ṭ intransitive 3SG.M 3SG.H.ABS-NEG.EMP-POT-CSL-NEG-come-DCL 'He could not come himself.'

Circassian antipassive (Arkadiev & Letuchiy 2021)

Kuban Kabardian (elicited): bivalent transitive

- (29) a. se <u>*</u>3'ane-r z-da-ne 1SG dress-ABS 1SG.ERG-sew-FUT 'I will sew a dress.'
 - b. zə-z-ʁe.psexw-me jə-ṭane sə-de-ne
 RFL.IO-1SG.ERG-relax-COND POSS-then 1SG.ABS-sew.ANTIP-FUT
 'I will take a rest and then will do my sewing.'

Circassian antipassive (Arkadiev & Letuchiy 2021)
 Kuban Kabardian (elicited): bivalent intransitive

```
(30) a. se ṣale-m s-je-ʔwənṣə-ne
1SG boy-OBL 1SG.ABS-3SG.IO+DAT-push-FUT
'I will shove that guy.'
```

```
b. sabəj-xe-r me-?wənşe child-PL-ABS DYN-push.ANTIP 'The children are jostling.'
```

Circassian antipassive (Arkadiev & Letuchiy 2021)
 Kuban Kabardian (elicited): bivalent intransitive

```
(30) a. se ṣale-m s-je-ʔwənṣə-ne
1SG boy-OBL 1SG.ABS-3SG.IO+DAT-push-FUT
'I will shove that guy.'
```

```
b. sabəj-xe-r me-?wənşe child-PL-ABS DYN-push.ANTIP 'The children are jostling.'
```

The antipassive is P-oriented regardless of transitivity.

Circassian antipassive (Arkadiev & Letuchiy 2021)

Kuban Kabardian (elicited): bivalent intransitive

```
(30) a. se ṣale-m s-je-ʔwənṣə-ne
1SG boy-OBL 1SG.ABS-3SG.IO+DAT-push-FUT
'I will shove that guy.'
```

```
b. sabəj-xe-r me-?wənşe child-PL-ABS DYN-push.ANTIP 'The children are jostling.'
```

The antipassive is P-oriented regardless of transitivity. And this in an apparently morphologically ergative language! Cf. parallel patterning of "direct-inverse" marking (Arkadiev 2020).

Interim summary

• Alignment in different constructions:

argument selector	alignment
indexing	Abs vs. Erg vs. IO (ergative)
plural marking (Ubykh, default)	Abs vs. Erg vs. IO (ergative)
plural marking (Ubykh, 2PI)	Abs + Erg + IO (neutral)
flagging (Circassian)	Abs vs. Erg + IO (ergative)
relativisation	Abs vs. Erg + IO (ergative)
reflexive (Circassian)	S + Erg vs. P + IO (accusative)
reflexive (Abaza	A + A _{OBL} (ergative)
reciprocal	Erg vs. Abs + IO (ergative)
potential (Circassian)	Erg vs. Abs + IO (ergative)
potential (Abaza)	S + Erg vs. P + IO (accusative)
antipassive (Circassian)	S + Erg vs. P + IO (accusative)

- Testelets (2009) and Ershova (2019: Ch. 5) on West Circassian.
- Co-reference relations between arguments of non-relative clauses are expressed by means of regular pronominal affixes (like e.g. in the Balkan languages).
- Obligatory control constructions with verbs like 'start', 'forget', 'begin', 'finish' behave more or less as expected, requiring identity between the semantic controller and the performer of the embedded event.

- West Circassian (Ershova 2019: 207-8):
- (31) [pše-r z-ʁe-pəte-n-ew] s-š'ə-ʁ^wəpša-ʁ door-ABS 1SG.ERG-CAUS-close-POT-ADV 1SG.IO-LOC-forget-PST 'I forgot to lock the door.'
- (32) [mə mezə-m sə-kwe-n-ew] s-e-š'əne this forest-OBL 1SG.ABS-go-POT-ADV 1SG.ABS-DYN-fear 'I am afraid to go into this forest.'

- Testelets (2009) and Ershova (2019: Ch. 5) on West Circassian.
- The full NP expressing the controller/controllee can be expressed either in the matrix or in the embedded clause (cf. Polinsky & Potsdam 2002 on "backward control").
- Even if the NP is quanitified! (In which case an ellipsis analysis is impossible.)

- West Circassian (Ershova 2019: 211-212):
- (33) a. pŝaŝe-m qe.ŝwe-n-ew r-jə-ʁe.ž'a-ʁ girl-OBL dance-POT-ADV DAT-3SG.ERG-begin-PST 'The girl started to dance.'
 - b. pŝaŝe-r qe.ŝ^we-n-ew r-ja-ĸe.ž'a-ĸ girl-ABS dance-POT-ADV DAT-3SG.ERG-begin-PST 'id.'

- West Circassian (Ershova 2019: 211-212):
- (33) a. $p\hat{s}a\hat{s}e-m$ [\emptyset $qe.\hat{s}^we-n-ew$] $r-j\partial-ue.\check{z}'a-u$ girl-OBL ABS dance-POT-ADV DAT-3SG.ERG-begin-PST 'The girl started to dance.'
 - b. \emptyset [pŝaŝe-r qe.ŝwe-n-ew] r-jə-ʁe.ž'a-ʁ ERG girl-ABS dance-POT-ADV DAT-3SG.ERG-begin-PST 'id.'

- West Circassian (Ershova 2019: 214):
- (34) a. [∅ qe.ŝ^we-n-ew] zeč'e-m-jə r-a-ʁe.ž'a-ʁ ABS dance-POT-ADV all-OBL-ADD DAT-3PL.ERG-begin-PST 'Everyone started to dance.'
 - b. $[ze\check{\xi}'e-r-j\partial \qquad qe.\hat{s}^we-n-ew] \not \bigcirc \qquad r-a-\varkappa e.\check{z}'a-\varkappa$ all-ABS-ADD dance-POT-ADV ERG DAT-3PL.ERG-begin-PST 'id.'

References

- Arkadiev, Peter. 2020. Non-canonical inverse in Circassian languages. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung* 73(1), 81–111.
- Arkadiev, Peter & Sonia Durneva. 2023. Reflexive constructions in Abaza. To appear in Katarzyna Janic, Nicoletta Puddu & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *Reflexive Constructions in the World's Languages*. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Arkadiev, Peter & Alexander Letuchiy. 2021. Indirect antipassive in Circassian. In: Katarzyna Janic & Alena Witzlack-Makarevich (eds.), *Antipassive: Typology, diachrony, and related constructions*, 483–514. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Bickel, Balthasar. 2011. Grammatical relations typology. In: Jae-Jung Song (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Typology*, 399–444. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bossong, Georg. 1982. Actance ergative et transitivité. Le cas du système verbal de l'oubykh. *Lingua* 56, 201–234.
- Dumézil, Georges & Tevfik Esenç. 1975. *Le verbe oubykh. Études descriptives et comparatives*. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Ershova, Ksenia. 2019. *Syntactic Ergativity in West Circassian*. PhD Dissertation, University of Chicago.
- Ershova, Ksenia. 2021. Diagnosing clause structure in a polysynthetic language: Wh-agreement and parasytic gaps in West Circassian. *Linguistic Inquiry* 52(1), 1–38.
- Ershova, Ksenia. 2022. Syntactic ergativity and the theory of subjecthood: Evidence from anaphor binding in West Circassian. To appear in *Language*.

References

- Fenwick, Rohan [Rhona] S. H. 2011. A Grammar of Ubykh. München: LINCOM Europa.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2011. On S, A, P, T, and R as comparative concepts for alignment typology. Linguistic Typology 15(3), 535–567.
- Kumakhov, Mukhadin, Karina Vamling & Zara Kumakhova. 1996. Ergative case in the Circassian languages. *Lund University, Dept. of Linguistics, Working Papers* 45, 93–111.
- Kumakhov, Mukhadin, Karina Vamling. 2009. *Circassian Clause Structure*. Malmö: Malmö University.
- Lander, Yury. 2010. Relativization in Shapsug Adyghe. *Rice University Working Papers in Linguistics* 2, 75–91.
- Lander, Yury A. 2012. *Reljativizacija v polisintetičeskom jazyke: adygejskie otnositel'nye konstrukcii v tipologičeskoj perspektive* [Relativization in a polysynthetic language: West Circassian relative clauses in typological perspective]. Moscow: Institute of Oriental Studies dissertation.
- Letuchiy, Alexander. 2007. Reciprocals, reflexives, comitatives, and sociatives in Adyghe. In: Vladimir Nedjalkov (ed.), *Reciprocal Constructions*, Vol. II, 773–811. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Letuchiy, Alexander B. 2009. Affiksy benefaktiva i malefaktiva: sintaksičeskije osobennosti i krug upotreblenij [Affixes of benefactive and malefactive: syntactic properties and use]. In: Yakov G. Testelets (ed.), Aspekty polisintetizma: očerki po grammatike adygejskogo jazyka [Aspects of polysynthesis: Essays in the grammar of West Circassian], 329–372. Moscow: RGGU.

References

- Letuchiy, Alexander. 2012. Ergativity in the Adyghe system of valency-changing derivations. In: Gilles Authier & Katharina Haude (eds.), *Ergativity, Valency and Voice*, 323–354. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Letuchiy, Alexander. 2013. Verb classes in Adyghe: derivational vs. nonderivational criteria. Linguistics 51(4), 729–766.
- Polinsky, Maria & Eric Potsdam. 2022. Backward control. *Linguistic Inquiry* 33, 224–282.
- Smeets, Rieks. 1997. Suffixal marking of plural in Ubykh verb forms. In Sumru A. Özsoy (ed.), *Proceedings of the Conference on Northwest Caucasian Linguistics*, 10–12 October 1994, 37–62.
- Testelets, Yakov. 2009. Nevyražennye aktanty v polipredikativnoj konstrukcii [Unexpressed arguments in multiclausal constructions]. In: Yakov G. Testelets (ed.), *Aspekty polisintetizma: očerki po grammatike adygejskogo jazyka* [Aspects of polysynthesis: Essays in the grammar of West Circassian], 654–711. Moscow: RGGU.
- Witzlack-Makarevich, Alena. 2010. *Typological Variation in Grammatical Relations*. PhD Thesis, Universität Leipzig.
- Witzlack-Makarevich, Alena. 2019. Argument selectors: New perspective on grammatical relations. An introduction. In: Alena Witzlack-Makarevich & Balthasar Bickel (eds.), *Argument selectors: New perspective on grammatical relations*, 1–38. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.