

Nominal

actual

0.1 mF 0.09525 mF

0.47 mF 0.4464 mF

50 Ω 51.075 Ω

1.0 kΩ 0.98416 kΩ

2.5 kΩ 1.4772 kΩ

2.2 kΩ 2.1769 kΩ

10 mH 10.383 mH

V <sub>1</sub> = 0.0	V <sub>2</sub> = 0.0
V <sub>1</sub> = 0.0	V <sub>2</sub> = 0.0
V <sub>1</sub> = 0.0	V <sub>2</sub> = 0.0
V <sub>1</sub> = 0.0	V <sub>2</sub> = 0.0

0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00

- Remove the load and measure the unloaded output voltage. This is the experimental Thevenin voltage. Record it in Table 11.2.
- Replace the voltage source with a  $50\ \Omega$  resistor to represent its internal impedance. Set the impedance meter to 1 kHz and measure the resulting impedance at the open load terminals. This is the experimental Thevenin impedance. Record these values in Table 11.2 and compare with the theoretical values.
- Using the decade resistance box and capacitor, build the Thevenin equivalent circuit of figure 11.2 and apply the  $1\ k\Omega$  load resistor. Measure the load voltage and record in Table 11.1. Compare with the values of the original (non-Thevenized) circuit and determine the deviation between the original and Thevenized circuits.
- To verify that Thevenin's Theorem also works with an inductive source and a complex load, repeat steps 1 through 5 in like manner but using figure 11.3 with  $R_1=1.5\ k\Omega$ ,  $R_2=2.2\ k\Omega$ ,  $L=10\ mH$ ,  $R_{load}=1\ k\Omega$  with  $C_{load}=1\ \mu F$ . Set the generator to a 10 kHz sine wave at 2 V p-p. Record results in Tables 11.3 and 11.4.

## Data Tables

$V_{load}$ Theory	0.6178 V
$V_{load}$ Original	0.6097 V
$V_{load}$ Thevenin	1.186 V
% Deviation	1.32 %

Table 11.1

	Theory	Experimental	% Deviation
$E_{Thevenin}$	1.191 V	0.6097 V 1.186 V	0.46 %
$Z_{Thevenin}$	880 + j356.5 Ω theory		

Table 11.2 (meq)

$V_{load}$ Theory	0.5843 V
$V_{load}$ Original	0.6017 V
$V_{load}$ Thevenin	
% Deviation	4.35%

Table 11.3

	Theory	Experimental	% Deviation
$E_{Thevenin}$	1.192 V	1.193 V	0.13%
$Z_{Thevenin}$	880 + j650.5 Ω	Theory	

Table 11.4 (no eq.)

## Questions

1. How does the AC version of Thevenin's Theorem compare with the DC version?

The AC Thevenin's theorem has an additional reactive component compared to the DC version.

2. Would the Thevenin equivalent circuits be altered if the source frequency was changed? If so, why?

The frequency-dependent components that exhibit reactance will change their values.

3. Based on the results of this exercise, would you expect Norton's Theorem for AC to behave similarly to its DC case?

With a steady-state AC source, the behaviour of the Norton's theorem would be equivalent, as in DC.