
1 Shape Optimization of a Photo Gun

1.1 Geometry

- latest geometry in Figure 1
- corresponding electric field for $p = 3$, $n_{\text{sub}} = 16$, $V_{\text{el}} = -300$ kV and $V_{\text{ar}} = 1$ kV
- (patches 32 . . . 35 are not entirely correct, missing the correct high voltage adapter)




Figure 1: Initial geometry and magnitude of electric field.

1.2 Optimization

- optimized geometry in Figure 2
- cost function only takes into account electric field
- only the upper electrode shape is optimized (volume constraint could be kept as before at 625 cm^3)
- corresponding electric field for $p = 3$, $n_{\text{sub}} = 16$, $V_{\text{el}} = -300$ kV and $V_{\text{ar}} = 1$ kV
- **magnitude of E-field remains large in patch 14** (also around anode ring)

	$(V_{\text{el}} - 625)/\text{cm}^3$	$\max(\ \mathbf{E}\ _2)/\frac{\text{MV}}{\text{m}}$
• results:		
initial	2.445	9.295
optimized	-12.872	8.49




Figure 2: Optimized geometry and electric field.

1.3 Tracking

- **general settings:** $Q = 100$ fC
- **spatial distribution:** Gaussian with $\sigma = 400$ μm , see Figure 3 for comparison with laser measurement (probe particles at 0.5σ , σ , 1.5σ in red)
- **temporal distribution:** Gaussian with $\sigma = 5$ ps, see Figure 4 for comparison with measurement/model from [1]




Figure 3: Spatial distribution (2^{10} particles) and laser measurement.




Figure 4: Temporal distribution (2^{10} particles) and measurement/model.

- **convergence of time integrator:** difference of normalized transverse emittance ϵ w. r. t. finest time step is shown in Figure 5
- $H = 2^{-11}$ ns used later on




Figure 5: Normalized transverse emittance and absolute error in l_{∞} -norm.

- **convergence of field map:** look at convergence with number of grid points in transverse (n_x, n_y) and longitudinal (n_z) direction individually
- Figure 6 looks at convergence of n_x, n_y for $n_z = 64$
- Figure 7 looks at convergence of n_z for $n_x = n_y = 16$
- $n_x = n_y = 16$ and $n_z = 64$ used later on




Figure 6: Normalized transverse emittance and absolute error in l_{∞} -norm for $n_z = 64$ and $n_x = n_y$ variable.




Figure 7: Normalized transverse emittance and absolute error in l_∞ -norm for n_z variable and $n_x = n_y = 16$.

- **convergence of space charge:** look at convergence with number of grid cells in radial (n_r) and longitudinal (n_l) direction and number of particles (n_I) separately
- Figure 8 looks at convergence of n_r, n_l for $n_I = 2^{10}$
- $n_r = n_l = 16$ used later on
- Figure 9 looks at convergence of n_I for $n_r = n_l = 16$
- $n_I = 2^{10}$




Figure 8: Normalized transverse emittance and absolute error in l_∞ -norm for $n_I = 2^{10}$ and n_l, n_r variable.




Figure 9: Normalized transverse emittance and absolute error in l_∞ -norm for n_I variable and $n_l = n_r = 16$.

- **tracking results:** ϵ and x_{rms} computed with the determined settings are shown in Figure 10




Figure 10: Normalized transverse emittance and rms beam size.

- **remarks:** the convergence studies also looked at x_{rms} and the behavior was very similar to that of ϵ
- to minimize the electric field on the entire electrode surface all curves could be taken into account
- this includes the anode ring shape, position and voltage
- also include tracking in optimization via $x_{rms} \leq 1.5$ mm, also optimize or constrain $\epsilon \leq 1$ mrad mm?

References

- [1] Markus Wagner. “Production and investigation of pulsed electron beams at the S-DALINAC”. PhD thesis. Technische Universität Darmstadt, 2013.