

Q1: Which of the following states are entangled?

$$|\psi(\theta)\rangle := \cos(\theta)|00\rangle + \sin(\theta)|11\rangle \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/4$$

Solⁿ

For $\theta=0$, $|\psi(0)\rangle = |00\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$ so not entangled.

Otherwise, let $|v\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ and $|w\rangle = \gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle$.

$$\text{Then } |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = \alpha\gamma|00\rangle + \alpha\delta|01\rangle + \beta\gamma|10\rangle + \beta\delta|11\rangle.$$

Thus we need

$$\alpha\gamma = \cos(\theta)$$

$$\alpha\delta = 0$$

$$\beta\gamma = 0$$

$$\beta\delta = \sin(\theta)$$

if the state is not entangled. But $\alpha\delta=0 \Rightarrow \alpha=0$ or $\delta=0$. If $\alpha=0$ we need $\cos(\theta)=0$ and if $\delta=0$ we need $\sin(\theta)=0$. But this is not possible for $0 < \theta \leq \pi/4$. Thus $|\psi(\theta)\rangle$ is entangled for all $\theta \in (0, \pi/4]$ \mathbb{R}

Q2 Let $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$ be a set of states and p_i be a probability distribution. Prove that for $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ we have

a) $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$

b) $\rho \geq 0$.

Also show ρ is pure iff $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1$.

Solⁿ

linearity

Compute in ONB containing $|\psi_i\rangle$.

$$(a) \quad \text{Tr}(\rho) = \sum_i p_i \text{Tr}[|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|] = \sum_i p_i = 1 \quad \text{Probability distribution}$$

(b) We need ρ is Hermitian and $\langle x|\rho|x\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall |x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$.

Hermitian is clear $\rho^\dagger = \sum_i p_i (|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)^\dagger = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| = \rho$.

And

$$\langle x|\rho|x\rangle = \sum_i p_i \langle x|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|x\rangle = \sum_i p_i |\langle x|\psi_i\rangle|^2 \geq 0.$$

For purity recall ρ is pure when $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ for some state $|\psi\rangle$. Then $\rho^2 = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ thus $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1$ when ρ is pure. Now suppose $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ and $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1$.

By the spectral theorem we can assume that $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_i$ form an orthonormal basis. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^2 &= \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| \\ &= \sum_i p_i^2 |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|.\end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \sum_i p_i^2$. But we know $\sum_i p_i = 1$ and so $\sum_i p_i^2 = 1$ only when $p_i = 1$ for some i and $p_j = 0 \ \forall j \neq i$. In that case $\rho = |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ and is pure. \square

Q3a) Prove that any qubit state ρ can be written as

$$\rho = \frac{1 + n_x X + n_y Y + n_z Z}{2}$$

with $n_x, n_y, n_z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 \leq 1$.

Solⁿ

Can show that $\{\mathbb{1}, X, Y, Z\}$ form an orthogonal basis with respect to the inner-product $\langle R, S \rangle = \text{Tr}[R^\dagger S]$ for the Hilbert space of 2×2 matrices with elements in \mathbb{C} . Thus we can always write

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} (n_0 \mathbb{1} + n_x X + n_y Y + n_z Z)$$

for some $n_0, n_x, n_y, n_z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now we need $\text{Tr}[\rho] = 1$, as $\text{Tr}[X] = \text{Tr}[Y] = \text{Tr}[Z] = 0$

\Rightarrow that $n_0 = 1$.

Secondly we need ρ to be Hermitian (as it is positive semidefinite), thus $\rho = \rho^\dagger$. This implies (noting X, Y, Z are all Hermitian)

$$\bar{n}_x X + \bar{n}_y Y + \bar{n}_z Z = n_x X + n_y Y + n_z Z.$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ denote the complex conjugate of α .

As $\mathbb{1}, X, Y, Z$ are an orthogonal basis this implies that

$$\bar{n}_i = n_i \Rightarrow n_x, n_y, n_z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Thus we arrive at
$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+n_z & n_x - i n_y \\ n_x + i n_y & 1-n_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally for $\rho \geq 0$ we require the eigenvalues of ρ to be non-negative. We find the eigenvalues of the above matrix to be

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2} \right) \right\}$$

Thus we need $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 \leq 1$

b) Show ρ is pure iff $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 = 1$.

Solⁿ Note that ρ is pure iff $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1$.

We have $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2)$

Thus $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1 \iff n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 = 1$ \square

Q4a) Prove the trace is cyclic, i.e. $\text{Tr}(XY) = \text{Tr}(YX)$.

Solⁿ

Write $X = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j|$ and $Y = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j|$.

Then $XY = \sum_{i,j,k} x_{ij} y_{jk} |i\rangle\langle k|$

$YX = \sum_{i,j,k} y_{ij} x_{jk} |i\rangle\langle k|$

Thus $\text{Tr}[XY] = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} y_{ji} = \sum_{i,j} y_{ji} x_{ij} = \text{Tr}(YX)$.

b) Use this to prove trace is Basis independent i.e.,

$\text{Tr}(X) = \sum_i \langle v_i | X | v_i \rangle$ for any orthonormal basis $\{|v_i\rangle\}_i$.

Solⁿ

Let $U = \sum_i |v_i\rangle\langle i|$, then U is unitary and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[X] &= \text{Tr}(U U^\dagger X) = \text{Tr}(U^\dagger X U) = \sum_i \langle i | U^\dagger X U | i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle i | \left(\sum_j \langle j | X | v_j \rangle \right) X \left(\sum_k | v_k \rangle \langle k | \right) | i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle i | i \rangle \langle v_i | X | v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle v_i | X | v_i \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5) Let $\{P, \mathbb{1} - P\}$ be any qubit projective measurement with $P \neq 0, \mathbb{1}$
 Show that $\langle \Phi_{ij} | (P \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \Phi_{ij} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$.

Solⁿ One can verify that $|\Phi_{ij}\rangle = (\mathbb{1} \otimes X^j Z^i) |\Phi_{00}\rangle$

with $|\Phi_{00}\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ where $M^0 = \mathbb{1}$ for any M .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \langle \Phi_{ij} | (P \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \Phi_{ij} \rangle &= \langle \Phi_{00} | (\mathbb{1} \otimes Z^i X^j) (P \otimes \mathbb{1}) (\mathbb{1} \otimes X^j Z^i) | \Phi_{00} \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_{00} | (P \otimes Z^i X^j X^j Z^i) | \Phi_{00} \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_{00} | (P \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \Phi_{00} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where last line follows from $Z^2 = X^2 = \mathbb{1}$.

So we only need to show the result for $|\Phi_{00}\rangle$. Let $P = |u\rangle\langle u|$ for some state $|u\rangle$ (this is general form of projector that is not $\mathbb{1}$ or 0 on qubit)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \Phi_{00} | (|u\rangle\langle u| \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \Phi_{00} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle 00 | + \langle 11 |) (|u\rangle\langle u| \otimes \mathbb{1}) (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0 | u \rangle \langle u | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | u \rangle \langle u | 1 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|u\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ as P is a projector so $|u\rangle$ is a quantum state. ☒

2nd method (using partial trace)

A faster method is to note that if we trace over the second qubit in $|\Phi_{ij}\rangle\langle\Phi_{ij}|$ we get $\text{Tr}_2[|\Phi_{ij}\rangle\langle\Phi_{ij}|] = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) = \mathbb{1}/2$.

And so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{ij} | (P \otimes \mathbb{1}) | \Phi_{ij} \rangle &= \text{Tr}[(P \otimes \mathbb{1}) |\Phi_{ij}\rangle\langle\Phi_{ij}|] = \text{Tr}[\text{Tr}_2[(P \otimes \mathbb{1}) |\Phi_{ij}\rangle\langle\Phi_{ij}|]] \\ &= \text{Tr}[P \text{Tr}_2[|\Phi_{ij}\rangle\langle\Phi_{ij}|]] \\ &= \text{Tr}[P \cdot \mathbb{1}/2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[P] = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } P = |u\rangle\langle u|. \quad \text{☒} \end{aligned}$$

6) Let ρ_A be a density matrix on A and let $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ be a pure state on the joint system AB . We say $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is a purification of ρ_A if

$$\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B [|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}] .$$

Show that purifications always exist.

Solⁿ

By spectral decomposition \exists an orthonormal basis $\{|v_i\rangle\}_i$ for A and $\lambda_i \geq 0$ such that

$$\rho_A = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i| .$$

Let B be a system isomorphic to A and define

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} |v_i\rangle_A \otimes |v_i\rangle_B$$

Then we have $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB} = \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j| \otimes |v_i\rangle\langle v_j|$

and

$$\text{Tr}_B [|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}] = \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j| \cdot \text{Tr} [|v_i\rangle\langle v_j|]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j| \cdot \delta_{ij} \quad \leftarrow \text{Kronecker delta}$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i| = \rho_A \quad \square$$

7) Design a 3-outcome qubit POVM $\{M_0, M_+, M_{-1}\}$ that allows us to distinguish $|+\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ without making errors. I.e.,

$$\langle 0 | M_0 | 0 \rangle > 0$$

$$\langle + | M_+ | + \rangle > 0$$

$$\langle + | M_0 | + \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle 0 | M_+ | 0 \rangle = 0$$

\nearrow
never guess 0 when +

\uparrow
never guess + when 0.

Solⁿ The constraint $\langle + | M_0 | + \rangle = 0$ means we should use $M_0 = c_0 I - X$ for some $c_0 \geq 0$. Similarly $\langle 0 | M_+ | 0 \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow M_+ = c_+ I + X$ for

some $C_+ \geq 0$. We need $C_0, C_+ > 0$ to satisfy
 $\langle 0 | M_0 | 0 \rangle > 0$ and $\langle + | M_+ | + \rangle > 0$.

Now because $M_0 + M_+ + M_{\text{fail}} = \mathbb{1}$ we can define $M_{\text{fail}} = \mathbb{1} - M_0 - M_+$.

We just need to check that it is a valid POVM so $M_0, M_+, M_{\text{fail}} \geq 0$
 (are positive semidefinite).

M_0 and M_+ are positive semidefinite by construction as $C_0, C_+ > 0$.

Then

$$M_{\text{fail}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{C_0}{2} & \frac{C_0}{2} \\ \frac{C_0}{2} & 1 - \frac{C_0}{2} - C_+ \end{pmatrix}$$

One can check this is positive semidefinite, this is equivalent to all eigenvalues are
 non-negative which is equivalent to

$$C_0 + C_+ + \sqrt{C_0^2 + C_+^2} \leq 2$$

Simplifying we have a valid POVM when all constraints are satisfied

- ① $C_0 > 0$ and $C_+ > 0$
- ② $C_0 + C_+ + \sqrt{C_0^2 + C_+^2} \leq 2$

Choosing for example $C_0 = C_+ = \frac{1}{2}$ we have a valid POVM

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \quad M_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad M_{\text{fail}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\langle 0 | M_0 | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\langle 0 | M_+ | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle + | M_+ | + \rangle = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\langle + | M_0 | + \rangle = 0$$

□

8) Show that a bipartite state $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is product iff ρ_A and ρ_B are pure.

Solⁿ (\Rightarrow)

If $|\psi\rangle = |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$ then $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B[|v\rangle\langle v| \otimes |w\rangle\langle w|] = |v\rangle\langle v|$ which is pure.

Similarly $\rho_B = |w\rangle\langle w|$ is pure.

(\Leftarrow) By the Schmidt-decomposition \exists an orthonormal basis $\{|v_i\rangle\}_i$ of A and $\{|w_i\rangle\}_i$ of B and nonnegative coefficients $\lambda_i \geq 0$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle |w_i\rangle$$

Thus $\rho_A = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$ and $\rho_B = \sum_i \lambda_i |w_i\rangle\langle w_i|$.

If ρ_A and ρ_B are pure \Rightarrow that only one λ_i is non-zero.

Thus $\rho_A = |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$ for some i and $\rho_B = |w_i\rangle\langle w_i|$

$\Rightarrow |\psi\rangle = |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle$ is a product state. \square

8) (Deriving the Tsirelson bound)

Alice and Bob play the CHSH game. For convenience we let the inputs $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ and the outputs $a, b \in \{+1, -1\}$. The winning condition then becomes

$$(-1)^{xy} = ab$$

Let Alice's projective measurement on input x be $\{A_{1|x}, A_{-1|x}\}$ and Bob's projective measurement on input y be $\{B_{1|y}, B_{-1|y}\}$. Let the quantum state shared between Alice and Bob be $|\psi\rangle$.

Define observables

$$A_x = A_{1|x} - A_{-1|x}$$

$$B_y = B_{1|y} - B_{-1|y}$$

a) Show that for any fixed x, y the expected value of ab is given by

$$\langle \psi | A_x \otimes B_y | \psi \rangle$$

Solⁿ

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | A_x \otimes B_y | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | (A_{1|x} - A_{-1|x}) \otimes (B_{1|y} - B_{-1|y}) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | A_{1|x} \otimes B_{1|y} | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A_{1|x} \otimes B_{-1|y} | \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \psi | A_{-1|x} \otimes B_{1|y} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | A_{-1|x} \otimes B_{-1|y} | \psi \rangle \\ &= p(a=1, b=1 | x, y) - p(a=1, b=-1 | x, y) \\ &\quad - p(a=-1, b=1 | x, y) + p(a=-1, b=-1 | x, y) \\ &= \sum_{ab} ab p(ab | xy) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[ab | X=x, Y=y] \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $K = A_0 \otimes B_0 + A_0 \otimes B_1 + A_1 \otimes B_0 - A_1 \otimes B_1$. Show that Alice and Bob's winning probability is

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \langle \psi | K | \psi \rangle.$$

Solⁿ $\langle 4 | A_x \otimes B_y | 4 \rangle = p(1|1xy) + p(-1|-1xy) - p(1,-1|xy) - p(-1,1|xy)$

As $p(1,-1|xy) = 1 - p(1|1xy) - p(-1|-1xy) - p(-1,1|xy)$ we get

$$\langle 4 | A_x \otimes B_y | 4 \rangle = 2(p(1|1xy) + p(-1|-1xy)) - 1$$

Performing the same argument with $p(1|1xy)$ we also arrive at

$$\langle 4 | A_x \otimes B_y | 4 \rangle = 1 - 2(p(1,-1|xy) + p(-1,1|xy))$$

Thus
$$\begin{aligned} \langle 4 | K | 4 \rangle &= 2(p(1|100) + p(-1|-100) + p(1|101) + p(-1|-101) \\ &\quad + p(1|110) + p(-1|-110) + p(1,-1|11) + p(-1,1|11)) \\ &\quad - 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(4 \cdot \text{IP(Alice and Bob win)}) - 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{IP(Alice and Bob win)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \langle 4 | K | 4 \rangle$$

c) Show $K^2 = 4\mathbb{1} - [A_0, A_1] \otimes [B_0, B_1]$ where $[X, Y] = XY - YX$.

Solⁿ

First note that $A_x = A_{1|x} - A_{-1|x} = 2A_{1|x} - \mathbb{1}$ $A_{1|x} + A_{-1|x} = \mathbb{1}$

So $A_x^2 = 4A_{1|x} - 4A_{1|x} + \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$.

Similarly $B_y^2 = \mathbb{1}$.

Now $K = A_0 \otimes (B_0 + B_1) + A_1 \otimes (B_0 - B_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } K^2 &= A_0^2 \otimes (B_0 + B_1)^2 + A_0 A_1 \otimes (B_0 + B_1)(B_0 - B_1) \\ &\quad + A_1 A_0 \otimes (B_0 - B_1)(B_0 + B_1) + A_1^2 \otimes (B_0 - B_1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{1} \otimes (2\mathbb{1} + B_0 B_1 + B_1 B_0) + A_0 A_1 \otimes (\mathbb{1} - B_0 B_1 + B_1 B_0 - \mathbb{1}) \\ &\quad + A_1 A_0 \otimes (\mathbb{1} - B_1 B_0 + B_0 B_1 - \mathbb{1}) + \mathbb{1} \otimes (2\mathbb{1} - B_0 B_1 - B_1 B_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4\mathbb{1} + A_0 A_1 \otimes (B_1 B_0 - B_0 B_1) + A_1 A_0 (-B_1 B_0 + B_0 B_1) \\
&= 4\mathbb{1} - A_0 A_1 \otimes [B_0, B_1] + A_1 A_0 \otimes [B_0, B_1] \\
&= 4\mathbb{1} - [A_0, A_1] \otimes [B_0, B_1]
\end{aligned}$$

d) Show $\langle 4 | K | 4 \rangle \leq 2\sqrt{2}$. (Hint: use Cauchy-Schwarz). What is the maximum winning probability for quantum strategies?

Solⁿ First use Cauchy-Schwarz $|\langle v | w \rangle| \leq \|v\| \|w\|$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{So } \langle 4 | K | 4 \rangle &\leq \| |4\rangle \| \overset{=1}{\| K | 4 \rangle \|} \\
&= \sqrt{\langle 4 | K^* K | 4 \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle 4 | K^2 | 4 \rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we look to bound $\langle 4 | K^2 | 4 \rangle$.

By previous question $\langle 4 | K^2 | 4 \rangle \leq 4 - \langle 4 | [A_0, A_1] \otimes [B_0, B_1] | 4 \rangle$

Now we use operator norm $\|X\| = \sup_{|4\rangle} \langle 4 | X | 4 \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 4 | K^2 | 4 \rangle &\leq 4 + \|[A_0, A_1] \otimes [B_0, B_1]\| \\
&= 4 + \|[A_0, A_1]\| \|[B_0, B_1]\| \quad \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } \|[A_0, A_1]\| &= \|A_0 A_1 - A_1 A_0\| \leq \|A_0 A_1\| + \|A_1 A_0\| \quad \leftarrow \text{Triangle inequality} \\
&\leq \|A_0\| \|A_1\| + \|A_1\| \|A_0\| \quad \leftarrow \text{Submultiplicativity} \\
&\leq 2 \quad \leftarrow \|A_x\| \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \langle 4 | K^2 | 4 \rangle \leq 8$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 4 | K | 4 \rangle \leq \sqrt{8} = 2\sqrt{2}.$$

↑ For Hermitian operators
 $\|X\| = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is eigenvalue} \}$
 And A_x has eigenvalues $\{+1, -1\}$

Using part (b) this shows that

$$P(\text{Alice and Bob win}) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} 2\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} = \cos^2(\pi/8)$$

As the bound is for an arbitrary state and measurements we can conclude that no quantum strategy can win with a higher probability