

Have multi-configurational wavefunction:

$$|M\rangle = \sum_I c_I |I\rangle \quad (1)$$

$$= |M\rangle = c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N} a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (2)$$

A second quantized version of a component of an orbital rotation operator is

$$\hat{V}_{ij} = V_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j \quad (3)$$

A transformations, \hat{U} , of the Hamiltonian can be constructed from a tensor product of rotations in real space, \hat{S} , and another in real space \hat{R} :

$$\hat{U} = \hat{R} \otimes \hat{S} \quad (4)$$

\hat{R} and \hat{S} can be constructed from transformation of the spatial and spin components of the orbitals. A basis formed from configuration state functions can be decomposed into a set of subspaces, each of which is invariant under spin transformations \hat{S} , e.g.,

$$[\hat{V}] \begin{bmatrix} [\Phi_{s_{-1}r_1}] \\ [\Phi_{s_0r_1}] \\ [\Phi_{s_1r_1}] \\ [\Phi_{s_{-1}r_2}] \\ [\Phi_{s_0r_2}] \\ [\Phi_{s_1r_2}] \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} = [\hat{R}] \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{S}] \begin{bmatrix} [\Phi_{s_{-1}r_1}] \\ [\Phi_{s_0r_1}] \\ [\Phi_{s_1r_1}] \end{bmatrix} \\ [\hat{S}] \begin{bmatrix} [\Phi_{s_{-1}r_2}] \\ [\Phi_{s_0r_2}] \\ [\Phi_{s_1r_2}] \end{bmatrix} \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

i.e., the rotations \hat{R} only rotate between different CSFs, whilst the rotations, \hat{S} , rotate within multiplets. Accordingly, a rotation in \hat{R} is enough to block diagonalize the Hamiltonian.

The $\gamma_{ij}^{M,I}$ derivatives have matrix elements $\sum_J \langle I | a_i^\dagger a_j | J \rangle c_{M,I}$. It would be nice to find a rotation Q such that

$$[Q] \begin{bmatrix} c_{M,1} \\ c_{M,1} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ c_{M,N_{det}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$