

# Differential Geometry: Midterm

Peter Kagey

March 26, 2018

## Problem 1.

- (a) Prove that  $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has the structure of a manifold and calculate its dimension.
- (b) Note that  $V_1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is equal to the two sphere. Prove that  $V_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is diffeomorphic to the collection of unit tangent vectors in  $S^2$ , that is the subset

$$UT(S^2) = \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x \in S^2, v \in T_x S^2, \text{ and } ||v|| = 1\}$$

*Proof.*

- (a) Let  $f$  be the map  $f: Mat_{n \times k} \rightarrow Sym(k)$  which sends  $A \mapsto A^T A$ . If it can be shown that

- (i)  $Mat_{n \times k}$  is a manifold with dimension  $nk$ ,
- (ii)  $Sym(k)$  is a manifold with dimension  $k(k+1)/2$ , and
- (iii)  $f$  is a submersion for all  $p \in f^{-1}(I_k) = V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset Mat_{n \times k}$ ,

then the corollary of the Implicit Function Theorem for submersions gives that  $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has the structure of a manifold of dimension  $nk - k(k+1)/2$ .

- (i)  $Mat_{n \times k}$  is a manifold of dimension  $nk$  with atlas  $\{(Mat_{n \times k}, \phi)\}$  containing one chart, where  $\phi: Mat_{n \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{nk}$  is the map

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1k}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nk}).$$

- (ii)  $Sym(k)$  is a manifold of dimension  $k(k+1)/2$  with atlas  $\{Sym(k), \psi\}$  where  $\psi: Sym(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k(k+1)/2}$  sends

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1k}, a_{22}, a_{23}, \dots, a_{kk})$$

which is a smooth map with smooth inverse. Thus  $Sym(k)$  is a manifold of dimension  $k(k+1)/2$ .

- (iii)  $f$  is smooth because the map

$$\phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi: \mathbb{R}^{nk} \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} Mat_{n \times k} \xrightarrow{f} Sym(k) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{R}^{k(k+1)/2}$$

is smooth in each component. In particular, matrix multiplication is defined to be the sum of the product of entries, the product of coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^M$  is smooth, and the sum of smooth functions is smooth.

$f$  is a submersion at all points  $p \in f^{-1}(I_k)$  because the Jacobian matrix has full rank. Notice that  $f \circ \phi^{-1}(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nk})$  has entries  $a_{ij}$  given by

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^n x_{mi} x_{mj}$$

and so the entries of the Jacobian matrix are given by

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{ij}} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{m=1}^n x_{m\ell} x_{mh} \right)}_{a_{\ell h}} = \begin{cases} 2x_{ij} & j = \ell = h \\ x_{ih} & j = \ell \neq h \\ x_{i\ell} & j = h \neq \ell \\ 0 & j \neq \ell \text{ and } j \neq h \end{cases}$$

In order to show that  $f$  is a submersion, it is enough to show that  $f'_A$  is surjective onto  $Sym(k)$  for all  $A \in f^{-1}(I_k)$ . Computing  $f'_A$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_A(B) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(A + hB) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(A + hB)^T(A + hB) - A^T A}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(A^T + hB^T)(A + hB) - A^T A}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{A^T A + hA^T B + hB^T A + h^2 B^T B - A^T A}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} A^T B + B^T A + hB^T B \\ &= A^T B + B^T A \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that  $f$  is a submersion it is enough to show that all symmetric matrices can be written as  $A^T B + B^T A$  where  $A, B \in Mat_{n \times k}$  with  $A$  fixed. Note that if  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  are the entries of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then the entries of  $A^T B + B^T A$  can be written

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^n a_{mi} b_{mj} + b_{mi} a_{mj}.$$

$A$  is full rank (because  $A^T A = I_k$ ), so  $A^T B + B^T A$  is full rank too for some choice of  $B$ . Thus  $B$  can be chosen so that  $A^T B + B^T A$  is any arbitrary symmetric matrix.

Since every symmetric matrix can be represented as  $A^T B + B^T A$ , then  $f$  is a submersion for all  $p \in f^{-1}(I_k)$ , and the Implicit Function Theorem (submersion version) implies that  $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a manifold of dimension  $nk - k(k+1)/2$ .

- (b) Consider the identity map from  $V_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  to the collection of unit tangent vectors of the unit sphere  $S^2$  (called  $UT(S^2)$  and defined above), which is smooth and has smooth inverse

$$\underbrace{(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)}_{\in V_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \mapsto \underbrace{(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)}_{\in UT(S^2)}.$$

It is plain enough to see that  $\|\vec{v}_1\| = \|\vec{v}_2\| = 1$ , because this is an explicit condition for both  $V_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $UT(S^2)$ . Thus it is enough to check that the “orthogonality” condition in  $V_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is compatible with the “tangent space” condition for  $UT(S^2)$ ; that is, that  $f$  is a surjection.

Using the second extrinsic definition of tangent space for  $S^2 = f^{-1}(1)$  where  $f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|$ , we can

compute the tangent space  $T_p S^2$  to be vectors satisfying  $df_p(\vec{v}) = 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} df_p(\vec{v}) &= v_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_p + v_2 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_p + v_3 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)_p \\ &= v_1 \frac{p_1}{f(p)} + v_2 \frac{p_2}{f(p)} + v_3 \frac{p_3}{f(p)} \\ &= v_1 p_1 + v_2 p_2 + v_3 p_3 \\ &= \vec{v} \cdot p \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the orthogonality condition is consistent with the tangent space condition.

□

**Problem 2.**

(a) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , consider the vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  defined by

$$X = e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$Y = (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

and compute the Lie bracket  $[X, Y]$ .

(b) Let  $\mathcal{D} = \ker(dz + (x dy - y dx)) \subset T\mathbb{R}^3$  be the two-dimensional distribution considered in class. Verify that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable.

*Proof.*

(a) We can see how  $[X, Y]$  behaves as a vector field by seeing where it maps the germ  $f \in C^\infty(p)$  (given some point  $p \in M$ ).

We defined  $[X, Y]$  by

$$[X, Y]_p(f) = X_p(Y(f)) - Y_p(X(f)).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_p(f) &= X \left( (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (x + y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad - Y \left( e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &= e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (x + y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (x + y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad - (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad - (x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &= e^{x^2+y^2} \left( (2x + 3y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + (x + y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad + \sin(xy) \left( 3y \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + (x + y) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad - (x^2 + 3xy) \left( 2xe^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + y \cos(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\ &\quad - (x + y) \left( 2ye^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + e^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + x \cos(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \sin(xy) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{x^2+y^2} \left( (2x+3y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\
&\quad + \sin(xy) \left( 3y \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\
&\quad - (x^2+3xy) \left( 2xe^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + y \cos(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\
&\quad - (x+y) \left( 2ye^{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + x \cos(xy) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{(x,y)=p} \\
&= \left[ \left( (2x+3y-2x(x^2+3xy)-2y(x+y))e^{x^2+y^2} + 3y \sin(xy) \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right]_{(x,y)=p} \\
&\quad + \left[ \left( e^{x^2+y^2} + \sin(xy) - (x^2y+3xy^2+x^2+xy) \cos(xy) \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right]_{(x,y)=p}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
[X, Y] &= \left( (2x+3y-2x(x^2+3xy)-2y(x+y))e^{x^2+y^2} + 3y \sin(xy) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
&\quad + \left( e^{x^2+y^2} + \sin(xy) - (x^2y+3xy^2+x^2+xy) \cos(xy) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{aligned}$$

- (b)  $\mathcal{D} = \ker(dz + (x dy - y dx))$  means that  $(dz + (x dy - y dx))_p \in T_p^* \mathbb{R}^3$ . By Frobenius' Theorem we can prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not integrable by verifying that it is not involutive. In other words, we just need to show there exists  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $[X, Y] \notin \mathcal{D}$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
Y &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
(dz + (x dy - y dx))(X) &= dz(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) + (x dy)(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) - (y dx)(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \\
&= 0 + xy - yx \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(dz + (x dy - y dx))(Y) &= dz(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) + (x dy)(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) - (y dx)(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \\
&= 1 - \frac{x}{x} + 0 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ . Now to verify that  $[X, Y] \notin \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
X \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) - Y \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&\quad + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\
&= x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{x}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{x} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \\
&\quad + y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{y}{x} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \\
&\quad - x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} - y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial y} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{y}{x} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
&= \frac{2}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$(dz + (x dy - y dx))([X, Y]) = (x dy) \left( \frac{2}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = x \frac{2}{x} = 2 \neq 0,$$

so  $[X, Y] \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  cannot be integrable because it is not involutive.

□

**Problem 3.** Let  $M^m \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-p}$ , prove that for any open neighborhood  $U$  around  $z_0$ , there exists a  $z \in U$  such that the horizontal slice  $M \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{z\})$  is an  $(m - N + p)$ -dimensional submanifold of  $M$ .

*Proof.*

Here's the construction, let  $f: M^m \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  be the projection onto the last  $N - p$  coordinates:

$$\underbrace{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)}_{\in M^m \subset \mathbb{R}^N} \xrightarrow{f} (x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_N).$$

Because projection maps are smooth, (indeed, this projection is very nearly the model submersion)  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$  map. As such, we can use the corollary of Sard's theorem which states that the set of regular values of  $f$  are dense in  $\mathbb{R}^{N-p}$ . Thus, for any neighborhood  $U$  around  $z_0$  there exists  $z \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-p}$  such that  $f^{-1}(z)$  is a regular value.

By the corollary of the Implicit Function Theorem for submersion,  $f^{-1}(z)$  can be given the structure of a manifold of dimension  $\dim(M) - \dim(\mathbb{R}^{N-p}) = m - N + p$  since  $z$  is a regular value of  $f$ , meaning  $f$  is submersive at every point in  $f^{-1}(z)$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Let  $(q, \xi) \in N = T^*M$ , and let  $(U, \phi)$  be a chart around  $q$ , over which  $N$  is trivial. Let  $\lambda: N \rightarrow T^*N$  be defined as the 1-form that sends  $(q, \xi) \mapsto \xi \circ d\pi_{(q, \xi)}$ .

- (a) Write an expression for  $(\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*(\lambda)$ , and verify that  $\lambda$  is a smooth section.  
(b) Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$  be a 1-form on  $M$ . Compute the pullback  $\alpha^*(\lambda) \in \Omega^1(M)$  as a function of  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) Given  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(q, \xi) = (q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$

$$(\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*(\lambda)(q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), (\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*(\xi \circ d\pi_{(q, \xi)})) \quad (1)$$

$$= ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), (\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*[\xi \circ \pi - \xi(\pi(q, \xi))]) \quad (2)$$

$$= ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), (\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*[\xi \circ \pi - \xi(q)]) \quad (3)$$

$$= ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), (\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*[\xi \circ \pi]) \quad (4)$$

$$= ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), [\xi \circ \pi \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1}]) \quad (5)$$

$$= ((q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), d(\xi \circ \pi \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1})_{(q_1, \dots, q_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)}). \quad (6)$$

Step (1) follows from the definition of  $(\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^*$ , step (2) uses the third intrinsic definition of the derivative map, step (3) calculates projection  $\pi$ , (4) uses that  $\xi \in T_q^*M$ , so  $\xi(q)$  vanishes, (5) applies  $(\tilde{\phi}^{-1})$ , and (6) again uses the definition of the derivative map.

In order to verify that  $\lambda: N \rightarrow T^*N$  is a smooth section, it is enough to note that (i) the projection map composed with  $\lambda$  is the identity, and (ii)  $\lambda$  is smooth. The former comment follows from the above computation, and the latter follows by noting that  $\xi \in T_p^*M$  is smooth (by definition), the projection map  $\pi$  is smooth, the chart map  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$  is smooth, the composition of smooth maps is smooth, and the derivative map of a smooth map is smooth. Thus  $\lambda$  is a smooth section.

- (b) Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$  be a 1-form on  $M$ . The computation of  $\alpha^*(\lambda)(q)$  follows similarly to the one above:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(\lambda)(q) &= (q, \alpha^*(\xi \circ d\pi_{\alpha(q)})) \\ &= (q, \alpha^*(\xi \circ [\pi - \pi(\alpha(q))])) \\ &= (q, \alpha^*(\xi \circ [\pi - q])) \\ &= (q, \alpha^*[\xi \circ \pi - \xi(q)]) \\ &= (q, [(\xi \circ \pi - \xi \circ \pi \circ \alpha(q)) \circ \alpha]) \\ &= (q, [\xi \circ \pi \circ \alpha - \xi \circ \pi \circ \alpha(q)]) \\ &= (q, d(\xi \circ \pi \circ \alpha)_q) \\ &\in T^*M. \end{aligned}$$

□