# Differential Geometry: Homework 7

Peter Kagey

April 27, 2018

## Problem 1.

Prove that the real projective space  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is orientable if and only if n is odd.

## Proof.

By the hint, I'll start by showing that the antipodal map f on  $S^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) : \sum x_i^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is orientation preserving if and only if n is odd. In particular this map is

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{f} (-x_1, -x_2, \dots, -x_{n+1})$$

Let  $[\omega] = [dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_{n+1}]$  be the standard orientation on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then

$$f_*([\omega]) = [f^*\omega]$$

$$= [d(-x_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge d(-x_{n+1})]$$

$$= [(-1)^{n+1}d(x_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge d(x_{n+1})]$$

$$= [(-1)^{n+1}\omega].$$

Thus the antipodal map is orientation preserving exactly when  $(-1)^{n+1} = 1$ , that is, when n is odd.

Recall that in Homework 4, problem 5b, we showed that  $\mathbb{RP}^n \cong S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acted on  $S^n$  by the above map,  $x \mapsto -x$ . Thus when the map is orientation preserving, the resulting space is oriented; when the map is orientation preserving, the resulting space cannot be orientable.

#### Problem 2.

Let  $S^2$  denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\{(r_1, r_2, r_3) \mid r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 = 1\}$  with atlas  $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i^{\pm}, \pi_i^{\pm})\}_{i=1,2,3}$  where

$$U_i^+ = \{r_i > 0\} \cap S^2, \text{ and } U_i^- = \{r_i < 0\} \cap S^2,$$

and  $\pi_i^{\pm}$  is the projection away from the *i*th coordinate.

- (a) Is  $\mathcal{A}$  a Euclidean oriented atlas?
- (b) Let

$$\sigma = \frac{r_1 dr_2 \wedge dr_3 - r_2 dr_1 \wedge dr_3 + r_3 dr_1 \wedge dr_2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}$$

be a two-form on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ . Prove that  $\sigma$  restricted to  $S^2$  is closed.

(c) Prove that  $\sigma$  restricted to  $S^2$  is not exact.

Proof.

(a) We will whether or not given any  $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$  and  $(U_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta})$ , the determinant of d of the transition function  $\det(d(\phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1})_{\phi_{\alpha}(p)}) > 0$  for all  $p \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ . Notice that in particular, the inverse map of  $\pi_1^-: U_1^- \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1^-)^{-1}} (-\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2},x,y).$$

So consider  $\pi_2^+ \circ (\pi_1^-)^{-1}$ 

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1^-)^{-1}} (-\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2},x,y) \xrightarrow{\pi_2^+} (-\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2},y),$$

which has Jacobian matrix

$$\det\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial(-\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial(-\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \det\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-x}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} & \frac{-y}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}}.$$

Since this is evaluated at  $\pi_1^-(p)$  where  $p \in U_1^- \cap U_2^+$ , (the second coordinate of p and consequently the first coordinate of  $\pi_1^-(p)$ ) the determinant is negative. Thus  $\mathcal A$  is not orientation preserving.

(b) In order to show that  $\sigma$  is closed, we need to show that  $d\sigma = 0$ . Since  $\sigma$  is restricted to  $S^2$ , no division by zero will occur.

$$\begin{split} d\sigma &= d\left(\frac{r_1 dr_2 \wedge dr_3}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) - d\left(\frac{r_2 dr_1 \wedge dr_3}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) + d\left(\frac{r_3 dr_1 \wedge dr_2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \left(\frac{r_1}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) dx_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge dr_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} \left(\frac{r_2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) dr_2 \wedge dr_1 \wedge dr_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3} \left(\frac{r_3}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{3/2}}\right) dr_3 \wedge dr_1 \wedge dr_2 \\ &= \frac{-2r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{5/2}} dx_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge dr_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{r_1^2 - 2r_2^2 + r_3^2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{5/2}} dr_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge dr_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_3^2}{(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^{5/2}} dr_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge dr_3 \\ &= 0 dr_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge dr_3 \end{split}$$

(c) The idea here is that because the equator of  $S^2$  is a set of measure zero, we can approximate the integral over  $U_1^+$  and  $U_2^-$  (and thus  $S^2$ ) by (the limit of) compact sets  $V_r^+$  and  $V_r^-$ , given by

$$V_r^{\pm} = \{ (\pi_1^{\pm})^{-1}(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 \le r \}.$$

This is to say, we can compute the integral over  $S^2$  by

$$\int_{S^2} \sigma = \lim_{r \to 1} \int_{V_r^+} \sigma + \int_{V_r^-} \sigma.$$

To make integration easier, let's break up  $\sigma$  into pieces

$$\sigma = f_1 dr_2 \wedge dr_3 + f_2 dr_1 \wedge dr_3 + f_3 dr_1 \wedge dr_2.$$

so

$$\int_{V_r^+} \sigma = \int_{\pi_1^+(V_r^+)} ((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* \sigma = \int_{\pi_1^+(V_r^+)} ((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* (f_1 dr_2 \wedge dr_3 + f_2 dr_1 \wedge dr_3 + f_3 dr_1 \wedge dr_2)$$

Now some intermediate computations of  $((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_i$  (note that  $r_i \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  is the projection map to the *i*-th coordinate):

$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_1 = d(r_1 \circ (\pi_1^+)^{-1}) = d(\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}) = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} dx - \frac{y}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} dy$$
$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_2 = d(r_2 \circ (\pi_1^+)^{-1}) = dx$$
$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_3 = d(r_3 \circ (\pi_1^+)^{-1}) = dy$$

so by exploiting some cancellation,

$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_1 \wedge dr_2 = -\frac{y}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} dy \wedge dx$$
$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_1 \wedge dr_3 = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} dx \wedge dy$$
$$((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* dr_2 \wedge dr_3 = dx \wedge dy$$

Similarly intermediate computations of  $((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^* f_i = f_i \circ (\pi_1^+)^{-1}$ :

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1^+)^{-1}} (\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}, x, y) \xrightarrow{f_1} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}}{((\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})^2 + x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} = \sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}$$

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1^+)^{-1}} (\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}, x, y) \xrightarrow{f_2} \frac{-x}{((\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})^2 + x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} = -x$$

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1^+)^{-1}} (\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}, x, y) \xrightarrow{f_3} \frac{y}{((\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2})^2 + x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} = y$$

Using these computations shows that

$$\int_{V_r^+} \sigma = \int_{\pi_1^+(V_r^+)} \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2} \, dx \, dy}_{((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^*(f_1 dr_2 \wedge dr_3)}} + \underbrace{\frac{x^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} \, dx \, dy}_{((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^*(f_2 dr_1 \wedge dr_3)} + \underbrace{\frac{y^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} \, dx \, dy}_{((\pi_1^+)^{-1})^*(f_3 dr_1 \wedge dr_2)}$$

$$= \int_{\pi_1^+(V_r^+)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \frac{s}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} \, ds \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ -\sqrt{1 - s^2} \right]_0^r \, d\theta = 2\pi (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})$$

The integral on  $V_r^-$  follows very similarly, only the resulting integral has the opposite sign. These integrals do not cancel each other, because the orientation is opposite. Therefore  $\sigma$  restricted to  $S^2$  is not exact because the integral does not vanish:

$$\int_{S^2} \sigma = 4\pi \neq 0.$$

#### Problem 3.

Suppose that  $M = M_1 \coprod M_2$ . Prove that

$$H_{dR}^{k}(M) = H_{dR}^{k}(M_{1}) \oplus H_{dR}^{k}(M_{2})$$

Proof.

The cheapest way to see this is to appeal to the Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\dots \to \Omega^{k-1}(M_1 \cap M_2) \to H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2) \to \Omega^k(M_1 \cap M_2) \to \dots$$

$$\dots \to \Omega^{k-1}(\emptyset) \qquad \to H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2) \to \Omega^k(\emptyset) \qquad \to \dots$$

$$\dots \to 0 \qquad \to H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2) \to 0 \qquad \to \dots$$

Because the sequence is exact,

$$0 \to H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2)$$

implies that  $\phi$  is injective and

$$H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2) \to 0$$

imples that  $\phi$  is surjective. Thus  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and

$$H_{dR}^{k}(M) = H_{dR}^{k}(M_{1}) \oplus H_{dR}^{k}(M_{2}).$$

#### Problem 4.

Use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to prove that

$$H_{dR}^k(S^2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & k = 0, 2\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and then prove by induction that

$$H^k_{dR}(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & k = 0, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof.

Base case.

## Inductive step.

The inducitve step requires three ingredients:

(i) The Mayer-Vietoris sequence is exact:

$$H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}) \to H^{k-1}(S^{n-1} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \to H^k(S^n) \to H^k(\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^k(\mathbb{R}).$$

(ii) By a lemma of Poincaré, the k-th de Rham cohomology group of Euclidean space is trivial

$$H^k(\mathbb{R}) = 0 \text{ for } k \neq 0$$

(iii) The product of the *n*-sphere with an interval is homotopic to the *n*-sphere

$$S^{n-1} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \simeq S^{n-1}$$

Case 1. (k = 0)

Since  $S^n$  is connected, this follows from Tuesday's Lemma that  $H^0(M) = \mathbb{R}$  for any connected manifold M.

Case 2.  $(k = n \neq 1)$ 

This follows by induction. We know that  $H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) = \mathbb{R}$ , so we have the exact sequence

$$\underbrace{H^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}_{0 \times 0 \text{ by (ii)}} \to \underbrace{H^{n-1}(S^{n-1} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))}_{=H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \text{ by (iii)}}^{\delta} \xrightarrow{H^n(S^n)} \underbrace{H^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^n(\mathbb{R}^n)}_{0 \times 0 \text{ by (ii)}}$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta} H^n(S^n) \to 0.$$

which means that  $\delta$  must be both injective and surjective, and thus  $H^n(S^n) = \mathbb{R}$ .

Case 2'. (k = n = 1)

We're allowed to assume this input, as it was shown in class that  $H^1(S^1) = \mathbb{R}$ .

Case 3.  $(k \notin \{0, 1, n\})$ 

$$\underbrace{H^{k-1}(S^{n-1} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))}_{=H^{k-1}(S^{n-1})=0} \to H^k(S^n) \to \underbrace{H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}_{0 \times 0 \text{ by (ii)}}$$
$$0 \to H^k(S^n) \to 0.$$

Therefore  $H^k(S^n) = 0$ 

Case 3'.  $(0 \neq k \neq n = 1)$ 

Similar to Case 2', we are allowed to assume that when n=1,  $H^k(S^1)=0$  when  $k \notin \{0,1\}$ .

Therefore the relationship holds by induction:

$$H_{dR}^k(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & k = 0, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

#### Problem 5.

Give a careful computation of the de Rham cohomology (and hence, the Euler characteristic) of a genus g surface  $\Sigma_g$ .

Proof.

Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_g$  be the punctured genus g surface. We will proceed by induction on the genus of the surface.

#### Base case.

Consider the punctured torus  $\widehat{\Sigma}_1$ , which is homotopic to the "figure 8".

Because the punctured torus is connected,  $H^0(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) = \mathbb{R}$ . Because we can decompose the figure 8 into two copies of  $S^1$  that intersect at a point. So using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$H^0(\mathbb{R}^0) \to H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to H^1(S^1) \oplus H^1(S^1) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^0)$$
  
 $0 \to H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \to 0$ 

shows that  $H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Similarly, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^0) \to H^2(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to H^2(S^1) \oplus H^2(S^1)$$
  
 $0 \to H^2(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to 0$ 

shows that  $H^2(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) = 0$ .

## Note on the cohomology of $\widehat{\Sigma}_g$ versus $\Sigma_g$ .

First notice that we can "patch" the puncture on  $\widehat{\Sigma}_g$  with a surface homotopic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and with overlap homotopic to  $S^1$ . Doing so gives the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\Sigma_g) \to H^0(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{R}^2) \to H^0(S^1) \to H^1(\Sigma_g) \to H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \to H^1(S^1) \to H^2(\Sigma_g) \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \to H^1(\Sigma_g) \to H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) \oplus 0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$$

By repeated use of the rank-nullity theorem,

$$1 + 2 - 1 + \dim(H^1(\Sigma_q)) - \dim(H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_q)) + 1 - 1 = 0$$

so  $\dim(H^1(\Sigma_{g+1})) = \dim(H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_g)).$ 

#### Induction step.

The induction hypothesis is that  $H^1(\Sigma_g) = H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$ . Here we will decompose the genus  $\Sigma_{g+1}$  surface into a punctured genus g surface and a puctured torus, with intersection homotopic to  $S^1$ .

$$0 \to H^0(\Sigma_{g+1}) \to H^0(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) \oplus H^0(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to H^0(S^1) \to H^1(\Sigma_{g+1}) \to H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_g) \oplus H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_1) \to H^1(S^1) \to H^2(\Sigma_{g+1}) \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \to H^1(\Sigma_{g+1}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2g} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$$

By repeated use of the rank-nullity theorem,

$$1 + 2 - 1 + \dim(H^1(\Sigma_{q+1})) - (2g+2) + 1 - 1 = 0$$

so dim $(H^1(\Sigma_{q+1})) = 2g + 2$ , and  $H^1(\Sigma_{q+1}) = \mathbb{R}^{2g+2}$ .

#### Problem 6.

- (a) Let  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and fix an orientation on  $S^1$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a map  $F_k : S^1 \to S^1$  by  $[z] \mapsto [kz]$ . Compute the degree of  $F_k$ .
- (b) Compute the degree of the reflection map  $f: S^n \to S^n$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (-x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}).$$

Proof.

(a)  $F_k$  can be thought of as the map that winds the circle around itself k times. Let's first construct an oriented atlas for  $S^1$ 

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(U_{\varepsilon}, \phi), (V_{\varepsilon}, \psi)\}$$

$$U_{\varepsilon} = \{[x] : \varepsilon < x < 1 - \varepsilon\} \text{ and } \phi([x]) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$$

$$V_{\varepsilon} = \{[x] : -2\varepsilon < x < 2\varepsilon\} \text{ and } \psi([x]) = \phi([x - 1/2]).$$

This atlas is oriented because the transition map

$$\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \colon \underbrace{(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \cup (1 - 2\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon)}_{\phi(U \cap V)} \to \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + 2\varepsilon\right)}_{\phi(U \cap V)}$$

given by

$$x \xrightarrow{\phi - 1} [x] \xrightarrow{\psi} \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} & \phi(x) \in (\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \\ x - \frac{1}{2} & \phi(x) \in (1 - 2\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

has derivative 1 at every point.

Now, the cohomological definition can be used to compute  $deg(F_k)$ 

$$\deg(F_k) = \frac{\int_{S^1} F_k^* \omega}{\int_{S^1} \omega}.$$

Although the map  $t: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $[x] \xrightarrow{t} x$  is not a single-valued function, dt is well-defined because t is injective on any sufficiently small neighborhood of the codomain. Then by computing

$$\int_{S^1} dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{V_{\varepsilon}} dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} (\phi^{-1})^* dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} d(t \circ \phi^{-1}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} 1 - 2\varepsilon = 1.$$

Similarly

$$\int_{S^1} F_K^* dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} d(t \circ F_K^* dt \circ \phi^{-1}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} d(kx) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} k(1-2\varepsilon) = k.$$

Thus  $\deg(F_k) = k$ .

(b) Since f is a diffeomorphism, y = (1, 0, ..., 0) is a regular value, and its preimage has only one point, call it p,

$$f^{-1}(y) = \{(-1, 0, \dots, 0)\}.$$

Using the geometric defintion of degree,

$$\deg(f) = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg_q(f) = \deg_p(f).$$

Therefore the degree of f is 1 if  $df_p$  is orientation preserving, otherwise the degree of f is -1. But a manual computation shows that  $df_p$  is orientation reversing,

$$df_p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has determinant of -1. Therefore deg(f) = -1.

#### Problem 7.

Let  $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a compact oriented *n*-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  without boundary. For each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus M^n$ , define  $\sigma_x \colon M^n \to S^n$  as the map

$$p \mapsto \frac{p-x}{||p-x||}.$$

- (a) Prove that if x and y are in the same component of  $\mathbb{R}^n + 1 \setminus M^n$ , then  $\sigma_x$  is smoothly homotopic to  $\sigma_y$ .
- (b) Prove that x is in the bounded component if and only if  $deg(\sigma_x) = \pm 1$
- (c) Prove that x is in the unbounded component if and only if  $\sigma_x$  is homotopic to the constant function. *Proof.*
- (a) If x and y are in the same component of  $\mathbb{R}^n + 1 \setminus M^n$ , then by connectivity, there is some smooth curve  $\gamma \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n + 1 \setminus M^n$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ . Then the map  $\sigma_{\gamma(t)} \colon M^n \times [0,1]_t \to S^n$  will serve as our homotopy.
- (b) We will use the geometric defintion of homology and choose some regular value of  $\sigma_x$ , call it y. Then

$$\sum_{p \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg_p(f)$$

- . Since the manifold is oriented, all of the "folds" of the manifold cancel each other out, so  $\deg(f) = \pm 1$ .
- (c) Because degree is preserved under homotopy, we can choose our point y arbitrarily far away from the manifold. In particular, we can make the image of  $\sigma_y$  bounded in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $S^n$  by choosing y sufficiently far away, and thus place the image of  $\sigma_y$  within a chart on  $S_n$ . Then we can use the fact that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is null-homotopic to see that  $\sigma_y$  is homotopic to a constant function.