

ASSESSMENT FORM							
NAME OF PRESENTER (S):		DATE: 15/01/2020					
NAME OF REVIEWER (S):	Peter Lugtig						
TITLE OF PRESENTATION:							
Content of technical Report (analyses in R)		POOR	FAIR	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	NOT APPLICABLE
Research goals (what statistics are being calculated)							
Organization data, data operations, use of R for survey data analysis							
Implementation procedure(s) (sampling, estimation, modelling)							
Statistical inferences (valid, reliable, use of plots/diagnostics)							
Discussion, conclusion, interpretation							

Final report	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	NOT APPLICABLE
Organization						
Introduction into the research project						
The presentation of the objectives of the research project						
The logic of the project activity						
The conclusion section						
Research Objectives and Technical Elements						
Understanding of the survey research goals						
Use of literature and link to method chosen						
Decision making (argumentation, evidence)						
Use of advanced survey techniques						

Presentation in December	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	NOT APPLICABLE
An appealing and creative presentation (visuals, sound, interactivity)						
Clear presentation of goals, project logic and answers						
Quality of answers to questions being asked						

Each element will be scored, where poor=0, fair=1, good=2, very good=3, excellent=4. Each subcomponent will be scored, and the final assignment grade (for each group) will be the average score of the different subcomponents, according to the following Table. The default score on the elements will be a 'good'. For a score being 'fair' or 'poor' smaller or larger errors have to be present throughout the report/presentation. For a score 'very good' or 'excellent' students have to perform beyond just providing a correct (or sufficient) answer. This can be achieved by for example showing great insight into the data, coming up with a creative solution, fixing a difficult data issue, great visuals, tables or writing (presentation). Scoring "fair" on average will result in a score of 5.5, "good" on average to 7 and "very good" to 8.5.

Score	
Content of technical Report (five categories)	
Final report - Organization (four categories)	
Final report - Objectives/technical (four categories)	
Presentation - (three categories)	
Final score (Minimum 4)	Points: Grade 4 + (Points/64*6) =

Ways that the Research Project could have been improved
Delivery / Organization:
Technical Content:
Most Impressive Component in the Project