
Kernel Methods in Machine Learning

Homework 1

Master Data Science – Université Paris-Saclay

Peter MARTIGNY : peter.martigny@gmail.com

Wednesday, January 25th 2017

1 Kernel Examples

Are the following kernels positive definite?

1. 1.

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, K_1(x, y) = 10^{xy}, K_2(x, y) = 10^{x+y}$$

2. 2.

$$\forall x, y \in [0, 1], K_3(x, y) = -\log(1 - xy)$$

3. 3. Let X be a set and $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ two non-negative functions :

$$\forall x, y \in X, K_4(x, y) = \min(f(x)g(y), f(y)g(x))$$

1. 1.

We know that the function $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is a positive definite kernel. Moreover, we know that a p.d. kernel multiplied by a positive constant is still a p.d. kernel. Hence, $(x, y) \mapsto xy \ln(10)$ is a p.d. kernel. We also know that the multiplication and the addition of p.d. kernels gives still a p.d. kernel, hence the exponential of $(x, y) \mapsto xy \ln(10)$ (which can be written as a Taylor infinite expansion, with strictly positive factors for each power of $(x, y) \mapsto xy \ln(10)$) is still a p.d. kernel, which means that K_1 is a p.d. kernel.

Let $\Phi : x \mapsto 10^x$. Then, we have : $K_3(x, y) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$. According to the Aronszajn Theorem, this shows that K_3 is a p.d. kernel.

2. 2. Let us write the Taylor Expansion of $x \mapsto \log(1 - x)$. We have :

$$-\log(1 - x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k}$$

Hence, as $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is a p.d. kernel, we know that $(x, y) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(xy)^k}{k}$ is also a p.d. kernel. Therefore, $(x, y) \mapsto -\log(1 - xy)$ is a positive definite kernel.

3. 3.

Because f and g are non negative, we show with Aronszajn Theorem that the function $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$ is a p.d. kernel. Let us show now that K_4 is also a p.d. kernel.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $(x_i, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{X}^N$. We have :

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \min(f(x_i)g(x_j), f(y)g(x)) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \delta_{f(x_i)g(x_j) < f(x_j)g(x_i)} f(x_i)g(x_j) + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \delta_{f(x_j)g(x_i) < f(x_i)g(x_j)} f(x_j)g(x_i)$$

Hence, since we know that $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$ is a p.d. kernel (Aronszajn theorem), we have that :

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \delta_{f(x_i)g(x_j) < f(x_j)g(x_i)} f(x_i)g(x_j) \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \delta_{f(x_j)g(x_i) < f(x_i)g(x_j)} f(x_j)g(x_i) \geq 0$$

Hence we have :

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \min(f(x_i)g(x_j), f(x_j)g(x_i)) \geq 0$$

Therefore, K_4 is a p.d. kernel.

2 Combining Kernels

1. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$K_1(x, y) = (xy + 1)^2$$

$$K_2(x, y) = (xy - 1)^2$$

What is the RKHS of K_1 ? Of K_2 ? Of $K_1 + K_2$?

2. Let K_1 and K_2 be two positive definite kernels on a set X and α, β two positive scalars. Show that $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ is positive definite, and describe its RKHS.
1. Because $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is a p.d. kernel and 1 is a positive scalar, hence $(x, y) \mapsto xy + 1$ is also a p.d. kernel. Then, K_1 is a p.d. kernel, as the square of a p.d. kernel. Let's now describe its (unique) RKHS.

We already know that its RKHS contains linear combinations of the function :

$$K_x : t \mapsto K_1(x, t)$$

A function f in this RKHS can be written as :

$$f(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i K_1(x_i, x) = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i^2 x^2 + 2 \sum_i \alpha_i x_i x + \sum_i \alpha_i$$

Let's define $a = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i^2$, $b = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ and $c = \sum_i \alpha_i$. We have then :

$$f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$$

Hence, our candidate RKHS H will be the set of polynomial function of order 2 :

$$f_{a,b,c}(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$$

endowed with the inner-product $\langle f_{a,b,c}, f_{a',b',c'} \rangle_H = aa' + bb' + cc' = \langle A, A' \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ where A is the vector in \mathbb{R}^3 composed of a , b and c and similarly for A' .

Hence, each K_x can be seen in the RKHS as $f_{x^2, x, 1}$ and therefore we have the reproductive property :

$$f_{a,b,c}(x) = ax^2 + bx + c = \langle f_{a,b,c}, K_x \rangle_H$$

Furthermore, the set of polynomial functions of order 2 is a vector space of finite dimension, hence it is complete.

Hence, the RKHS of K_1 is the set of polynomial functions of order 2, assigned to its inner product defined before.

Now, let's focus on K_2 . In fact, this is not a p.d. kernel. For example, if we take $x = 1$, $y = 0$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = -1$, we have $\alpha_1^2 K(x, x) + \alpha_2 K(y, y) + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 K(x, y) = 0 + 1 - 2 = -1$. Hence, this is not a p.d. kernel and there is no associated RKHS.

However, if we look at the sum $K_1 + K_2$ we obtain : $K(x, y) = x^2 y^2 + 1$, and here K is a p.d. kernel (with the same arguments as previously). So, let's find its (unique) RKHS.

We already know that its RKHS contains linear combinations of the function :

$$K_x : t \mapsto K(x, t)$$

A function f in this RKHS can be written as :

$$f(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i K(x_i, x) = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i^2 x^2 + \sum_i \alpha_i$$

Let's define $a = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i^2$, and $b = \sum_i \alpha_i$. We have then :

$$f(x) = ax^2 + b$$

Hence, our candidate RKHS H will be the set of polynomial function of order 2 with no first order component :

$$f_{a,b}(x) = ax^2 + b$$

endowed with the inner-product $\langle f_{a,b}, f_{a',b'} \rangle_H = aa' + bb' = \langle A, A' \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ where A is the vector in \mathbb{R}^2 composed of a and b , and similarly for A' .

Hence, each K_x can be seen in the RKHS as $f_{x^2,1}$ and therefore we have the reproductive property :

$$f_{a,b}(x) = ax^2 + b = \langle f_{a,b}, K_x \rangle_H$$

Furthermore, the set of polynomial functions of order 2 with no first order component is a vector space of finite dimension, hence it is complete.

Hence, the RKHS of $K_1 + K_2$ is the set of polynomial functions of order 2 with no first order component, assigned to its inner product defined before.

2. If K_1 is a p.d. kernel and α is a positive scalar, then αK_1 is a p.d. kernel. Similarly, βK_2 with β a positive scalar, is a p.d. kernel. Hence, as the sum of 2 p.d. kernels is still a p.d. kernel, $K_1 + K_2$ is p.d. kernel. Let's find now its (unique) RKHS.

First, the RKHS contains all the following functions :

$$f(\cdot) = \sum_i K_1(x_i, \cdot) + K_2(x_i, \cdot)$$

Hence, the RKHS contains all the functions $f_1 + f_2$ where $f_1 \in H_1$ and $f_2 \in H_2$. The set $H_1 + H_2$ composed of such functions is a complete space, as it is the sum of 2 complete spaces (any cauchy sequence in H_1 converges in H_1 , any cauchy sequence in H_2 converges in H_2 , hence any cauchy sequence in $H_1 + H_2$ converges in $H_1 + H_2$). Hence, we have to find an inner product to describe the RKHS.

Let's write the RKHS as $Span(\alpha K_1(x, \cdot) + K_2(x, \cdot))$. Then, any functions f and g can be written as :

$$f(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i K_1(x_i, x) + \beta_i K_2(x_i, x)$$

$$g(x) = \sum_i \alpha'_i K_1(x_i, x) + \beta'_i K_2(x_i, x)$$

Let's define the inner product between f and g as :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_H = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha'_i}{\sqrt{\alpha}} K_1(x_i, x_j) + \frac{\beta_i}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{\beta'_i}{\sqrt{\beta}} K_2(x_i, x_j)$$

We check that this is an inner product, now let us check the reproducing property. We have :

$$\langle f, \alpha K_1(x, \cdot) + \beta K_2(x, \cdot) \rangle_H = \langle \sum_i \alpha_i K_1(x_i, \cdot) + \beta_i K_2(x_i, \cdot), \alpha K_1(x, \cdot) + \beta K_2(x, \cdot) \rangle_H = \sum_i \alpha_i K_1(x_i, x) + \beta_i K_2(x_i, x) = f(x)$$

Hence, the reproductive property holds.

All in all, we have found the (unique) Hilbert space associated to $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$, with its associated inner product.

3 Uniqueness of the RKHS

Prove that if $K : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite function, then it is the r.k. of a unique RKHS. To prove it, you can consider two possible RKHS H and H' , and show that (i) they contain the same elements and (ii) their inner products are the same. Hint : consider the linear space spanned by the functions $K_x : t \mapsto K(x, t)$, and use the fact that a linear subspace F of a Hilbert space H is dense in H if and only if 0 is the only vector orthogonal to all vectors in F .

Suppose there are 2 RKHS for the p.d. kernel K , H_1 and H_2 . Let H_0 the space composed of linear combinations of functions $K_x(x, \cdot)$. First, we know that $H_0 \in H_1$ and $H_0 \in H_2$.

Now, let us show that H_0 is dense in H_1 , by using the hint given : H_0 is dense in H_1 if and only if the vector orthogonal to all elements in H_0 is the null vector.

Let f a vector in H_0 orthogonal to all elements in H_0 . Hence we have :

$$\forall g \in H_0, \langle f, g \rangle_{H_0} = 0$$

Then, we have :

$$\forall x, \langle f, K(x, \cdot) \rangle_{H_0} = 0$$

By the reproductive property, this implies that :

$$\forall x, f(x) = 0$$

Hence, H_0 is dense in H_1 . We prove also similarly that H_0 is dense in H_2 .

Then, we show that both norms coincide on H_0 :

$$\forall f, g \in H_0, \langle f, g \rangle_{H_1} = \langle \sum_i \alpha_i K(x_i, \cdot), \sum_j \beta_j K(x_j, \cdot) \rangle_{H_1} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \sum_i \alpha_i K(x_i, \cdot), \sum_j \beta_j K(x_j, \cdot) \rangle_{H_2}$$

Now, let f a function in H_1 . Because H_0 is dense in H_1 , there exists a sequence of functions in H_0 which converges to f . Because the elements of this sequence are also in H_2 , there exists a sub sequence which converges in H_2 . However, the limit is unique so the limit of the sub sequence in H_2 is the same as the limit of the initial sequence in H_1 , which is f . Hence, $f \in H_2$. We have proven that elements from H_1 are also in H_2 . We prove also the opposite side. Hence the elements in H_1 and H_2 are the same.

Now, we prove that the inner product are the same. Let $f \in H_1$ and $g \in H_2$. Let (f_n) and (g_m) the sequences of H_0 converging to f and g respectively. Then we have :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_1} = \langle \lim_n f_n, \lim_m g_m \rangle_{H_1} = \lim_n \lim_m \langle f_n, g_m \rangle_{H_1} = \lim_n \lim_m \langle f_n, g_m \rangle_{H_0} = \lim_n \lim_m \langle f_n, g_m \rangle_{H_2} = \langle \lim_n f_n, \lim_m g_m \rangle_{H_2} =$$

Hence, the inner products are the same.

Therefore, we have $H_1 = H_2$.