Instead of grouping by identity of first addered, let's group by identity of all addends: {1,1,3} < 21,2,2 < 1 partitions of 5. 1+1+3 1+2+2 1+3+1 2+1+2 3+1+1. 2+2+1 Vet me still don't see a convenient way to count compositions. The trick is to break everything into 1: eg. 1-1+3 = <1,1,1+1+17 1+2+2= <1,1+1,1+1) Now if we squint at the rightcompositions of 5 wito 3 parts are detarned by filling 4 slots w/ 2 commas (putting 4's in the remainder): 1+1+3= 1-21-21+1+1 121+121+1 11-2-12=

Thus # compositions is the # ways
of choosing 2 slits to place the
commas in from a total of 4 slits You'll notice that this is possentially the "stars and bars" approach where the stars are "+" and the bars are Since the # slots is 1 less than 5 and # "bars" is I lose than # terms in composition, we have the Number of compositions of n into R parts is (n-1). What about the number of compositions of n regardless of the # parts? Use the stans and bours approach! Every composition takes the form

where there are n-1 slots each of which is filled by either a "+" or a comma. - lead assignment up + s and commas determines a unique composition - each composition determines a unique assignment of its and comma. Since there are n-1 independent binary choices to be made, there are and compositions: Thus it must be the case that  $\frac{2}{k-1} \binom{n-1}{k-1} = 2^{n-1}$ Decause:  $\frac{l}{2}(l) = 2$  (cf L3)

What if we now permit the integers in a composition to be 0? How many compositions into k parts are there of n? Again breaking everything into 15, one encoding 6. Again, there are 4+0+1+2+0 = 7 Wal of 1+5-1 symbols: Thus all we need to do is to bars into 7+5-1 SISTS, while is 7+5-1

weak compositions (those in which o is allowed) into k parts is an integer n

word has no repeated letter is: #(words W/ no repeats) P(no repeat): 1 25 .89.79.66.53.41 P(repeat) >P(no repeat) 2 000 Mi O 0 000

Birthday Paradox thow many people need to be in room before there is more than a 50% chance of a shared birthday? A To establish a connection with the previous counting problem, imagine asking for birthdays, one by one: Jan 17 Feb 9 June 4

1st person 2rd person 46th person This is a word of length k (we don't specify the value of k yot) chosen from an alphabet of size n = 365. Thus, from the provious problem, P(k people don't share a birthday)  $=\frac{365!}{(365-k)!}$   $\frac{1}{365}$ For k=23, this is \$ 490/6.

This pudden is a "paradox" because the # 00 people necded is surprisingly small compared to the # regimed to avoirontee a showed birthday - 365 (by Pigeonhole principle). Now, how do things change of birthdays are not equally likely leg birthdates of professional hockey dayers). Turns out that this just increases the chance of birthday collisions. To see this, we need a new (probadoilistic) approach: a Tous people. Two possible birthdays
{A,B}. What Is the prob. they share A. Suppose P(A) = prob 4 being born on A Then P(B) = 1-p. birthdays: AA AB BA BB P (Shared b'day) = p2 + (1-p)2

Q.	For what P is P (shoured b'day) smallest?
A	de P(shared) = 0. Note:
	dp (Sharet) = 0. Note:
	(Shand)
	=) 2p+2(1-p)(-1)=0. =2[p-(1-p)]
	[1-96]6=
	$= \frac{1}{2} = $
	1 70 pr/2
	Thus when hirthdays are not
	equally likely (as we initially assumed), then prob. Is collisions only becomes larger. A consequence is that it is a really good bet that two people share the same birthday if there are only
	then prob. Os alisions only recomes
	larger. A consequence is that it is a
	really good bet that two people there
	the same birthday if there are only
	ino possibilities for those pirtholons?
	Please see 325 of LVP to see how a
	professor used this fact to make money over the course of his
	money over the course of his
	Comee!
	•

	-12-			
	C .	04 10 15		
	Summany of L2-L5:			
	Four kinds of counting.			
		Seguences (order matters)		
1		(order matters)	(order doesn't matter)	
repetitis	1 1	R	(R+n-1) (n-1+R)	
repenns	h allswed	Y \ .	$\binom{k+n-1}{n-1} = \binom{n-1+k}{k}$	
No vep	etition	n.	(n)	
		(n-k)!	(R)	
		•		