

Approximations in Bernstein Form

Peter Occil

Approximations in Bernstein Form

This version of the document is dated 2023-06-14.

Peter Occil

This page describes how to compute a polynomial in Bernstein form that comes close to a known function $f(\lambda)$ with a user-defined error tolerance, so that the polynomial's Bernstein coefficients will lie in the closed unit interval if f 's values lie in that interval. The polynomial is often simpler to calculate than the original function f and can often be accurate enough for an application's purposes.

The goal of these approximations is to avoid introducing transcendental and trigonometric functions to the approximation method. (For this reason, although this page also discusses approximation by so-called *Chebyshev interpolants*, that method is relegated to the appendix.)

Note: This page was originally developed as part of a section on *approximate Bernoulli factories*, or algorithms that toss heads with probability equal to a polynomial that comes close to a continuous function. However, the information in this page is of much broader interest than the approximate Bernoulli factory problem.

1 Contents

- Contents
- About This Document
- Definitions
- Approximations by Polynomials
 - Approximations on the Closed Unit Interval
 - Taylor Polynomials for “Smooth” Functions
 - Approximations on Any Closed Interval
 - Approximating an Integral
 - Approximating a Derivative
 - Computational Issues
- Approximations by Rational Functions
- Request for Additional Methods
- Notes
- Appendix
 - Results Used in Approximations by Polynomials
 - Chebyshev Interpolants
- License

2 About This Document

This is an open-source document; for an updated version, see the source code¹ or its rendering on GitHub². You can send comments on this document on the GitHub issues page³, especially if you find any errors on this page.

My audience for this article is **computer programmers with mathematics knowledge, but little or no familiarity with calculus**.

3 Definitions

This section describes certain math terms used on this page for programmers to understand.

The *closed unit interval* (written as $[0, 1]$) means the set consisting of 0, 1, and every real number in between.

For definitions of *continuous*, *derivative*, *convex*, *concave*, *Hölder continuous*, and *Lipschitz continuous*, see the definitions section in “**Supplemental Notes for Bernoulli Factory Algorithms**”⁴.

Any polynomial $p(\lambda)$ can be written in *Bernstein form* as—

$$p(\lambda) = \binom{n}{0} \lambda^0 (1 - \lambda)^{n-0} a[0] + \binom{n}{1} \lambda^1 (1 - \lambda)^{n-1} a[1] + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \lambda^n (1 - \lambda)^{n-n} a[n],$$

where n is the polynomial’s *degree* and $a[0]$, $a[1]$, ..., $a[n]$ are its n plus one *Bernstein coefficients* (which this document may simply call *coefficients* if the meaning is obvious from the context).^[^1]

A function $f(\lambda)$ is *piecewise continuous* if it’s made up of multiple continuous functions defined on a finite number of “pieces”, or non-empty subintervals, that together make up f ’s domain.

4 Approximations by Polynomials

This section first shows how to approximate a function on the closed unit interval, then shows how to approximate a function on *any* closed interval.

4.1 Approximations on the Closed Unit Interval

Suppose $f(\lambda)$ is continuous and maps the closed unit interval to the closed unit interval.

Then, a polynomial of a high enough degree (called n) can be used to approximate $f(\lambda)$ with an error no more than ϵ , as long as the polynomial’s Bernstein coefficients can be calculated and an explicit upper bound on the approximation error is available. See my **question on MathOverflow**⁵. Examples of these polynomials (all of degree n) are given in the following table.

Name	Polynomial	Its Bernstein coefficients are found as follows:	Notes
Bernstein polynomial.	$B_n(f)$.	$f(j/n)$, where $0 \leq j \leq n$.	Originated with S.N. Bernstein (1912). Evaluates f at $n + 1$ evenly-spaced points.

¹<https://github.com/peteroupc/peteroupc.github.io/raw/master/bernapprox.md>

²<https://github.com/peteroupc/peteroupc.github.io/blob/master/bernapprox.md>

³<https://github.com/peteroupc/peteroupc.github.io/issues>

⁴<https://peteroupc.github.io/bernsupp.html#Definitions>

⁵<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/442057/explicit-and-fast-error-bounds-for-approximating-continuous-functions>

Name	Polynomial	Its Bernstein coefficients are found as follows:	Notes
Order-2 iterated Boolean sum.	$U_{n,2} = B_n(W_{n,2})$.	$W_{n,2}(j/n)$, where $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $W_{n,2}(\lambda) = 2f(\lambda) - B_n(f)(\lambda)$.	Micchelli (1973) ⁶ , Guan (2009) ⁷ , Güntürk and Li (2021, sec. 3.3) ⁸ . Evaluates f at $n+1$ evenly-spaced points.
Order-3 iterated Boolean sum.	$U_{n,3} = B_n(W_{n,3})$.	$W_{n,3}(j/n)$, where $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $W_{n,3}(\lambda) = B_n(B_n(f)(\lambda)) + 3(f(\lambda) - B_n(f)(\lambda))$.	Same.
Butzer's linear combination (order 2).	$L_{2,n/2} = 2B_n(f(\lambda)) - B_{n/2}(f(\lambda))$.	(First, define the following operation: Get coefficients for n given m: Treat the coefficients $[f(0/m), f(1/m), \dots, f(m/m)]$ as representing a polynomial in Bernstein form of degree m , then rewrite that polynomial to one of degree n with $n+1$ Bernstein coefficients (see “ Computational Issues ”), then return those coefficients.) Get coefficients for n given $n/2$, call them $a[0], \dots, a[n]$, then set the final Bernstein coefficients to $2f(j/n) - a[j]$ for each j .	Tachev (2022) ⁹ , Butzer (1955) ¹⁰ . $n \geq 6$ must be even. Evaluates f at $n/2+1$ evenly-spaced points.
Butzer's linear combination (order 3).	$L_{3,n/4} = B_{n/4}(f)/3 + B_n(f) \cdot 8/3 - 2B_{n/2}(f)$	Get coefficients for n given $n/4$, call them $a[0], \dots, a[n]$, then get coefficients for n given $n/2$, call them $b[0], \dots, b[n]$, then set the final Bernstein coefficients to $a[j]/3 - 2b[j] + 8f(j/n)/3$ for each j .	Butzer (1955) ¹¹ . $n \geq 4$ must be divisible by 4. Evaluates f at $n/2+1$ evenly-spaced points.

Name	Polynomial	Its Bernstein coefficients are found as follows:	Notes
Lorentz operator (order 2).	$Q_{n-2,2} =$ $B_{n-2}(f) - x(1-x) \cdot$ $B_{n-2}(f'')/(2(n-2)).$	Get coefficients for n given $n-2$, call them $a[0], \dots, a[n]$. Then for each integer j with $1 \leq j < n$, subtract z from $a[j]$, where $z = (((f''((j-1)/(n-2))) / (4(n-2))) \cdot 2j(n-j)) / ((n-1) \cdot (n))$. The final Bernstein coefficients are now $a[0], \dots, a[n]$.	Holtz et al. (2011) ¹² ; Bernstein (1932) ¹³ ; Lorentz (1966) ¹⁴ . $n \geq 4$; f'' is the second derivative of f . Evaluates f and f'' at $n-1$ evenly-spaced points.

The goal is now to find a polynomial of degree n , written in Bernstein form, such that—

1. the polynomial is within ϵ of $f(\lambda)$, and
2. each of the polynomial's Bernstein coefficients is not less than 0 or greater than 1 (assuming none of f 's values is less than 0 or greater than 1).

For some of the polynomials given above, a degree n can be found so that the degree- n polynomial is within ϵ of f , if f is continuous and meets other conditions. In general, to find the degree n , solve the error bound's equation for n and round the solution up to the nearest integer. See the table below, where:

- M_r is not less than the maximum of the absolute value of f 's r -th derivative.
- H_r is not less than f 's r -th derivative's Hölder constant (for the given Hölder exponent α).
- L_r is not less than f 's r -th derivative's Lipschitz constant.

If $f(\lambda)$:	Then the following polynomial:	Is close to f with the following error bound:	Where n is:	Notes
Has Hölder continuous second derivative (see “Definitions”).	$U_{n,2}(f).$	$\varepsilon = (5H_2 + 4M_2) / (32n^{1+\alpha/2}).$	$n = \max(3, \text{ceil}(((5H_2 + 4M_2) / (32\epsilon))^{2/(2+\alpha)})).$	$n \geq 3$. $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is second derivative's Hölder exponent. See Proposition B10C in appendix.

⁶Micchelli, Charles. “**The saturation class and iterates of the Bernstein polynomials**”, Journal of Approximation Theory 8, no. 1 (1973): 1-18. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0021904573900282>

⁷Guan, Zhong. “**Iterated Bernstein polynomial approximations**”, arXiv:0909.0684 (2009). <https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.0684>

⁸Güntürk, C.S., Li, W., “**Approximation of functions with one-bit neural networks**”, arXiv:2112.09181 [cs.LG], 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09181>

⁹Tachev, Gancho. “**Linear combinations of two Bernstein polynomials**”, *Mathematical Foundations of Computing*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3934/mfc.2022061>

¹⁰Butzer, P.L., “Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials”, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 15 (1953).

¹¹Butzer, P.L., “Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials”, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 15 (1953).

¹²Holtz, O., Nazarov, F., Peres, Y., “**New Coins from Old, Smoothly**”, *Constructive Approximation* 33 (2011). <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00365-010-9108-5.pdf>

¹³Bernstein, S. N. (1932). “Complément à l'article de E. Voronovskaya.” CR Acad. URSS, 86-92.

¹⁴G.G. Lorentz, “The degree of approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients”, 1966.

If $f(\lambda)$:	Then the following polynomial:	Is close to f with the following error bound:	Where n is:	Notes
Has Lipschitz continuous second derivative.	$U_{n,2}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = (5L_2 + 4M_2) / (32n^{3/2})$.	$n = \max(3, \text{ceil}(((5L_2 + 4M_2) / (32\epsilon))^{2/3}))$.	$n \geq 3$. Special case of previous entry.
Has Lipschitz continuous second derivative.	$Q_{n-2,2}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = 0.098585 L_2 / ((n-2)^{3/2})$.	$n = \max(4, \text{ceil}(((0.098585L_2) / (\epsilon)^{2/3} + 2)))$.	$n \geq 4$. See Proposition B10A in appendix.
Has continuous third derivative.	$L_{2,n/2}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = (3*\text{sqrt}(3 - 4/n)/4)*M_3/n^2 < (3*\text{sqrt}(3)/4)*M_3/n^2 < 1.29904*M_3/n^2 \leq 1.29904*M_3/n^{3/2}$.	$n = \max(6, \text{ceil}(\frac{3^{3/4}\sqrt{M_3/\epsilon}}{2}))$ $\leq \max(6, \text{ceil}((113976/100000) * \text{sqrt}(M_3/\epsilon))) \leq \max(6, \text{ceil}(((1.29904M_3) / \epsilon)^{2/3}))$. (If n is now odd, add 1.)	Tachev (2022) ¹⁵ . $n \geq 6$ must be
Has Hölder continuous third derivative.	$U_{n,2}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = (9H_3 + 8M_2 + 8M_3) / (64n^{(3+\alpha)/2})$.	$n = \max(6, \text{ceil}(((9H_3 + 8M_2 + 8M_3) / (64\epsilon))^{2/(3+\alpha)}))$.	$n \geq 6$. $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is third derivative's Hölder exponent. See Proposition B10D in appendix.
Has Lipschitz continuous third derivative.	$U_{n,2}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = (9H_3 + 8M_2 + 8M_3) / (64n^2)$.	$n = \max(6, \text{ceil}(((9H_3 + 8M_2 + 8M_3) / (64\epsilon))^{1/2}))$.	$n \geq 6$. Special case of previous entry.
Has Lipschitz continuous third derivative.	$L_{3,n/4}(f)$.	$\varepsilon = L_3 / (8*n^2)$.	$n = \max(4, \text{ceil}((\text{sqrt}(2)/4) * \text{sqrt}(L_3/\epsilon))) \leq \max(4, \text{ceil}((35356/100000) * \text{sqrt}(L_3/\epsilon)))$. (Round n up to nearest multiple of 4.)	$n \geq 4$ must be divisible by 4. See Proposition B10 in appendix.
Has Lipschitz continuous derivative.	$B_n(f)$.	$\varepsilon = L_1 / (8*n)$.	$n = \text{ceil}(L_1 / (8*\epsilon))$.	Lorentz (1963) ^{16, 17}
Has Hölder continuous derivative.	$B_n(f)$.	$\varepsilon = H_1 / (4*n^{(1+\alpha)/2})$.	$n = \text{ceil}((H_1 / (4*\epsilon))^{2/(1+\alpha)})$.	Schurer and Steutel (1975) ¹⁸ . $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is derivative's Hölder exponent.
Is Hölder continuous.	$B_n(f)$.	$\varepsilon = H_0 * (1 / (4*n)^{\alpha/2})$.	$n = \text{ceil}((H_0 / \epsilon)^{2/\alpha} / 4)$.	Kac (1938) ¹⁹ . $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is f 's Hölder exponent.
Is Lipschitz continuous.	$B_n(f)$.	$\varepsilon = L_0 * \text{sqrt}(1 / (4*n))$.	$n = \text{ceil}((L_0)^2 / (4*\epsilon^2))$.	Special case of previous entry.
Is Lipschitz continuous.	$B_n(f)$.	$\varepsilon = \frac{4306+837\sqrt{6}}{5832} L_0 / n^{1/2} < 1.08989 L_0 / n^{1/2}$.	$n = \text{ceil}((L_0 * 1.08989 / \epsilon)^2)$.	Sikkema (1961) ²⁰ .

¹⁵Tachev, Gancho. “Linear combinations of two Bernstein polynomials”, *Mathematical Foundations of Computing*,

Note: In addition, by analyzing the proof of Theorem 2.4 of Güntürk and Li (2021, sec. 3.3)²¹, the following error bounds for $U_{n,3}$ appear to be true:

- If $f(\lambda)$ has continuous fifth derivative: $\varepsilon = 4.0421 * \max(M_0, \dots, M_5) / n^{5/2}$.
- If $f(\lambda)$ has continuous sixth derivative: $\varepsilon = 4.8457 * \max(M_0, \dots, M_6) / n^3$.

Bernstein polynomials ($B_n(f)$) have the advantages that only one Bernstein coefficient has to be found per run and that the coefficient will be bounded by 0 and 1 if $f(\lambda)$ is. But their disadvantage is that they approach f slowly in general, at a rate no faster than a rate proportional to $1/n$ (Voronovskaya 1932)²².

On the other hand, polynomials other than Bernstein polynomials ($B_n(f)$) can approach f faster in many cases than $B_n(f)$, but are not necessarily bounded by 0 and 1. For these polynomials, the following process can be used to calculate the required degree n , given an error tolerance of ϵ , assuming none of f 's values is less than 0 or greater than 1.

1. Determine whether f is described in the table above. Let A be the minimum of f on the closed unit interval and let B be the maximum of f there.
2. If $0 < A \leq B < 1$, calculate n as given in the table above, but with $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon, A, 1 - B)$, and stop.
3. Propositions B1, B2, and B3 in the **appendix** give conditions on f so that $W_{n,2}$ or $W_{n,3}$ (as the case may be) will be nonnegative. If B is less than 1 and any of those conditions is met, calculate n as given in the table above, but with $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon, 1 - B)$. (For B3, set n to $\max(n, m)$, where m is given in that proposition.) Then stop; $W_{n,2}$ or $W_{n,3}$ will now be bounded by 0 and 1.
4. Calculate n as given in the table above. Then, if any Bernstein coefficient of the resulting polynomial is less than 0 or greater than 1, double the value of n until this condition is no longer true.

The resulting polynomial of degree n will be within ϵ of $f(\lambda)$.

Notes:

1. A polynomial's Bernstein coefficients can be rounded to multiples of δ (where $0 < \delta \leq 1$) by setting either—
 - $c = \text{floor}(c/\delta) * \delta$ (rounding down), or
 - $c = \text{floor}(c/\delta + 1/2) * \delta$ (rounding to the nearest multiple),
for each Bernstein coefficient c . The new polynomial will differ from the old one by at most δ . (Thus, to find a polynomial with multiple-of- δ Bernstein coefficients that approximates f with error ϵ [which must be greater than δ], first find a polynomial with error $\epsilon - \delta$, then round that polynomial's Bernstein coefficients as given here.)
2. *Gevrey's hierarchy* is a class of “smooth” functions with known bounds on their derivatives. A function $f(\lambda)$ belongs in *Gevrey's hierarchy* if there are $B \geq 1$, $l \geq 1$, $\gamma \geq 1$ such that f 's n -th derivative's absolute value is not greater than $Bl^n n^{\gamma n}$ for every $n \geq 1$ (Kawamura et

2022. <https://doi.org/10.3934/mfc.2022061>

¹⁶G.G. Lorentz, “Inequalities and saturation classes for Bernstein polynomials”, 1963.

¹⁷Qian et al. suggested an n which has the upper bound $n = \text{ceil}(1 + \max(2n, n^2(2^n C)/\epsilon))$, where C is the maximum of f on its domain, but this is often much worse and works only if f is a polynomial (Qian, W., Riedel, M. D., & Rosenberg, I. (2011). Uniform approximation and Bernstein polynomials with coefficients in the unit interval. European Journal of Combinatorics, 32(3), 448-463).

¹⁸Schurer and Steutel, “On an inequality of Lorentz in the theory of Bernstein polynomials”, 1975.

¹⁹Kac, M., “Une remarque sur les polynômes de M. S. Bernstein”, Studia Math. 7, 1938.

²⁰Sikkema, P.C., “Der Wert einiger Konstanten in der Theorie der Approximation mit Bernstein-Polynomen”, 1961.

²¹Güntürk, C.S., Li, W., “**Approximation of functions with one-bit neural networks**”, arXiv:2112.09181 [cs.LG], 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09181>

²²E. Voronovskaya, “Détermination de la forme asymptotique d'approximation des fonctions par les polynômes de M. Bernstein”, 1932.

al. 2015)²³; see also (Gevrey 1918)²⁴). In this case, for each $n \geq 1$ —

- the n -th derivative of f is continuous and has a maximum absolute value of at most $Bl^n n^{\gamma^n}$, and
- the $(n-1)$ -th derivative of f is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant at most $Bl^n n^{\gamma^n}$.

Gevrey's hierarchy with $\gamma = 1$ is the class of functions equaling power series (see note in next section).

4.2 Taylor Polynomials for “Smooth” Functions

If $f(\lambda)$ is “smooth” enough on the closed unit interval and if ϵ is big enough, then Taylor’s theorem shows how to build a polynomial that comes within ϵ of f . In this section f may but need not be writable as a power series (see note).

In this section, M_r is not less than the maximum of the absolute value of f ’s r -th derivative.

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $f^{(i)}$ be the i -th derivative of $f(\lambda)$. Suppose that—

1. f is continuous on the closed unit interval, and
2. f satisfies $\epsilon \leq f(0) \leq 1 - \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \leq f(1) \leq 1 - \epsilon$, and
3. f satisfies $\epsilon < f(\lambda) < 1 - \epsilon$ whenever $0 < \lambda < 1$, and
4. f ’s $(n+1)$ -th derivative is continuous and satisfies $\epsilon \geq M_{n+1}/((n+1)!)$, and
5. $f(0)$ is known as well as $f^{(1)}(0), \dots, f^{(n)}(0)$.

Then the n -th *Taylor polynomial* centered at 0, given below, is within ϵ of f :

$$P(\lambda) = a_0 \lambda^0 + a_1 \lambda^1 + \dots + a_n \lambda^n,$$

where $a_0 = f(0)$ and $a_i = f^{(i)}(0)/(i!)$ for $i \geq 1$.

Items 2 and 3 above are not needed to find a polynomial within ϵ of f , but they *are* needed to ensure the Taylor polynomial’s Bernstein coefficients will lie in the closed unit interval, as described after the note.

Note: If $f(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as a *power series*, namely $f(\lambda) = c_0 \lambda^0 + c_1 \lambda^1 + \dots + c_i \lambda^i + \dots$ whenever $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ (so that f has a continuous k -th derivative for every k), and if the power series coefficients c_i —

- are each greater than 0,
- form a nowhere increasing sequence (example: $(1/4, 1/8, 1/8, 1/16, \dots)$), and
- meet the so-called “ratio test”,

then the algorithms in Carvalho and Moreira (2022)²⁵ can be used to find the first $n+1$ power series coefficients such that $P(\lambda)$ is within ϵ of f (see also the appendix).

Now, the Taylor polynomial P , when written in its “power” form or “monomial” form, has “power” coefficients a_0, \dots, a_n .

Now, rewrite $P(\lambda)$ as a polynomial in Bernstein form. (See “**Computational Issues**” for details.) Let b_0, \dots, b_n be the resulting Bernstein coefficients. If any of those Bernstein coefficients is less than 0 or greater than 1, then—

²³Kawamura, Akitoshi, Norbert Müller, Carsten Rösnick, and Martin Ziegler. “**Computational benefit of smoothness: Parameterized bit-complexity of numerical operators on analytic functions and Gevrey’s hierarchy.**” *Journal of Complexity* 31, no. 5 (2015): 689-714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2015.05.001>

²⁴M. Gevrey, “Sur la nature analytique des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles”, 1918.

²⁵Carvalho, Luiz Max, and Guido A. Moreira. “**Adaptive truncation of infinite sums: applications to Statistics**”, arXiv:2202.06121 (2022). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.06121>

- double the value of n , then
- rewrite the Bernstein coefficients of degree $n/2$ to the corresponding Bernstein coefficients of degree n ,

until none of the Bernstein coefficients is less than 0 or greater than 1.

The result will be a polynomial of degree n with $(n + 1)$ Bernstein coefficients.

4.3 Approximations on Any Closed Interval

Now, let $g(\lambda)$ be continuous on the closed interval $[a, b]$. This section shows how to adapt the previous two sections to approximate g on the interval, to the user-defined error tolerance ϵ , by a polynomial in Bernstein form on the interval $[a, b]$.

Any polynomial $p(\lambda)$ can be written in *Bernstein form on the interval $[a, b]$* as—

$$p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \left(\binom{n}{0} (\lambda-a)^0 (b-\lambda)^{n-0} a[0] + \binom{n}{1} (\lambda-a)^1 (b-\lambda)^{n-1} a[1] + \dots + \binom{n}{n} (\lambda-a)^n (b-\lambda)^{n-n} a[n] \right),$$

where n is the polynomial's *degree* and $a[0], a[1], \dots, a[n]$ are its n plus one *Bernstein coefficients for the interval $[a, b]$* (Bărbosu 2020)²⁶.

The necessary changes are as follows:

- In the previous two sections, define f , M_r , a_i , and L_r as follows:
 - $f(\lambda) = g(a + (b-a)\lambda)$. This will make f continuous on the closed unit interval.
 - M_r is not less than $(b-a)^r$ times the maximum of the absolute value of f 's r -th derivative.
 - L_r is not less than $(b-a)^{r+1}$ times f 's r -th derivative's Lipschitz constant.
 - $a_i = (b-a)^i f^{(i)}(0)/(i!)$.

(The error bounds that rely on H_r won't work for the time being unless $[a, b]$ is the closed unit interval.)

The result will be in the form of Bernstein coefficients for the interval $[a, b]$ rather than the interval $[0, 1]$.

Note: The following statements can be shown. Let $g(x)$ be continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, and let $f(x) = g(a + (b-a)x)$.

- If the r -th derivative of g is continuous and has a maximum absolute value of M , where $r \geq 1$, then the r -th derivative of $f(x)$ has a maximum absolute value of $M(b-a)^r$ on the interval $[0, 1]$.
- If the r -th derivative of g is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant L , where $r \geq 0$, then the r -th derivative of $f(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $L(b-a)^{r+1}$ on the interval $[0, 1]$.

4.4 Approximating an Integral

Roughly speaking, the *integral* of $f(x)$ on an interval $[a, b]$ is the “area under the graph” of that function when the function is restricted to that interval. If f is continuous there, this is the value that $\frac{1}{n}(f(a + (b-a)(1 - \frac{1}{2})/n) + f(a + (b-a)(2 - \frac{1}{2})/n) + \dots + f(a + (b-a)(n - \frac{1}{2})/n))$ approaches as n gets larger and larger.

If a polynomial is in Bernstein form of degree n , and is defined on the closed unit interval:

- The polynomial's integral on the closed unit interval is equal to the average of its $(n + 1)$ Bernstein coefficients; that is, the integral is found by adding those coefficients together, then dividing by $(n + 1)$

²⁶Bărbosu, D., “The Bernstein operators on any finite interval revisited”, Creat. Math. Inform. 20 (2020).

(Tsai and Farouki 2001, section 3.4)^{27, 28}

If a polynomial is in Bernstein form on the interval $[a, b]$, of degree n :

- The polynomial's integral on $[a, b]$ is found by adding the polynomial's Bernstein coefficients for $[a, b]$ together, then multiplying by $(b - a)/(n + 1)$.

Let $P(\lambda)$ be a continuous function (such as a polynomial) on the interval $[a, b]$, and let $f(\lambda)$ be a piecewise continuous function on that interval.

- If P is within ϵ of f at every point on the interval, then its integral is within $\epsilon \times (b - a)$ of f 's integral on that interval.
- If P is within $\epsilon/(b - a)$ of f at every point on the interval, then its integral is within ϵ of f 's integral on that interval.

Note: A pair of articles by Konečný and Neumann discuss approximating the integral (and maximum) of a class of functions efficiently using polynomials or piecewise functions with polynomials as the pieces: Konečný and Neumann (2021)^[22], Konečný and Neumann (2019)²⁹.

Muñoz and Narkawicz (2013)³⁰ also discuss finding the minimum and maximum of a polynomial in Bernstein form — indeed, a polynomial is bounded above by its highest Bernstein coefficient and below by its lowest.

4.5 Approximating a Derivative

For the time being, this section works only if $f(\lambda)$ is defined on the closed unit interval, rather than an arbitrary closed interval.

If $f(\lambda)$ has a continuous r -th derivative on the closed unit interval (where r is 1 or greater), it's possible to approximate f 's r -th derivative as follows:

1. Build a polynomial in Bernstein form of a degree n that is high enough such that the r -th derivative is close to f with an error no more than ϵ (where ϵ is the user-defined error tolerance. See the table below.
2. Let $a[0], \dots, a[n]$ be the polynomial's Bernstein coefficients. Now, to compute the polynomial's r -th derivative, do the following r times or until the process stops, whichever happens first (Tsai and Farouki 2001, section 3.4)³¹.
 - If n is 0, set $a[0] = 0$ and stop.
 - For each integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, set $a[k] = n \cdot (a[k + 1] - a[k])$.
 - Set n to $n - 1$.
3. The result is a degree- n polynomial, with Bernstein coefficients $a[0], \dots, a[n]$, that approximates the r -th derivative of $f(\lambda)$.

In the table below:

- M_r is not less than the maximum of the absolute value of f 's r -th derivative.
- H_r is not less than f 's r -th derivative's Hölder constant (for the given Hölder exponent α).

²⁷Tsai, Y., Farouki, R.T., "Algorithm 812: BPOLY: An Object- Oriented Library of Numerical Algorithms for Polynomials in Bernstein Form", ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, June 2001.

²⁸As an example, Mastroianni and Occorsio (1977) approximate an integral this way using iterated Boolean sums of Bernstein polynomials (which include $U_{n,2}$). G. Mastroianni, M.R. Occorsio, "Una generalizzazione dell'operatore di Bernstein", 1977.

²⁹Konečný, Michal, and Eike Neumann. "Implementing evaluation strategies for continuous real functions", arXiv:1910.04891 (2019). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04891>

³⁰Muñoz, César, and Anthony Narkawicz. "Formalization of Bernstein polynomials and applications to global optimization." Journal of Automated Reasoning 51, no. 2 (2013): 151-196.

³¹Tsai, Y., Farouki, R.T., "Algorithm 812: BPOLY: An Object- Oriented Library of Numerical Algorithms for Polynomials in Bernstein Form", ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, June 2001.

- L_r is not less than f 's r -th derivative's Lipschitz constant.

If $f(\lambda)$:	Then the following polynomial:	Is close to f with the following error bound:	Where n is:	Notes
Has Hölder continuous r -th derivative.	$B_n(f)$.	$\epsilon = rM_r(r-1)/(2n) + 5H_r/(4n^{\alpha/2}) \leq (rM_r(r-1)/2 + 5H_r/4)/n^{\alpha/2}$.	$n = \text{ceil}(\max(r + 1, \left(\frac{(5H_r + 2M_r(r^2 - r))^2}{16\epsilon^2}\right)^{1/2}))$	Knoop and Pottinger (1976) ³² . If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is r -th derivative's Hölder exponent.

Note: In general, it is not possible to approximate a continuous function's derivative unless upper and lower bounds on the derivative are known (Konečný and Neumann (2019)³³).

4.6 Computational Issues

Some methods in this document require rewriting a polynomial in Bernstein form of degree m to one of a higher degree n . This is also known as *degree elevation*. This method works for polynomials in Bernstein form on any closed interval.

- This can be done directly in the Bernstein form, as described in Tsai and Farouki (2001, section 3.2)³⁴.
- This can also be done through an intermediate form called the *scaled Bernstein form* (Farouki and Rajan 1988)³⁵, as described in Sánchez-Reyes (2003)³⁶. (A polynomial in scaled Bernstein form is also known as a *homogeneous polynomial*.)
 - The i -th Bernstein coefficient of degree m is turned to a scaled Bernstein coefficient by multiplying it by $\text{choose}(m, i)$.
 - The i -th scaled Bernstein coefficient of degree m is turned to a Bernstein coefficient by dividing it by $\text{choose}(m, i)$.

Some methods in this document require rewriting a polynomial in “power” form of degree m (also known as “monomial” form) to Bernstein form of degree m . This method works only for polynomials in Bernstein form on the closed unit interval.

- This can be done directly using the so-called “matrix method” from Ray and Nataraj (2012)³⁷.
- This can also be done by rewriting the polynomial from “power” form to scaled Bernstein form (see Sánchez-Reyes (2003, section 2.6)³⁸), then converting the scaled Bernstein form to Bernstein form.

5 Approximations by Rational Functions

Consider the class of rational functions $p(\lambda)/q(\lambda)$ that map the closed unit interval to itself, where $q(\lambda)$ is in Bernstein form with non-negative coefficients. Then rational functions of this kind are not much better

³²Knoop, H-B., Pottinger, P., “Ein Satz vom Korovkin-Typ für C^k -Räume”, Math. Zeitschrift 148 (1976).

³³Konečný, Michal, and Eike Neumann. “Implementing evaluation strategies for continuous real functions”, arXiv:1910.04891 (2019). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04891>

³⁴Tsai, Y., Farouki, R.T., “Algorithm 812: BPOLY: An Object- Oriented Library of Numerical Algorithms for Polynomials in Bernstein Form”, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, June 2001.

³⁵Farouki, Rida T., and V. T. Rajan. “Algorithms for polynomials in Bernstein form”. Computer Aided Geometric Design 5, no. 1 (1988): 1-26. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0167839688900167>

³⁶Sánchez-Reyes, J. (2003). **Algebraic manipulation in the Bernstein form made simple via convolutions**. Computer-Aided Design, 35(10), 959-967. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010448503000216>

³⁷S. Ray, P.S.V. Nataraj, “A Matrix Method for Efficient Computation of Bernstein Coefficients”, Reliable Computing 17(1), 2012. <https://interval.louisiana.edu/reliable-computing-journal/volume-17/reliable-computing-17-pp-40-71.pdf>

³⁸Sánchez-Reyes, J. (2003). **Algebraic manipulation in the Bernstein form made simple via convolutions**. Computer-Aided Design, 35(10), 959-967. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010448503000216>

than polynomials in approximating $f(\lambda)$ when—

- the k -th derivative of f is continuous on the open interval $(0, 1)$, but not the $(k + 1)$ -th derivative (Borwein 1979, section 3)³⁹, or
- $f(\lambda)$ is writable as $a_0\lambda^0 + a_1\lambda^1 + \dots$, where $a_k \geq (k + 1)a_{k+1} \geq 0$ whenever $k \geq 0$ (Borwein 1980)⁴⁰.

In addition, rational functions are not much better than polynomials in approximating $f(\lambda)$ when—

- the k -th derivative of f is continuous on the half-open interval $(0, 1]$, but not the $(k + 1)$ -th derivative, and
- the rational function has no root that is a complex number whose real part is between 0 and 1 (Borwein 1979, theorem 29)⁴¹.

6 Request for Additional Methods

Readers are requested to let me know of additional solutions to the following problems:

1. Let $f(\lambda)$ be continuous and map the closed unit interval to itself. Given $\epsilon > 0$, and given that $f(\lambda)$ belongs to a large class of functions (for example, it has a continuous, Lipschitz continuous, concave, or nowhere decreasing k -th derivative for some integer k , or any combination of these), compute the Bernstein coefficients of a polynomial or rational function (of some degree n) that is within ϵ of $f(\lambda)$.

The approximation error must be no more than a constant times $1/n^{r/2}$ if the given class has only functions with continuous r -th derivative.

Methods that use only integer arithmetic and addition and multiplication of rational numbers are preferred (thus, Chebyshev interpolants and other methods that involve cosines, sines, π , \exp , and \ln are not preferred).

2. Find a polynomial P in Bernstein form that approximates a strictly increasing polynomial Q on the closed unit interval such that the *inverse* of P is within ϵ of the inverse of Q .
3. Find a polynomial P in Bernstein form that approximates a strictly increasing real analytic function f on the closed unit interval such that the *inverse* of P is within ϵ of the inverse of f .

(Note: There is no bounded convergence rate for P if f is assumed only to have a continuous k -th derivative for every k ; a counterexample is $h(x) = \exp(-1/x)$ ($h(0) = 0$), $h(h(x))$, $h(h(h(x)))$, and so on.)

See also the **open questions**⁴².

7 Notes

8 Appendix

8.1 Results Used in Approximations by Polynomials

Lemma A1: Let—

$$f(x) = a_0x^0 + a_1x^1 + \dots,$$

where the a_i are constants each 0 or greater and sum to a finite value and where $0 \leq x \leq 1$ (the domain is the closed unit interval). Then f is convex and has a maximum at 1.

³⁹Borwein, P. B. (1979). Restricted uniform rational approximations (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).

⁴⁰Borwein, Peter B. “Approximations by rational functions with positive coefficients.” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 74, no. 1 (1980): 144-151.

⁴¹Borwein, P. B. (1979). Restricted uniform rational approximations (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).

⁴²https://peteroupc.github.io/bernreq.html#Polynomials_that_approach_a_factory_function_fast

Proof: By inspection, $f(x)$ is a power series and is nonnegative wherever $x \geq 0$ (and thus wherever $0 \leq x \leq 1$). Each of its terms has a maximum at 1 since—

- for $n = 0$, $a_0x^0 = a_0$ is a non-negative constant (which trivially reaches its maximum at 1), and
- for each n where $a_0 = 0$, a_0x^n is the constant 0 (which trivially reaches its maximum at 1), and
- for each other n , x^n is a strictly increasing function and multiplying that by a_n (a positive constant) doesn't change whether it's strictly increasing.

Since all of these terms have a maximum at 1 on the domain, so does their sum.

The derivative of f is—

$$f'(x) = 1 \cdot a_1x^0 + \dots + i \cdot a_ix^{i-1} + \dots,$$

which is still a power series with nonnegative values of a_n , so the proof so far applies to f' instead of f . By induction, the proof so far applies to all derivatives of f , including its second derivative.

Now, since the second derivative is nonnegative wherever $x \geq 0$, and thus on its domain, f is convex, which completes the proof. []

Proposition A2: For a function $f(x)$ as in Lemma A1, let—

$$g_n(x) = a_0x^0 + \dots + a_nx^n,$$

and have the same domain as f . Then for every $n \geq 1$, $g_n(x)$ is within ϵ of $f(x)$, where $\epsilon = f(1) - g_n(1)$.

Proof: g_n , consisting of the first $n + 1$ terms of f , is a power series with nonnegative values for a_0, \dots, a_n , so by Lemma A1, it has a maximum at 1. The same is true for $f - g_n$, consisting of the remaining terms of f . Since the latter has a maximum at 1, the maximum error is $\epsilon = f(1) - g_n(1)$. []

For a function f described in Lemma A1, $f(1) = a_01^0 + a_11^1 + \dots = a_0 + a_1 + \dots$, and f 's error behavior is described at the point 1, so the algorithms given in Carvalho and Moreira (2022)⁴³ — which apply to infinite sums — can be used to “cut off” f at a certain number of terms and do so with a controlled error.

Proposition B1: Let $f(\lambda)$ map the closed unit interval to itself and be continuous and concave. Then $W_{n,2}$ and $W_{n,3}$ (as defined in “For Certain Functions”) are nonnegative on the closed unit interval.

Proof: For $W_{n,2}$ it's enough to prove that $B_n(f) \leq f$ for every $n \geq 1$. This is the case because of Jensen's inequality and because f is concave.

For $W_{n,3}$ it must also be shown that $B_n(B_n(f)(\lambda))$ is nonnegative. For this, using only the fact that f maps the closed unit interval to itself, $B_n(f)$ will have Bernstein coefficients in that interval (each of those coefficients is a value of f) and so will likewise map the closed unit interval to itself (Qian et al. 2011)⁴⁴. Thus, by induction, $B_n(B_n(f)(\lambda))$ is nonnegative. The discussion for $W_{n,2}$ also shows that $(f - B_n(f))$ is nonnegative as well. Thus, $W_{n,3}$ is nonnegative on the closed unit interval. []

Proposition B2: Let $f(\lambda)$ map the closed unit interval to itself, be continuous, nowhere decreasing, and subadditive, and equal 0 at 0. Then $W_{n,2}$ is nonnegative on the closed unit interval.

Proof: The assumptions on f imply that $B_n(f) \leq 2f$ (Li 2000)⁴⁵, showing that $W_{n,2}$ is nonnegative on the closed unit interval. []

Note: A subadditive function f has the property that $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ whenever a, b , and $a+b$ are in f 's domain.

⁴³Carvalho, Luiz Max, and Guido A. Moreira. “Adaptive truncation of infinite sums: applications to Statistics”, arXiv:2202.06121 (2022). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.06121>

⁴⁴Qian, Weikang, Marc D. Riedel, and Ivo Rosenberg. “Uniform approximation and Bernstein polynomials with coefficients in the unit interval.” European Journal of Combinatorics 32, no. 3 (2011): 448-463.

⁴⁵Li, Zhongkai. “Bernstein polynomials and modulus of continuity.” Journal of Approximation Theory 102, no. 1 (2000): 171-174.

Proposition B3: Let $f(\lambda)$ map the closed unit interval to itself and have a Lipschitz continuous derivative with Lipschitz constant L . If $f(\lambda) \geq \frac{L\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2m}$ on f 's domain, for some $m \geq 1$, then $W_{n,2}$ is nonnegative there, for every $n \geq m$.

Proof: Let $E(\lambda, n) = \frac{L\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2n}$. Lorentz (1963)⁴⁶ showed that with this Lipschitz derivative assumption on f , B_n differs from $f(\lambda)$ by no more than $E(\lambda, n)$ for every $n \geq 1$ and wherever $0 < \lambda < 1$. As is well known, $B_n(0) = f(0)$ and $B_n(1) = f(1)$. By inspection, $E(\lambda, n)$ is biggest when $n = 1$ and decreases as n increases. Assuming the worst case that $B_n(\lambda) = f(\lambda) + E(\lambda, m)$, it follows that $W_{n,2} = 2f(\lambda) - B_n(\lambda) \geq 2f(\lambda) - f(\lambda) - E(\lambda, m) = f(\lambda) - E(\lambda, m) \geq 0$ whenever $f(\lambda) \geq E(\lambda, m)$. Because $E(\lambda, k+1) \leq E(\lambda, k)$ for every $k \geq 1$, the preceding sentence holds true for every $n \geq m$. []

The following results deal with useful quantities when discussing the error in approximating a function by Bernstein polynomials. Suppose a coin shows heads with probability p , and n independent tosses of the coin are made. Then the total number of heads X follows a *binomial distribution*, and the r -th central moment of that distribution is as follows:

$$T_{n,r}(p) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^r] = \sum_{k=0}^n (k - np)^r \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k},$$

where $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ is the expected value (“long-run average”).

- Traditionally, the central moment of X/n or the ratio of heads to tosses is denoted $S_{n,r}(p) = T_{n,r}(p)/n^r = \mathbb{E}[(X/n - \mathbb{E}[X/n])^r]$. (T and S are notations of S.N. Bernstein, known for Bernstein polynomials.)
- The r -th *absolute moment* of X/n or the ratio of heads to tosses is denoted $M_{n,r}(p) = \mathbb{E}[|X/n - \mathbb{E}[X/n]|^r] = B_n(|\lambda - x|^r)(p)$.

The following results bound the absolute value of $T_{n,r}$, $S_{n,r}$, and $M_{n,r}$.⁴⁷

Result B4 (Molteni (2022)⁴⁸): If r is an even integer such that $0 \leq r \leq 44$, then for every integer $n \geq 1$, $|T_{n,r}(p)| \leq (r!)/(((r/2)!)8^{r/2})n^{r/2}$ and $|S_{n,r}(p)| \leq (r!)/(((r/2)!)8^{r/2}) \cdot (1/n^{r/2})$.

Result B4A (Adell et al. (2015)⁴⁹): For every odd integer $r \geq 1$, $T_{n,r}(p)$ is positive whenever $0 \leq p < 1/2$, and negative whenever $1/2 < p \leq 1$.

Lemma B5: For every integer $n \geq 1$:

- $|S_{n,0}(p)| = 1 = 1 \cdot (p(1-p)/n)^{0/2}$.
- $|S_{n,1}(p)| = 0 = 0 \cdot (p(1-p)/n)^{1/2}$.
- $|S_{n,2}(p)| = p(1-p)/n = 1 \cdot (p(1-p)/n)^{2/2}$.

The proof is straightforward.

Result B5A: Let $\Delta_n(x) = \max(1/n, (x(1-x)/n)^{1/2})$. For every real number $r > 0$, $M_{n,r}(p) \leq (c + d)(\Delta_n(x))^r$, where $c = 2 \cdot 4^{r/2}\Gamma(r/2 + 1)$, $d = 2 \cdot 8^r\Gamma(r + 1)$, and $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function.

Proof: By Theorem 1 of Adell et al. (2015)⁵⁰ with $\delta = 1/2$, $M_{n,r}(p) \leq c(p(1-p)/n)^{r/2} + d/n^r$, and in turn, $c(p(1-p)/n)^{r/2} + d/n^r \leq c(\Delta_n(p))^r + d(\Delta_n(p))^r = (c + d)(\Delta_n(p))^r$. []

By Result B5A, $c + d = 264$ when $r = 2$, $c + d < 6165.27$ when $r = 3$, and $c + d = 196672$ when $r = 4$.

⁴⁶G.G. Lorentz, “Inequalities and saturation classes for Bernstein polynomials”, 1963.

⁴⁷*Summation notation*, involving the Greek capital sigma (Σ), is a way to write the sum of one or more terms of similar form. For example, $\sum_{k=0}^n g(k)$ means $g(0) + g(1) + \dots + g(n)$, and $\sum_{k \geq 0} g(k)$ means $g(0) + g(1) + \dots$.

⁴⁸Molteni, Giuseppe. “Explicit bounds for even moments of Bernstein’s polynomials.” *Journal of Approximation Theory* 273 (2022): 105658.

⁴⁹Adell, J. A., Bustamante, J., & Quesada, J. M. (2015). Estimates for the moments of Bernstein polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 432(1), 114-128.

⁵⁰Adell, J. A., Bustamante, J., & Quesada, J. M. (2015). Estimates for the moments of Bernstein polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 432(1), 114-128.

Result B6 (Adell and Cárdenas-Morales (2018)⁵¹): Let $\sigma(r, t) = (r!)/(((r/2)!)t^{r/2})$. If $r \geq 0$ is an even integer, then—

- for every integer $n \geq 1$, $|T_{n,r}(p)| \leq \sigma(r, 6)n^{r/2}$ and $|S_{n,r}(p)| \leq \sigma(r, 6)/n^{r/2}$, and
- for every integer $n \geq 1$, $|T_{n,r}(1/2)| \leq \sigma(r, 8)n^{r/2}$ and $|S_{n,r}(1/2)| \leq \sigma(r, 8)/n^{r/2}$.

Lemma B9: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Lipschitz continuous r -th derivative on the closed unit interval (see “**Definitions**”), where $r \geq 0$ is an integer, and let M be equal to or greater than the r -th derivative’s Lipschitz constant. Denote $B_n(f)$ as the Bernstein polynomial of f of degree n . Then, for every $0 \leq x_0 \leq 1$:

1. f can be written as $f(\lambda) = R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0) + f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^r (\lambda - x_0)^i f^{(i)}(x_0)/(i!)$ where $f^{(i)}$ is the i -th derivative of f .
2. If r is odd, $|B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| \leq M/(((r+1)/2)!(\beta n)^{(r+1)/2})$ for every integer $n \geq 1$, where β is 8 if $r \leq 43$ and 6 otherwise.
3. If $r = 0$, $|B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| \leq M/(2n^{1/2})$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.
4. If r is even and greater than 0, $|B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| \leq \frac{M}{(r+1)!n^{(r+1)/2}} \left(\frac{2 \cdot (r+1)! (r)!}{\gamma^{r+1} ((r/2)!)^2} \right)^{1/2}$ for every integer $n \geq 2$, where γ is 8 if $r \leq 42$ and 6 otherwise.

Proof: The well-known result of part 1 says f equals the *Taylor polynomial* of degree r at x_0 plus the *Lagrange remainder*, $R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0)$. A result found in Gonska et al. (2006)⁵², which applies for any integer $r \geq 0$, bounds that Lagrange remainder⁵³. By that result, because f ’s r -th derivative is Lipschitz continuous—

$$|R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0)| \leq \frac{|\lambda - x_0|^r}{r!} M \frac{|\lambda - x_0|}{r+1} = M \frac{|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}}{(r+1)!}.$$

The goal is now to bound the Bernstein polynomial of $|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}$. This is easiest to do if r is odd.

If r is odd, then $(\lambda - x_0)^{r+1} = |\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}$, so by Results B4 and B6, the Bernstein polynomial of $|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}$ can be bounded as follows:

$$|B_n((\lambda - x_0)^{r+1})(x_0)| \leq \frac{(r+1)!}{(((r+1)/2)!\beta^{(r+1)/2})} \frac{1}{n^{(r+1)/2}} = \sigma(r, n),$$

where β is 8 if $r \leq 43$ and 6 otherwise. Therefore—

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| &\leq \frac{M}{(r+1)!} |B_n((\lambda - x_0)^{r+1})(x_0)| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(r+1)!} \frac{(r+1)!}{(((r+1)/2)!\beta^{(r+1)/2})} \frac{1}{n^{(r+1)/2}} = \frac{M}{(((r+1)/2)!(\beta n)^{(r+1)/2})}. \end{aligned}$$

If r is 0, then the Bernstein polynomial of $|\lambda - x_0|^1$ is bounded by $\sqrt{x_0(1-x_0)/n}$ for every integer $n \geq 1$ (Cheng 1983)⁵⁴, so—

$$|B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| \leq \frac{M}{(r+1)!} \sqrt{x_0(1-x_0)/n} \leq \frac{M}{(r+1)!} \frac{1}{2n^{1/2}} = \frac{M}{2n^{1/2}}.$$

⁵¹Adell, J.A., Cárdenas-Morales, D., “**Quantitative generalized Voronovskaja’s formulae for Bernstein polynomials**”, Journal of Approximation Theory 231, July 2018. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021904518300376>

⁵²Gonska, H.H., Pițul, P., Raşa, I., “On Peano’s form of the Taylor remainder, Voronovskaja’s theorem and the commutator of positive linear operators”, In Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory, 2006.

⁵³The result from Gonska et al. actually applies if the r -th derivative belongs to a broader class of continuous functions than Lipschitz continuous functions, but this feature is not used in this proof.

⁵⁴Cheng, F., “On the rate of convergence of Bernstein polynomials of functions of bounded variation”, Journal of Approximation Theory 39 (1983).

If r is even and greater than 0, the Bernstein polynomial for $|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}$ can be bounded as follows for every $n \geq 2$, using **Schwarz's inequality**⁵⁵ (see also Bojanic and Shisha [1975]⁵⁶ for the case $r = 4$):

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1})(x_0) &= B_n((|\lambda - x_0|^{r/2} |\lambda - x_0|^{(r+2)/2})(x_0)) \\ &\leq \sqrt{|S_{n,r}(x_0)|} \sqrt{|S_{n,r+2}(x_0)|} \leq \sqrt{\sigma(r, n)} \sqrt{\sigma(r+2, n)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{(r+1)/2}} \left(\frac{2 \cdot (r+1)!(r)!}{\gamma^{r+1}((r/2)!)^2} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where γ is 8 if $r \leq 42$ and 6 otherwise. Therefore—

$$|B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)| \leq \frac{M}{(r+1)! \cdot n^{(r+1)/2}} \left(\frac{2 \cdot (r+1)!(r)!}{\gamma^{r+1}((r/2)!)^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

[]

Notes:

1. If a function $f(\lambda)$ has a continuous r -th derivative on its domain (where $r \geq 0$ is an integer), then by Taylor's theorem for real variables, $R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0)$, is writable as $f^{(r)}(c) \cdot (\lambda - x_0)^r / (r!)$, for some c between λ and x_0 (and thus on f 's domain) (DLMF [41] **equation 1.4.36**⁵⁷). Thus, by this estimate, $|R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0)| \leq \frac{M}{r!} (\lambda - x_0)^r$.
2. It would be interesting to strengthen this lemma, at least for $r \leq 10$, with a bound of the form $MC \cdot \max(1/n, (x_0(1-x_0)/n)^{1/2})^{r+1}$, where C is an explicitly given constant depending on r , which is possible because the Bernstein polynomial of $|\lambda - x_0|^{r+1}$ can be bounded in this way (Lorentz 1966)⁵⁸.

Corollary B9A: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Lipschitz continuous r -th derivative on the closed unit interval, and let M be that r -th derivative's Lipschitz constant or greater. Then, for every $0 \leq x_0 \leq 1$:

If r is:	Then $\text{abs}(B_n(R_{f,r}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)) \leq \dots$
0.	$M/(2n^{1/2})$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.
0.	$M \cdot \sqrt{x_0(1-x_0)}/n$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.
1.	$M/(8n)$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.
2.	$\sqrt{3}M/(48n^{3/2}) < 0.03609M/n^{3/2}$ for every integer $n \geq 2$.
3.	$M/(128n^2)$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.
4.	$\sqrt{5}M/(1280n^{5/2}) < 0.001747M/n^{5/2}$ for every integer $n \geq 2$.
5.	$M/(3072n^3)$ for every integer $n \geq 1$.

Proposition B10: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Lipschitz continuous third derivative on the closed unit interval. For each $n \geq 4$ that is divisible by 4, let $L_{3,n/4}(f) = (1/3) \cdot B_{n/4}(f) - 2 \cdot B_{n/2}(f) + (8/3) \cdot B_n(f)$. Then $L_{3,n/4}(f)$ is within $M/(8n^2)$ of f , where M is the maximum of the absolute value of that fourth derivative.

Proof: This proof is inspired by the proof technique in Tachev (2022)⁵⁹.

⁵⁵<https://mathworld.wolfram.com/SchwarzsInequality.html>

⁵⁶G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials*, 1953.

⁵⁷<https://dlmf.nist.gov/1.4.E36>

⁵⁸G.G. Lorentz, "The degree of approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients", 1966.

⁵⁹Tachev, Gancho. "Linear combinations of two Bernstein polynomials", *Mathematical Foundations of Computing*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3934/mfc.2022061>

Because f has a Lipschitz continuous third derivative, f has the Lagrange remainder $R_{f,3}(\lambda, x_0)$ given in Lemma B9 and Corollary B9A.

It is known that $L_{3,n/4}$ is a linear operator that preserves polynomials of degree 3 or less, so that $L_{3,n/4}(f) = f$ whenever f is a polynomial of degree 3 or less (Ditzian and Totik 1987)⁶⁰, Butzer (1955)⁶¹, May (1976)⁶². Because of this, it can be assumed without loss of generality that $f(x_0) = 0$.

Therefore—

$$|L_{3,n/4}(f(\lambda))(x_0) - f(x_0)| = |L_{3,n/4}(R_{f,3}(\lambda, x_0))|.$$

Now denote σ_n as the maximum of $|B_n(R_{f,3}(\lambda, x_0))(x_0)|$ over $0 \leq x_0 \leq 1$. In turn (using Corollary B9A)—

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{3,n/4}(R_{f,3}(\lambda, x_0))| &\leq (1/3) \cdot \sigma_{n/4} + 2 \cdot \sigma_{n/2} + (8/3) \cdot \sigma_n \\ &\leq (1/3) \frac{M}{128(n/4)^2} + 2 \frac{M}{128(n/2)^2} + (8/3) \frac{M}{128n^2} = M/(8n^2). \end{aligned}$$

[]

The proof of Proposition B10 shows how to prove an upper bound on the approximation error for polynomials written as—

$$P(f)(x) = \alpha_0 B_{n(0)}(f)(x) + \alpha_1 B_{n(1)}(f)(x) + \dots + \alpha_k B_{n(k)}(f)(x)$$

(where α_i are real numbers and $n(i) \geq 1$ is an integer), as long as P preserves all polynomials of degree r or less and f has a Lipschitz continuous r -th derivative. An example is the polynomials $T_q^{(0)}$ described in Costabile et al. (1996)⁶³.

Proposition B10A: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Lipschitz continuous second derivative on the closed unit interval. Let $Q_{n,2}(f) = B_n(f)(x) - \frac{x(1-x)}{2n} B_n(f'')(x)$ be the *Lorentz operator* of order 2 (Holtz et al. 2011)⁶⁴, (Lorentz 1966)⁶⁵, which is a polynomial in Bernstein form of degree $n+2$. Then if $n \geq 2$ is an integer, $Q_{n,2}(f)$ is within $\frac{M(\sqrt{3}+3)}{48n^{3/2}} < 0.098585M/(n^{3/2})$ of f , where M is that second derivative's Lipschitz constant or greater.

Proof: Since $Q_{n,2}(f)$ preserves polynomials of degree 2 or less (Holtz et al. 2011, Lemma 14)⁶⁶ and since f has a Lipschitz continuous second derivative, f has the Lagrange remainder $R_{f,2}(\lambda, x_0)$ given in Lemma B9, and f'' , the second derivative of f , has the Lagrange remainder $R_{f'',0}(\lambda, x_0)$. Thus, using Corollary B9A, the error bound can be written as—

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{n,2}(f(\lambda))(x_0) - f(x_0)| &\leq |B_n(R_{f,2}(\lambda, x_0))| + \frac{x_0(1-x_0)}{2n} |B_n(R_{f'',0}(\lambda, x_0))| \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}M}{48n^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{8n} \frac{M}{2n^{1/2}} = \frac{M(\sqrt{3}+3)}{48n^{3/2}} < 0.098585M/(n^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

[]

Corollary B10B: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a continuous second derivative on the closed unit interval. Then $B_n(f)$ is within $\frac{M}{8n}$ of f , where M is the maximum of that second derivative's absolute value or greater.

⁶⁰Ditzian, Z., Totik, V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, 1987.

⁶¹Butzer, P.L., “Linear combinations of Bernstein polynomials”, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 15 (1953).

⁶²May, C.P., “Saturation and inverse theorems for a class of exponential-type operators”, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 28 (1976).

⁶³Costabile, F., Gualtieri, M.I., Serra, S., “Asymptotic expansion and extrapolation for Bernstein polynomials with applications”, *BIT* 36 (1996).

⁶⁴Holtz, O., Nazarov, F., Peres, Y., “**New Coins from Old, Smoothly**”, *Constructive Approximation* 33 (2011). <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00365-010-9108-5.pdf>

⁶⁵G.G. Lorentz, “The degree of approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients”, 1966.

⁶⁶Holtz, O., Nazarov, F., Peres, Y., “**New Coins from Old, Smoothly**”, *Constructive Approximation* 33 (2011). <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00365-010-9108-5.pdf>

Proof: Follows from Lorentz (1963)⁶⁷ and the well-known fact that M is an upper bound of f 's first derivative's (minimal) Lipschitz constant. []

In the following propositions, $f^{(r)}$ means the r -th derivative of the function f and $\max(|f|)$ means the maximum of the absolute value of the function f .

Proposition B10C: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Hölder continuous second derivative on the closed unit interval, with Hölder exponent α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and Hölder constant H_2 or less. Let $U_{n,2}(f) = B_n(2f - B_n(f))$ be f 's iterated Boolean sum of order 2 of Bernstein polynomials. Then if $n \geq 3$ is an integer, the error in approximating f with $U_{n,2}(f)$ is as follows:

$$|f - U_{n,2}(f)| \leq \frac{M_2}{8n^2} + 5H_2/(32n^{1+\alpha/2}) \leq ((5H_2 + 4M_2)/32)/n^{1+\alpha/2},$$

where M_2 is the maximum of that second derivative's absolute value or greater.

Proof: This proof is inspired by a result in Draganov (2004, Theorem 4.1)⁶⁸.

The error to be bounded can be expressed as $|(B_n(f) - f)(B_n(f) - f)|$. Following Corollary B10B:

$$|(B_n(f) - f)(B_n(f) - f)| \leq \frac{1}{8n} \max(|(B_n(f))^{(2)} - f^{(2)}|). \quad (\text{B10C-1})$$

It thus remains to estimate the right-hand side of the bound. A result by Knoop and Pottinger (1976)⁶⁹, which works for every $n \geq 3$, is what is known as a *simultaneous approximation* error bound, showing that the second derivative of the Bernstein polynomial approaches that of f as n increases. Using this result:

$$|(B_n(f))^{(2)} - f^{(2)}| \leq \frac{1}{n} M_2 + (5/4)H_2/n^{\alpha/2},$$

so—

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_n(f) - f)(B_n(f) - f)| &\leq \frac{1}{8n} \left(\frac{1}{n} M_2 + (5/4)H_2/n^{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M_2}{8n^2} + \frac{5H_2}{32n^{1+\alpha/2}} \leq \frac{5H_2 + 4M_2}{32} \frac{1}{n^{1+\alpha/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

[]

Note: The error bound $0.75M_2/n^2$ for $U_{n,2}$ is false in general if $f(\lambda)$ is assumed only to be non-negative, concave, and have a continuous second derivative on the closed unit interval. A counterexample is $f(\lambda) = (1 - (1 - 2\lambda)^{2.5})/2$ if $\lambda < 1/2$ and $(1 - (2\lambda - 1)^{2.5})/2$ otherwise.

Proposition B10D: Let $f(\lambda)$ have a Hölder continuous third derivative on the closed unit interval, with Hölder exponent α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and Hölder constant H_3 or less. If $n \geq 6$ is an integer, the error in approximating f with $U_{n,2}(f)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f - U_{n,2}(f)| &\leq \frac{\max(|f^{(2)}|) + \max(|f^{(3)}|)}{8n^2} + 9H_3/(64n^{(3+\alpha)/2}) \\ &\leq \frac{9H_3 + 8\max(|f^{(2)}|) + 8\max(|f^{(3)}|)}{64n^{(3+\alpha)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Again, the goal is to estimate the right-hand side of (B10C-1). But this time, a different simultaneous approximation bound is employed, namely a result from Kacsó (2002)⁷⁰, which in this case works if $n \geq$

⁶⁷G.G. Lorentz, "Inequalities and saturation classes for Bernstein polynomials", 1963.

⁶⁸Draganov, Borislav R. "On simultaneous approximation by iterated Boolean sums of Bernstein operators." Results in Mathematics 66, no. 1 (2014): 21-41.

⁶⁹Knoop, H-B., Pottinger, P., "Ein Satz vom Korovkin-Typ für C^k -Räume", Math. Zeitschrift 148 (1976).

⁷⁰Kacsó, D.P., "Simultaneous approximation by almost convex operators", 2002.

$\max(r+2, (r+1)r) = 6$, where $r = 2$. By that result:

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_n(f))^{(2)} - f^{(2)}| &\leq \frac{r(r-1)}{2n} M_2 + \frac{rM_3}{2n} + \frac{9}{8} \omega_2(f^{(2)}, 1/n^{1/2}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} M_2 + M_3/n + \frac{9}{8} H_3/n^{(1+\alpha)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = 2$, $M_2 = \max(|f^{(2)}|)$, and $M_3 = \max(|f^{(3)}|)$, using properties of ω_2 , the second-order modulus of continuity of $f^{(2)}$, given in Stancu et al. (2001)⁷¹. Therefore—

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_n(f) - f)(B_n(f) - f)| &\leq \frac{1}{8n} \left(\frac{1}{n} M_2 + M_3/n + \frac{9}{8} H_3/n^{(1+\alpha)/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M_2 + M_3}{8n^2} + \frac{9H_3}{64n^{(3+\alpha)/2}} \leq \frac{9H_3 + 8M_2 + 8M_3}{64n^{(3+\alpha)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

[]

In a similar way, it's possible to prove an error bound for $U_{n,3}$ that applies to functions with a Hölder continuous fourth or fifth derivative, by expressing the error bound as $|(B_n(f) - f)((B_n(f) - f)(B_n(f) - f))|$ and replacing the values for M_2 , M_3 , and H_3 in the bound proved at the end of Proposition B10D with upper bounds for $|(B_n(f))^{(2)} - f^{(2)}|$, $|(B_n(f))^{(3)} - f^{(3)}|$, and $|(B_n(f))^{(4)} - f^{(4)}|$, respectively.

8.2 Chebyshev Interpolants

The following is a method that employs *Chebyshev interpolants* to compute the Bernstein coefficients of a polynomial that comes within ϵ of $f(\lambda)$, as long as f meets certain conditions. Because the method introduces a trigonometric function (the cosine function), it appears here in the appendix and it runs too slowly for real-time or “online” use; rather, this method is more suitable for pregenerating (“offline”) the approximate version of a function known in advance.

- f must be continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ and must have an r -th derivative of *bounded variation*, as described later.
- Suppose f 's domain is the interval $[a, b]$. Then the *Chebyshev interpolant* of degree n of f (Wang 2023)⁷², (Trefethen 2013)⁷³ is—

$$p(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k T_k(2 \frac{\lambda - a}{b - a} - 1),$$

where—

- $c_k = \sigma(k, n) \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \sigma(j, n) f(\gamma(j, n)) T_k(\cos(j\pi/n))$,
- $\gamma(j, n) = a + (b - a)(\cos(j\pi/n) + 1)/2$,
- $\sigma(k, n)$ is $1/2$ if k is 0 or n , and 1 otherwise, and
- $T_k(x)$ is the k -th **Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind**⁷⁴ (`chebyshevT(k, x)` in the SymPy computer algebra library).
- Let $r \geq 1$ and $n > r$ be integers. If f is defined on the interval $[a, b]$, has a Lipschitz continuous $(r-1)$ -th derivative, and has an r -th derivative of *bounded variation*, then the degree- n Chebyshev interpolant of f is within $\left(\frac{(b-a)}{2}\right)^r \frac{4V}{\pi r(n-r)^r}$ of f , where V is the r -th derivative's *total variation* or

⁷¹Stancu, D.D., Agratini, O., et al. *Analiză Numerică și Teoria Aproximării*, 2001.

⁷²H. Wang, “**Analysis of error localization of Chebyshev spectral approximations**”, arXiv:2106.03456v3 [math.NA], 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.03456v3>

⁷³Trefethen, L.N., *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice*, 2013. <https://www.chebfun.org/ATAP/>

⁷⁴<https://mathworld.wolfram.com/ChebyshevPolynomialoftheFirstKind.html>

greater. This relies on a theorem in chapter 7 of Trefethen (2013)⁷⁵ as well as a statement in note 1 at the end of this section.

- If the r -th derivative is nowhere decreasing or nowhere increasing on the interval $[a, b]$, then V can equal $\text{abs}(f(b) - f(a))$.
 - If the r -th derivative is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant M or less, then V can equal $M \cdot (b - a)$ (Kannan and Kreuger 1996)⁷⁶.
 - The required degree is thus $n = \text{ceil}(r + \frac{(b-a)}{2}(4V/(\pi r \epsilon))^{1/r}) \leq \text{ceil}(r + \frac{(b-a)}{2}(1.2733V/(r \epsilon))^{1/r})$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is the desired error tolerance.
- If f is real analytic on $[a, b]$, a better error bound is possible, but describing it requires ideas from complex analysis that are too advanced for this article. See chapter 8 of Trefethen (2013)⁷⁷.

-
1. Compute the required degree n as given above, with error tolerance $\epsilon/2$.
 2. Compute the values c_k as given above, which relate to f 's Chebyshev interpolant of degree n . There will be n plus one of these values, labeled c_0, \dots, c_n .
 3. Compute the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix M described in Theorem 1 of Rababah (2003)⁷⁸.
 4. Multiply the matrix by the transposed vector of values (c_0, \dots, c_n) to get the polynomial's Bernstein coefficients b_0, \dots, b_n . (Transposing means turning columns to rows and vice versa.)
 5. For each i , replace the Bernstein coefficient b_i with $\text{floor}(b_i/(\epsilon/2) + 1/2) \cdot (\epsilon/2)$.
 6. Return the Bernstein coefficients b_0, \dots, b_n .

Notes:

1. The following statement can be shown. Let $f(x)$ have a Lipschitz continuous $(r - 1)$ -th derivative on the interval $[a, b]$, where $r \geq 1$. If the r -th derivative of f has total variation V , then the r -th derivative of $g(x)$, where $g(x) = f(a + (b - a)(x + 1)/2)$, has total variation $V \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^r$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.
2. The method in this section doesn't require $f(\lambda)$ to have a particular minimum or maximum. If f must map the closed unit interval to itself and the Bernstein coefficients must lie on that interval, the following changes to the method are needed:
 - $f(\lambda)$ must be continuous on the closed unit interval ($a = 0, b = 1$) and take on only values in that interval.
 - If any Bernstein coefficient returned by the method is less than 0 or greater than 1, double the value of n and repeat the method starting at step 2 until that condition is no longer true.
3. It would be of interest to build Chebyshev-like interpolants that sample $f(\lambda)$ at *rational* values of λ that get closer to the Chebyshev points (e.g., $\cos(j\pi/n)$) with increasing n , and to find results that provide explicit bounds (with no hidden constants) on the approximation error that are close to those for Chebyshev interpolants.

9 License

Any copyright to this page is released to the Public Domain. In case this is not possible, this page is also licensed under **Creative Commons Zero**⁷⁹.

⁷⁵Trefethen, L.N., *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice*, 2013. <https://www.chebfun.org/ATAP/>

⁷⁶R. Kannan and C.K. Kreuger, *Advanced Analysis on the Real Line*, 1996.

⁷⁷Trefethen, L.N., *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice*, 2013. <https://www.chebfun.org/ATAP/>

⁷⁸Rababah, Abedallah. "Transformation of Chebyshev–Bernstein polynomial basis." *Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics* 3.4 (2003): 608-622. <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.2478/cmam-2003-0038/html>

⁷⁹<https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>