



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Psychiatr Serv. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2025 November 09.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Psychiatr Serv. 2025 November 01; 76(11): 1041. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.20250097.

Antipsychotics “As Needed”: An Understudied but Widespread Self-Managed Medication Use Pattern

Peter Phalen, Psy.D.¹, Will Hall, M.A.², Nev Jones, Ph.D.³

¹Division of Psychiatric Services Research, Department of Psychiatry, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore.

²School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

³School of Social Work, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh.

Many people take their medication less frequently than prescribed, but rates of nonadherence are higher for antipsychotics than for other medication classes (1). Researchers have attempted to characterize motivations for antipsychotic nonadherence, such as unacceptable adverse effects or unsatisfactory effectiveness (2). These studies usually dichotomize patients into “adherent” and “nonadherent” groups according to the percentage of prescribed doses taken, sometimes adding a third “partially adherent” category for patients with some arbitrary intermediate rate (3). Such a classification establishes one way of characterizing medication use, wherein greater adherence is typically considered more helpful.

We want to highlight an incommensurate kind of medication use pattern that we observed in a recent large international survey (W.H., principal investigator) of more than 6,000 adults who had ever attempted to discontinue antipsychotic medication (4). The study was initiated by patient-led consultation in community support groups and informed by a crowdsourced internet questionnaire circulated to 102 patient respondents, who broadly favored simple measurement scales with extensive opportunity for written responses. The survey was translated into English, Spanish, Portuguese, Danish, Dutch, Japanese, Hebrew, Polish, Slovenian, French, and Italian, with translations contracted with bilingual master’s-level health professionals. Recruitment occurred through local and national mental health agencies, professional networks, social media, e-mail lists, and through patient, professional, and family organizations.

In this survey, we found that 28% of respondents (N=1,071 of 3,886) reported having taken antipsychotics intermittently on a nondaily “as needed” basis. This prevalence remained quite consistent however we subdivided the sample (e.g., 30% of people with a self-reported schizophrenia diagnosis [N=142 of 474], 28% of people with a schizophrenia or schizoaffective diagnosis [N=216 of 768], and 29% of people with a psychosis spectrum diagnosis, including bipolar disorder with psychotic features [N=392 of 1,361]). In addition, of the 3,016 survey respondents who were still sometimes experiencing ongoing psychosis-

Send correspondence to Dr. Phalen (pphalen@som.umaryland.edu).
Dr. Phalen and Mr. Hall share first authorship.

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

like symptoms, 13% (N=395) were currently using antipsychotics on this “as needed” (PRN) basis to manage their experience.

We are aware of only one previous publication that has reported on this phenomenon of self-managed PRN antipsychotic use: a 1987 study by Harding et al. (5) found that 75% of participants with a schizophrenia diagnosis reported taking their antipsychotic medication as prescribed; however, the authors noted that one-third of that 75% “eventually told” field interviewers that they were taking medication only when they had active symptoms. We wonder whether participants in other studies similarly do not spontaneously volunteer this pattern of proactive “as needed” antipsychotic use.

People take medication in many ways and for many reasons. The use of antipsychotics other than prescribed may variously be the result of forgetfulness or ambivalence, or it may be a proactive coping strategy. These kinds of “nonadherence” are likely very different from one another.

Future studies should investigate self-managed PRN antipsychotic use to more accurately disambiguate medication strategies that are not sufficiently captured by the term “nonadherence.” Such work could improve understanding of this widespread patient-directed method of coping with symptoms, measure the outcomes of such strategies, and illuminate possible prescription approaches best suited to patient needs.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Phalen is supported by National Institute of Mental Health grant 5K23MH125024-03.

REFERENCES

1. Kane JM, Kishimoto T, Correll CU: Non-adherence to medication in patients with psychotic disorders: epidemiology, contributing factors and management strategies. *World Psychiatry* 2013; 12:216–226 [PubMed: 24096780]
2. Wade M, Tai S, Awenat Y, et al. : A systematic review of service-user reasons for adherence and nonadherence to neuroleptic medication in psychosis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2017; 51:75–95 [PubMed: 27838461]
3. Velligan DI, Maples NJ, Pokorny JJ, et al. : Assessment of adherence to oral antipsychotic medications: what has changed over the past decade? *Schizophr Res* 2020; 215:17–24 [PubMed: 31767511]
4. Hall W: Maastricht Antipsychotic Withdrawal Survey, 2025. <https://www.antipsychoticwithdrawalsurvey.com>
5. Harding CM, Brooks GW, Ashikaga T, et al. : The Vermont longitudinal study of persons with severe mental illness, II: long-term outcome of subjects who retrospectively met DSM-III criteria for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 1987; 144:727–735 [PubMed: 3591992]