

# Analysis of 2002 Incarceration data from NLSY97

Peter (Tun-Shuo) Lee

Spring 2022

## 1 Introduction

Systematic inequity between racial groups in the US has always been a widely debated topic. Differences in employment, education, income, have placed many families at a disadvantage that spans across generations. Amongst the racial treatment discussions, perhaps one of most contentious is of the criminal justice system and whether its representatives judge the cases differently based on the defendants' ethnicity. This paper will analyze the racial composition of the **National Longitudinal Study of Youth** (NLSY)'s data from 2002, in particular, the racial and gender distribution of the incarcerated. While this short analysis aims not to provide a definitive conclusion to the ongoing debate, it does provide quantitative evidence on the difference (or lack there of) of incarcerations in youth across race and gender.

## 2 Analysis

The data set NLSY97 included 8621 individuals' gender and race information, as well as whether they were incarcerated during each month in 2002. By first generating an indicator variable for whether an individual has been incarcerated at any month during 2002, summing up each individual, and dividing by the total number of individuals within each gender and race group, we essentially find the rate of incarceration of the youths for each race and gender. The data is summarized in the table below:

Table 1: Incarceration Rates in 2002 by Race and Gender

Gender	Black	Hispanic	Mixed Race Non Hispanic	Non Black Non Hispanic
Female	0.0079225	0.0066225	0.0238095	0.0059798
Male	0.0602740	0.0309498	0.0000000	0.0235602

An interesting trend arises in that males have a generally higher incarceration rate than females. This trend is present for all races, except for Mixed Race NonHispanic, where there are no recorded male incarcerations. Our findings can be better interpreted once visualized:




Figure 1: Mean Number of Incarcerations in 2002 by Race and Gender

For Black, Hispanic and Non-Black Non-Hispanic individuals, the incarceration rate for men is higher than for women. The difference is the largest for Black males, then Hispanic, and the lowest being NonBlack/NonHispanic. With both male and female considered, Black individuals are the most likely to be incarcerated. Hispanic teens comes next, and lastly, NonBlack/ NonHispanic. Surprisingly, the incarceration rate for Mixed Raced, NonHispanic women are higher than for women of all other races, and yet, there are no recorded incarcerations for Mixed Race, NonHispanic men.

### 3 Regression

We regressed the incarceration rate on categorical variables representing race and gender. We omitted Black Females to avoid multicollinearity.

$$incarcerationrate = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Hispanic + \beta_2 MixedRace(NonHispanic) + \beta_3 NonBlack/NonHispanic + \beta_4 Male + \varepsilon$$

All coefficient values are significant at a 1% level, except for the indicator variable for Mixed Race (NonHispanic) individuals. The results show that there are significant differences amongst incarceration rate for individuals of different race and gender. Black women drawn from the sample are expected to have an incarceration rate of 2%. On the other hand, if the random individual drawn from the sample were a man, he would be expected to have a 2.8% higher incarceration rate than if he were female. Compared to black women, being any other race tends to have lower expected incarceration rates, with 1.5% lower for Hispanic, 2.1% lower for Mixed Race, and 1.9% lower for NonBlack/NonHispanic individuals.

Table 2: Regression Output. Omitted category is Black Females.

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>
	Incarceration Rate, 2002
Hispanic	−0.015*** (0.005)
Mixed Race (Non-Hispanic)	−0.021 (0.013)
Non-Black / Non-Hispanic	−0.019*** (0.004)
Male	0.028*** (0.003)
Constant	0.020*** (0.003)
Observations	8,621
R <sup>2</sup>	0.012
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.012
Residual Std. Error	0.141 (df = 8616)
F Statistic	27.193*** (df = 4; 8616)
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

## 4 Conclusion

The results from the data shows that there exists differences in incarceration rates based on gender and race. In particular, men are expected to have higher incarceration rates, and black individuals have the highest incarceration rates of all the other races; however, there only exists correlation. The paper's evidence cannot support, nor deny the existence of race based discrimination in the American Judicial System.

The analysis solely provides qualitative evidence, and illustrates the simple fact that there exists a difference. Since variables like income, family size, education levels, drug use, and other affiliated variables are omitted, the estimated coefficients may suffer from omitted variable bias, making attributing the difference in incarceration rates to gender and race.

More insights may be revealed when socioeconomic and economic factors are considered. As low education rates, and access to drugs often drive an individual to pursue illegal activities, I suspect their effects would reduce the differences amongst individuals of different race. This IS after all a debate rooted in the socioeconomic and economic differences between races, and those same factors would still contribute to the higher incarceration rate for certain races even when the court were truly impartial. The evidence of this paper is not able to differentiate between higher incarceration rates purely due to biased a judicial system, and due to the actual just rulings for crimes that are disporportionally committed more by members of certain races. Further studies and expansions on the current methodology are required to answer the question. These future studies may also identify opporutnities and alternative improvements to the incarceration of teens, such as improved access to education, food security, and healthcare.