

¹ FEniCS-SZ: two-dimensional modeling of the thermal structure of subduction zones

³ Cian R. Wilson  ^{1*}, Cameron Seebeck^{1*}, Kidus Teshome^{1*}, Nathan Sime  ^{1*}, and Peter E. van Keken  ^{1*}

⁵ 1 Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, Washington D.C., United States  ¶
⁶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

⁷ Summary

⁸ Plate tectonics ... subduction zones ... volcanoes, earthquakes,... metamorphism temperature control ([van Keken & Wilson, 2023](#))

¹⁰ Figure of SZ thermal structure with oceanic and continental Moho.

Editor: [Open Journals](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright

and release the work under a

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0,

International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

¹¹ FEniCS-SZ is cool and is based on Wilson & van Keken ([2023](#)).
¹² FEniCS-SZ is intended also for classroom use and interactive work via a Jupyter notebooks ([Wilson et al., 2025](#)) that explore the FEM examples in Wilson & van Keken ([2023](#)). The didactic nature of these tutorials (progressing from the stand-alone Poisson and Stokes equations, reproduction of mantle convection benchmarks, to the fully coupled set of time-dependent equations used in the subduction models) augments the FEniCSX Tutorial ([Dokken, 2023](#)), which is itself built on the FEniCS Tutorial ([Langtangen & Logg, 2016](#)).

¹⁹ Comparison with other approaches

²⁰ Thermal models of subduction zones that are most useful in the prediction of metamorphic
²¹ dehydration reactions and their role in seismogenesis and seismic structure, slab dehydration,
²² arc volcanism, and the long term chemical evolution of the Earth require high numerical
²³ resolution, faithful gridding of material boundaries (such as the slab surface and oceanic Moho),
²⁴ and ability to handle velocity discontinuities along the seismogenic zone and its extension to
²⁵ about 80 km depth. Semi-analytical techniques can be used successfully along the shallow
²⁶ plate interface to limited depth (see discussion and references in van Keken et al. ([2019](#))),
²⁷ but the effects of the cornerflow with realistic mantle rheology requires numerical solution of
²⁸ the Stokes and heat equations. A number of dynamical approaches exist that can be used
²⁹ to trace subduction zone thermal evolution ([Holt & Condit \(2021\)](#), [Gerya \(2011\)](#)) but these
³⁰ provide slab evolution models that are difficult to use when predicting the thermal structure of
³¹ present-day subduction zones since geometry and convergence parameters such as convergence
³² speed cannot be controlled. Other workers have provided finite element and finite difference
³³ approaches to study the thermal structure (e.g. [Wada & Wang \(2009\)](#); [Lee & King \(2009\)](#);
³⁴ [Lin et al. \(2010\)](#); [Rees Jones et al. \(2018\)](#); [van Zelst et al. \(2023\)](#)). These approaches
³⁵ have shown good comparisons with other codes in a code intercomparison ([van Keken et al.,](#)
³⁶ [2008](#)), by reproduction of benchmark cases therein, or in direct intercomparisons ([van Keken &](#)
³⁷ [Wilson, 2023](#)). Many of these subduction implementations, however, are not readily available
³⁸ as open source software even if they are based on general open source finite element software.

³⁹ **Software design**

⁴⁰ **Research impact statement**

⁴¹ **AI usage disclosure**

⁴² No information or code was harmed by AI.

⁴³ **Acknowledgements**

⁴⁴ We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) grants (EAR-1850634
⁴⁵ and EAR-202102) and the Carnegie Institution for Science through its summer intern programs
⁴⁶ (SURI, 2023 and EPIIC, 2024) sponsored principally by NSF (EAR-2244322) DUE XXXX.

⁴⁷ **References**

- ⁴⁸ Dokken, J. S. (2023). *The FEniCSx Tutorial*. <https://jsdokken.com/dolfinx-tutorial/>
- ⁴⁹ Gerya, T. (2011). Future directions in subduction modeling. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 52,
⁵⁰ 344–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2011.06.005>
- ⁵¹ Holt, A. F., & Condit, C. B. (2021). Slab temperature evolution over the lifetime of a
⁵² subduction zone. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22, e2020GC009476. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009476>
- ⁵⁴ Langtangen, H. P., & Logg, A. (2016). *Solving PDEs in Python* (p. 146). Springer Open.
⁵⁵ <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-52462-7>
- ⁵⁶ Lee, C., & King, S. D. (2009). Effect of mantle compressibility on the thermal and flow
⁵⁷ structures of the subduction zones. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 10, Q01006.
⁵⁸ <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002151>
- ⁵⁹ Lin, S.-C., Kuo, B.-Y., & S-L, C. (2010). Thermomechanical models for the dynamics and
⁶⁰ melting processes in the Marianas subduction zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
⁶¹ Earth*, 115, B12403. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007658>
- ⁶² Rees Jones, D. W., Katz, R. J., Tian, M., & Rudge, J. F. (2018). Thermal impact of
⁶³ magmatism in subduction zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 481, 73–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.015>
- ⁶⁵ van Keken, P. E., Currie, C., King, S. D., Behn, M. D., Cagnioncle, A., He, J., Katz, R.
⁶⁶ F., Lin, S.-C., Parmentier, E. M., Spiegelman, M., & Wang, K. (2008). A community
⁶⁷ benchmark for subduction zone modeling. *Phys Earth Planet Int*, 171, 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2008.04.015>
- ⁶⁹ van Keken, P. E., Wada, I., Sime, N., & Abers, G. A. (2019). Thermal structure of the
⁷⁰ forearc in subduction zones: A comparison of methodologies. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,
⁷¹ Geosystems*, 20, 3268–3288. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008334>
- ⁷² van Keken, P. E., & Wilson, C. R. (2023). An introductory review of the thermal structure of
⁷³ subduction zones: III—Comparison between models and observations. *Progress in Earth
⁷⁴ and Planetary Science*, 10, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-023-00589-5>
- ⁷⁵ van Zelst, I., Thieulot, C., & Craig, T. J. (2023). The effect of temperature-dependent
⁷⁶ material properties on simple thermal models of subduction zones. *Solid Earth*, 14,
⁷⁷ 683–707. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-14-683-2023>
- ⁷⁸ Wada, I., & Wang, K. (2009). Common depth of slab-mantle decoupling: Reconciling

79 diversity and uniformity of subduction zones. *Geochem Geophys Geosys*, 10, Q10009.
80 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002570>

81 Wilson, C. R., Seebeck, C., Teshome, K., Sime, N., & van Keken, P. E. (2025). *The FEniCS*
82 *Subduction Zone Jupyter Book*. <https://github.com/cianwilson/fenics-sz/blob/main/readme.md>

84 Wilson, C. R., & van Keken, P. E. (2023). An introductory review of the thermal structure of
85 subduction zones: II—numerical approach and validation. *Progress in Earth and Planetary
86 Science*, 10, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-023-00588-6>

DRAFT