

¹ FEniCS-SZ: two-dimensional modeling of the thermal structure of subduction zones

³ Cian R. Wilson  ^{1*}, Cameron Seebeck^{1*}, Kidus Teshome^{1*}, Nathan Sime  ^{1*}, and Peter E. van Keken  ^{1*}

⁵ 1 Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, Washington D.C., United States  ¶
⁶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

⁷ Summary

⁸ Plate tectonics ... subduction zones ... volcanoes, earthquakes,... metamorphism temperature control ([van Keken & Wilson, 2023](#))

¹⁰ Figure of SZ thermal structure with oceanic and continental Moho.

Editor: [Open Journals](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).
¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ¹⁸

¹¹ Statement of need

¹² FEniCS-SZ is cool and is based on Wilson & van Keken ([2023](#)).

¹³ FEniCS-SZ is intended also for classroom use and interactive work via a Jupyter notebooks ([Wilson et al., 2025](#)) that explore the FEM examples in Wilson & van Keken ([2023](#)). The didactic nature of these tutorials (progressing from the stand-alone Poisson and Stokes equations, reproduction of mantle convection benchmarks, to the fully coupled set of time-dependent equations used in the subduction models) augments the FEniCSX Tutorial ([Dokken, 2023](#)), which is itself built on the FEniCS Tutorial ([Langtangen & Logg, 2016](#)).

¹⁹ Comparison with other approaches

²⁰ Thermal models of subduction zones that are most useful in the prediction of metamorphic dehydration reactions and their role in seismogenesis and seismic structure, slab dehydration, arc volcanism, and the long term chemical evolution of the Earth require high numerical resolution, faithful gridding of material boundaries (such as the slab surface and oceanic Moho), and ability to handle velocity discontinuities along the seismogenic zone and its extension to about 80 km depth. Semi-analytical techniques can be used successfully along the shallow plate interface to limited depth (see discussion and references in van Keken et al. ([2019](#))), but the effects of the cornerflow with realistic mantle rheology requires numerical solution of the Stokes and heat equations. A number of dynamical approaches exist that can be used to trace subduction zone thermal evolution ([Holt & Condit \(2021\)](#), [Gerya \(2011\)](#)) but these provide slab evolution models that are difficult to use when predicting the thermal structure of present-day subduction zones since geometry and convergence parameters such as convergence speed cannot be controlled. Other workers have provided finite element and finite difference approaches to study the thermal structure (e.g. [Wada & Wang \(2009\)](#); [Lee & King \(2009\)](#); [Lin et al. \(2010\)](#); [Rees Jones et al. \(2018\)](#)). While these approaches have shown good comparisons with other codes in a benchmark ([van Keken et al., 2008](#)) or in direct comparisons ([van Keken & Wilson, 2023](#)) they are generally not available as open source software.
²¹ ²² ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶ ²⁷ ²⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ ³¹ ³² ³³ ³⁴ ³⁵ ³⁶

³⁷ **Software design**

³⁸ **Research impact statement**

³⁹ **AI usage disclosure**

⁴⁰ No information or code was harmed by AI.

⁴¹ **Acknowledgements**

⁴² We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) grants (EAR-1850634
⁴³ and EAR-202102) and the Carnegie Institution for Science through its summer intern programs
⁴⁴ (SURI, 2023 and EPIIC, 2024) sponsored principally by NSF DUE XXXX.

⁴⁵ **References**

- ⁴⁶ Dokken, J. S. (2023). *The FEniCSx Tutorial*. <https://jsdokken.com/dolfinx-tutorial/>
- ⁴⁷ Gerya, T. (2011). Future directions in subduction modeling. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 52,
⁴⁸ 344–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2011.06.005>
- ⁴⁹ Holt, A. F., & Condit, C. B. (2021). Slab temperature evolution over the lifetime of a
⁵⁰ subduction zone. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 22.
- ⁵¹ Langtangen, H. P., & Logg, A. (2016). *Solving PDEs in Python* (p. 146). Springer Open.
⁵² <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-52462-7>
- ⁵³ Lee, C., & King, S. D. (2009). Effect of mantle compressibility on the thermal and flow
⁵⁴ structures of the subduction zones. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002151>
- ⁵⁵ Lin, S.-C., Kuo, B.-Y., & S-L, C. (2010). Thermomechanical models for the dynamics and
⁵⁶ melting processes in the Marianas subduction zone. *J Geophys Res: Solid Earth*, 115.
- ⁵⁷ Rees Jones, D. W., Katz, R. J., Tian, M., & Rudge, J. F. (2018). Thermal impact of
⁵⁸ magmatism in subduction zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 481, 73–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.015>
- ⁵⁹ van Keken, P. E., Currie, C., King, S. D., Behn, M. D., Cagnioncle, A., He, J., Katz, R.
⁶⁰ F., Lin, S.-C., Parmentier, E. M., Spiegelman, M., & Wang, K. (2008). A community
⁶¹ benchmark for subduction zone modeling. *Phys Earth Planet Int*, 171, 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2008.04.015>
- ⁶² van Keken, P. E., Wada, I., Sime, N., & Abers, G. A. (2019). Thermal structure of the
⁶³ forearc in subduction zones: A comparison of methodologies. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,
⁶⁴ Geosystems*, 20, 3268–3288. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008334>
- ⁶⁵ van Keken, P. E., & Wilson, C. R. (2023). An introductory review of the thermal structure of
⁶⁶ subduction zones: III—Comparison between models and observations. *Progress in Earth
⁶⁷ and Planetary Science*, 10(1), 1–18.
- ⁶⁸ Wada, I., & Wang, K. (2009). Common depth of slab-mantle decoupling: Reconciling diversity
⁶⁹ and uniformity of subduction zones. *Geochem Geophys Geosys*, 10.
- ⁷⁰ Wilson, C. R., Seebeck, C., Teshome, K., Sime, N., & van Keken, P. E. (2025). *The FEniCS
⁷¹ Subduction Zone Jupyter Book*. <https://github.com/cianwilson/fenics-sz/blob/main/readme.md>

- ⁷⁶ Wilson, C. R., & van Keken, P. E. (2023). An introductory review of the thermal structure of
⁷⁷ subduction zones: II—numerical approach and validation. *Progress in Earth and Planetary
⁷⁸ Science*, 10(1), 1–29.

DRAFT