Vectorization of the VELO-UT tracking Short internal report

Péter Kardos

1 Artifacts

All code is on branch pkardos_PrVeloUtOpt.

1.1 New VELO-UT algorithm & hit handler

Rec/Pr/PrVeloUT/src/ Constants.h Constants.cpp PrVeloUtOpt.h PrVeloUtOpt.cpp PrStoreUtHitOpt.h PrStoreUtHitOpt.cpp UtHitSpacePartition.h UtHitSpacePartition.cpp UtUtil.h UtUtil.cpp

Next to the original PrVeloUT algorithm, I added another one called PrVeloUtOpt. The new algorithm, PrVeloutOpt, is mostly the same, but its code is in line with clean coding practices (as much as was possible) and it is vectorized and optimized. The HitHandler is replaced by the UtHitSpacePartition which uses uniform grid space partitioning instead of per-sector partitioning. The PrStoreUtHitOpt algorithm writes hits in this new scheme. Contants are hardcoded properties of the detector geometry, UtUtil contains solution to common problems such as accessing $B\ dL$ values.

1.2 SOA data model "framework"

```
Rec/Pr/PrVeloUT/src/
SoaContainerify.hpp
```

Adds resize, reserve and size methods to SOA struct declarations using the boost::PFR library to discover and manipulate individual member variables at compile time.

Example:

```
struct PointsBase {
    std::vector<float> x;
    std::vector<float> y;
    std::array<std::vector<int>, 3> meta;
};

using Points = SoaContainerify<PointsBase>;

Points points;
points.resize(10);
assert(points.x.size() == 10);
assert(points.y.size() == 10);
assert(points.meta[0].size() == 10);
assert(points.size() == 10);
assert(points.size() == 10);
```

1.3 Supporting code

```
Rec/Pr/PrVeloUT/src/
SimdUtil.h
StackAllocator.h
AlignedAllocator.hpp
```

SimdUtil contains some stuff to wrap Vc and give matching vector sizes for the VELO-UT algorithm. It also contains SIMD pruning helper function that are interoperable with Vc

The StackAllocator is a simple STL allocator that acquires aligned chunks of memory from a large upfront memory pool.

The AlignedAllocator is an STL allocator that wraps STL's aligned_alloc functions to force different alignment than std::allocator<T> would give. Disables zero-initialization of primitive arithmetic types such as float.

1.4 Boost PFR library

```
Rec/Pr/PrVeloUT/src/boost/*
```

A template metaprogramming helper library that provides a tuple-like interface for plain structs. Not officially part of boost.

1.5 Auxiliary algorithms

```
Rec/Pr/PrMCTools/src/PrLHCbID2MCParticle.cpp
```

As the new algorithm no longer uses the HitHandler, the PrLHCbID2MCParticle had to be modified to extract the LHCbIDs from an UtHitSpacePartition object.

2 Implementation details

Please check out the *Design of the improved algorithm* section of my thesis report for a detailed description.

3 Results

Straight to the point:

In isolated benchmarks, on busy events, the optimized version is about 2.5 times faster than the original. In the framework, it is somewhat less. The old version produces 12300 evt/s whereas the new does 12600 with this and this configuration. add exact number and exact config

The efficiencies are: insert efficiency table from checker

4 How to integrate, properly

4.1 Current state

All it takes to get the new algorithm working is changing three lines in the configuration files to start using them. The algorithm runs properly and produces the expected results.

4.2 Arranging files

I added all new code to Rec/Pr/PrVeloUT/src/, including support files and third party libs. That is obviously not correct. Boost PFR needs to be merged with boost in LCG. The helper files, such as the SOA framework and UT tools may be useful in other contexts, and should be moved accordingly.

4.3 CMake dependencies

Pr/PrMCTools/CMakeLists.txt is forced to depend on a sibling library, Pr/PrVeloUT/C-MakeLists.txt, because it requires the definitions of the UtHitSpacePartition. This is not good, and it can be solved by moving UtHitSpacePartition (and all its dependencies) to LHCb, which is also not good because there is no other reason to move these files.

Pr/PrVeloUT/CMakeLists.txt was hacked to emit a library to be consumed by Pr/PrM-CTools/CMakeLists.txt, which is not good either – this is because MC matching (PrlHCbID2MCParticle. needs to definition of the UtHitSpacePartition. Additionally, it now depends on Vc libraries, that's perfectly fine.

4.4 Contants.h

Most information in this file should be retrieved from geometry services instead of being hardcoded. The file should be deleted and the rest of the code updated accordingly.

4.5 Namespaces, names

Naming and namespaces are off, as there is not a clear convention in the current software. A clear convention should be decided and code adjusted to it.


4.6 Compilation

Flags AVX2, BMI and BMI2 need to be specified. This basically means Haswell.

5 Future work and known issues


5.1 Align space partitioning properly on Y

The uniform grid space partitioning should be tightly aligned to UT sensor rows. The grid's Y ticks should be exactly where the non-tilted sensors of the UT meet, possibly shifted a few millimeters up or down to make the most of the overlap of the UT sensors when the track hits at an angle. Currently I just took the upper and lower limits of the UT from the TDR an divided it into 14 equal rows.



5.2 Add double binning on Y

Efficiencies are slightly lower compared to the original PrVeloUT, this is probably because there is no double binning on the Y axis - that is, only fiber hits from a single row are considered. In case the track hits between two rows, the overlap of the rows should take care of the problem, but for U and V layers hits may still be missed due to the tilt. By looking up both adjacent rows, one burns some more CPU cycles but it may help with efficiency. To be tried.



5.3 Vectorize aligned hit searching

FindLinesForTrack is a heavy CPU consumer yet it is not vectorized at all. The loops are too small and branching makes it impractical. Maybe there is a clever way? Maybe a completely different algorithm? Maybe vectorizing it over tracks instead?