

**Exercise 4.1**

We have the root  $\alpha = \sqrt[4]{-2} = \zeta_8 \sqrt[4]{2}$  and every other root is of the form  $\zeta_4^n \alpha$  where  $\zeta_4 = i, \zeta_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  are roots of unity. Thus the splitting field is

$$\mathbb{Q}(i, \zeta_8, \sqrt[4]{2}) = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})$$

We get the equality since  $\zeta_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})$

We have that the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  (over both  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ ) is

$$x^4 - 2 = (x - \sqrt[4]{2})(x - i\sqrt[4]{2})(x + \sqrt[4]{2})(x + i\sqrt[4]{2})$$

The reason this is minimal is because it has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and combining any two of the linear factors does not yield a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  since the constant term will be of the form  $\pm\sqrt{2}$  or  $\pm i\sqrt{2}$ .

Letting  $K$  be the splitting field we have that any  $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is fully determined by  $\varphi(\sqrt[4]{2})$  and  $\varphi(i)$ . When fixing  $i$  we have that the group of automorphisms is cyclic.

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}(i)) = C_4$$

Where we have the generator  $g$  Defined by  $g(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i\sqrt[4]{2}$ . Notice that

$$g(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i\sqrt[4]{2}, g^2(\sqrt[4]{2}) = -\sqrt[4]{2}, g^3(\sqrt[4]{2}) = -i\sqrt[4]{2}, g^4(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \sqrt[4]{2}$$

The orbit of  $g$  contains all possible automorphisms. Over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we can permute the roots of  $x^2 + 1$ . We have the automorphism  $f$  sending  $i \rightarrow -i$  while fixing  $\sqrt[4]{2}$ . Notice we have

$$f \circ g = g^3 \circ f, f^2 = \text{id}$$

The first equality comes from evaluating at the roots  $f \circ g(\sqrt[4]{2}) = f(i\sqrt[4]{2}) = -i\sqrt[4]{2}, f \circ g(i) = f(i) = -i$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is the group generated by  $f$  and  $g$  we can conclude

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong D_8$$

where  $D_8$  is the order 8 dihedral group

**Exercise 4.2****Exercise 4.3**

If there was an infinite number of roots of unity in  $K$  then for each  $N > 0$  there must be a  $n$  such that  $n > N$ , and  $\zeta_n \in K$  (since there are only finitely many roots of unity of degree less than  $N$ ). Thus we have

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq [\zeta_n : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n)$$

The Euler Phi function is bounded below (this is a commonly known lower bound)

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Thus we would contradict finiteness of  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  since it is bounded from below by a number that for large choice of  $N$  becomes arbitrarily large.

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq \varphi(n) \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

#### Exercise 4.4

We have that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We have the chain of extensions

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn})$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn})$$

This yields

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : K] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : K] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : K]$$

Thus if we show it is the case that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)] = \varphi(m)$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)] = \varphi(n)$  then it must be the case that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : K] = \varphi(m)$ . From the following fact this means that  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : K][K : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(m) \Rightarrow [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$$

Therefore all we must show is  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)] = \varphi(m)$  (since labeling is arbitrary this will imply  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{nm}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)] = \varphi(n)$ ).

We have that

$$\varphi(mn) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)][\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)]\varphi(m)$$

Since  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, we have that  $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$  and thus dividing by  $\varphi(m)$  on both sides yields the desired result

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)] = \varphi(n)$$

#### Exercise 4.5

We can use strong induction, first establishing a base case:

For  $n = 1$  we have

$$\Phi_1(-x) = -x - 1 = -\Phi_2(x)$$

for  $n = 3$ :

$$\Phi_3(-x) = x^2 - x + 1 = \Phi_6(x)$$

For the inductive step we use the well established identity:

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$$

We can reorder the product for  $2n$  since each divisor of  $n$  must be odd:

$$x^{2n} - 1 = \prod_{d|2n} \Phi_d = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \Phi_{2d}(x)$$

We also have the factorization  $x^{2n} - 1 = (x^n - 1)(x^n + 1)$ . Since  $n$  is odd,  $x^n + 1 = -((-x)^n - 1)$ :

$$= -(x^n - 1)((-x)^n - 1) = - \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(-x)$$

So we have

$$\prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \Phi_{2d}(x) = - \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(-x)$$

From our inductive hypothesis, for each  $d < n, d \neq 1$  we have  $\Phi_d(-x) = \Phi_{2d}(x)$ , thus we can divide on both sides

$$\Phi_{2n}(x) \Phi_1(x) \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \prod_{d|n, 1 < d < n} \Phi_d(-x) = - \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(-x)$$

$$\Phi_{2n}(x) \Phi_1(x) = -\Phi_2(-x) \Phi_n(-x)$$

Since  $\Phi_1(x) = -\Phi_2(-x)$  we get our equality

$$\Phi_{2n}(x) = \Phi_n(-x)$$

#### Exercise 4.6

(a) We have

$$n! = |\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})| = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$$

If  $f$  were reducible, then  $f$  can be factored as such  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$  where  $\deg(h), \deg(g) \geq 1$ . Letting  $H$  be the splitting field of  $h$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we have

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : H][H : \mathbb{Q}]$$

We know that  $[H : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \deg(h)!$  and  $[K : H] \leq \deg(g)!$ . Since  $n = \deg(h) + \deg(g)$  and  $\deg(h), \deg(g) \geq 1$ , it is the case

$$n! > \deg(h)! \deg(g)! \geq [K : H][H : \mathbb{Q}] = n!$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus  $f$  cannot be factored

(b) Any automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q})$  is fully determined by  $\varphi(\alpha)$ . If it was the case that  $\varphi$  was not the identity, then it must be the case  $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$  where  $\beta \neq \alpha$  is a root of  $f$ . Thus  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Then it would be the case that letting  $h(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)[x]$ , that  $h(x)|f(x) \Rightarrow f(x) = h(x)g(x)$ . From this we have the following

$$n! = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$$

We have that  $K/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is the splitting field of  $g$  and so  $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] \leq \deg(g)!$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f) = n$ . Since  $\deg(g) = \deg(f) - 2 = n - 2$  we are led to the contradiction

$$n! \leq n \cdot (n - 2)!$$

Thus the only possible automorphism is the identity

(c) If  $\alpha^n = a \in \mathbb{Q}$  then the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  would have to be

$$x^n - a$$

So  $f(x) = x^n - a$  since  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ .

All other roots of  $f$  would be of the form  $\alpha\zeta_n^k$  for some  $k$ , and this will not yield a Galois group isomorphic to  $S_n$ . The Galois group would be the cross product of cyclic groups generated by the two automorphisms that send  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\zeta_n$  and  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta_n^p$  (where  $p$  has order  $\varphi(n)$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ )