1 Local expansion of the fitness surface

Suppose that $\rho(t) \geq 0$ is a weighting function on $[0, \infty)$ so that fitness is a function of $L^2(\rho)$ distance of the impulse response from optimal. With $h_0(t) = C_0 e^{tA_0} B_0$ a representative of the optimal set:

$$D(A, B, C)^{2} := \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(t) |h_{A}(t) - h_{0}(t)|^{2} dt$$

$$:= \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(t) |Ce^{At}B - C_{0}e^{A_{0}t}B_{0}|^{2} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left(Ce^{At}B - C_{0}e^{A_{0}t}B_{0} \right)^{T} \left(Ce^{At}B - C_{0}e^{A_{0}t}B_{0} \right) \right\} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left(Ce^{At}B - C_{0}e^{A_{0}t}B_{0} \right) \left(Ce^{At}B - C_{0}e^{A_{0}t}B_{0} \right)^{T} \right\} dt,$$

$$(1)$$

where tr X denotes the trace of a square matrix X. How does this change as we perturb about (A_0, B_0, C_0) ? First we differentiate with respect to A, keeping $B = B_0$ and $C = C_0$ fixed. Since

$$\frac{d}{du}e^{(A+uZ)t}|_{u=0} = \int_0^t e^{As} Z e^{A(t-s)} ds,$$
(2)

we have that

$$\frac{d}{du}D(A+uZ,B_0,C_0)^2|_{u=0} = 2\int_0^\infty \rho(t)\operatorname{tr}\left\{C_0\left(\int_0^t e^{As}Ze^{A(t-s)}ds\right)B_0B_0^T\left(e^{At} - e^{A_0t}\right)^TC_0^T\right\}dt
= 2\int_0^\infty \rho(t)\operatorname{tr}\left\{C_0\left(\int_0^t e^{As}Ze^{A(t-s)}ds\right)B_0\left(h_A(t) - h_0(t)\right)^T\right\}dt$$
(3)

and, by differentiating this and supposing that A is on the optimal set, i.e., $h_A(t) = h_0(t)$, (so without loss of generality, $A = A_0$):

$$\mathcal{H}^{A,A}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0 + uY + vZ, B_0, C_0)^2|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ C_0 \left(\int_0^t e^{A_0 s} Y e^{A_0(t-s)} ds \right) B_0 B_0^T \left(\int_0^t e^{A_0 s} Z e^{A_0(t-s)} ds \right)^T C_0^T \right\} dt.$$
(4)

The function \mathcal{H} will define a quadratic form. To illustrate the use of this, suppose that B and C are fixed. By defining Δ_{ij} to be the matrix with a 1 in the (i,j)th slot and 0 elsewhere, the coefficients of the quadratic form is

$$H_{ii,k\ell}(A) := \mathcal{H}(\Delta_{ii}, \Delta_{k\ell}). \tag{5}$$

We could use this to get the quadratic approximation to D near the optimal set. To do so, it'd be nice to have a way to compute the inner integral above. Suppose that we diagonalize $A = U\Lambda U^{-1}$. Then

$$\int_{0}^{t} e^{As} Z e^{A(t-s)} ds = \int_{0}^{t} U e^{\Lambda s} U^{-1} Z U e^{\Lambda(t-s)} U^{-1} ds$$
 (6)

Now, notice that

$$\int_{0}^{t} e^{s\lambda_{i}} e^{(t-s)\lambda_{j}} ds = \frac{e^{t\lambda_{i}} - e^{t\lambda_{j}}}{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j}} \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$$

$$= te^{t\lambda_{i}} \quad \text{if} \quad i = j$$

$$(7)$$

Therefore, defining

$$X_{ij}(t,Z) = (U^{-1}ZU)_{ij} \frac{e^{t\lambda_i} - e^{t\lambda_j}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \qquad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$$

$$= (U^{-1}ZU)_{ii} t e^{t\lambda_i} \qquad \text{if} \quad i = j$$
(8)

moving the U and U^{-1} outside the integral and integrating we get that

$$\int_{0}^{t} e^{As} Z e^{A(t-s)} ds = UX(t, Z)U^{-1}.$$
 (9)

This implies that

$$D(A_0 + \epsilon Z)^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ CUX(t, Z)U^{-1}BB^T (U^{-1})^T X(t, Z)^T U^T C^T \right\} dt. \tag{10}$$

To compute the $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix H, we see that if $Z = \Delta_{k\ell}$, then

$$X_{ij}^{k\ell}(t) = (U^{-1})_{\cdot k} U_{\ell} \cdot \frac{e^{t\lambda_i} - e^{t\lambda_j}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \qquad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$$

$$= (U^{-1})_{\cdot k} U_{\ell} \cdot t e^{t\lambda_i} \qquad \text{if} \quad i = j$$

$$(11)$$

where U_k is the kth row of U, and so

$$H_{ij,k\ell}(A) = \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ CU X^{ij}(t) U^{-1} B B^T (U^{-1})^T X^{k\ell}(t)^T U^T C^T \right\} dt.$$
 (12)

This implies that

$$D(A_0 + \epsilon Z)^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \sum_{ijk\ell} H_{ij,k\ell}(A_0) Z_{ij} Z_{k\ell}. \tag{13}$$

By section ??, if we set $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$ and U = H, then a population at $A_0 + Z$ experiences a restoring force of strength $(I + \sigma^2 H^{-1})^{-1} Z$ (treating Z as a vector and H as an operator on these). If σ^2 is small compared to H^{-1} then this is approximately $-\sigma^2 H^{-1} Z$. This suggests that the population mean follows an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, as described (in different terms) in Hansen and Martins [1996].

More generally, B and C may also change. To extend this we need the remaining second derivatives of D^2 . First, in B:

$$\mathcal{H}^{B,B}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0, B_0 + uY + vZ, C_0)|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ C_0 e^{tA_0} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} (uY + vZ) (uY + vZ)^T |_{u=v=0} e^{tA_0^T} C_0^T \right\} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ C_0 e^{tA_0} \left(YZ^T + ZY^T \right) e^{tA_0^T} C_0^T \right\} dt.$$
(14)

Next, in C:

$$\mathcal{H}^{B,B}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0, B_0, C_0 + uY + vZ)|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ B_0 e^{tA_0^T} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} (uY + vZ)^T (uY + vZ)|_{u=v=0} e^{tA_0} B_0 \right\} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ B_0 e^{tA_0^T} \left(YZ^T + ZY^T \right) e^{tA_0} B_0 \right\} dt.$$
(15)

Now, the mixed derivatives in B and C:

$$\mathcal{H}^{B,C}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0, B_0 + uY, C_0 + vZ)|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ Y e^{tA_0^T} C_0^T Z e^{tA_0} B_0 \right\} dt.$$
(16)

In A and B

$$\mathcal{H}^{A,B}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0 + uY, B_0 + vZ, C_0)|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ C_0 \left(\int_0^t e^{sA_0} Y e^{(t-s)A_0} ds \right) B_0 Z^T e^{tA_0} C_0 \right\} dt, \tag{17}$$

and finally in A and C:

$$\mathcal{H}^{A,C}(Y,Z) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{du} \frac{d}{dv} D(A_0 + uY, B_0, C_0 + vZ)|_{u=v=0}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \operatorname{tr} \left\{ C_0 \left(\int_0^t e^{sA_0} Y e^{(t-s)A_0} ds \right) B_0 B_0 e^{tA_0} Z \right\} dt.$$
(18)

Together, numerical computation of these expressions, along with estimates of genetic covariance within a population, allow precise predictions of evolutionary dynamics of a particular system. The approximation should be good as long as the second-order Taylor approximation holds.

References

Thomas F. Hansen and Emilia P. Martins. Translating between microevolutionary process and macroevolutionary patterns: The correlation structure of interspecific data. *Evolution*, 50(4):1404–1417, 1996. ISSN 00143820, 15585646. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2410878.