

# LECTURE NOTES

HELEN

## § 1 | Theory

Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a time-homogeneous Markov process on a locally compact, separable metric space  $S$ , and define  $C_0 := C_0(S)$  to be the set of all continuous functions  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  vanishing at infinity, ie, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a compact  $K \subset S$  such that  $|f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in K$ . Note that  $C_0$  is a Banach space with the uniform norm:  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)|$ . Assume  $\mathbb{P}(\{X_t \in S\}) = 1$  for all  $t$ .

DEFINITION. Define the transition semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by  $(P_t f)(x) := \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)]$  for  $f \in C_0$ .

NOTE: The assumption

$$(X_t | X_0 = x) \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow y]{d} (X_t | X_0 = y)$$

implies  $P_t: C_0 \rightarrow C_0$ .

We have the following properties:

(1)  $P_0 = I$  since  $P_0 f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_0)] = f(x)$ .

(2)  $P_s P_t = P_{s+t}$  since

$$P_s P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[P_t f(X_s)] = \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{E}^{X_s}[f(X_{t+s})]] = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_{t+s})] = P_{s+t} f(x).$$

(3) If we assume that

$$(X_t | X_0 = x) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{d} x$$

for each  $x$  then  $P_t \rightarrow \text{id}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

DEFINITION. The generator of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and/or  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is

$$G = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (P_\epsilon - \text{id})$$

and

$$P_t = e^{tG} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} G^n$$

if the above statements make sense.

NOTE: If  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies (1) and (2) it is said to be **Feller**. The generator of a Feller process uniquely determines its distribution. This is clear from (1) when it makes sense. To prove this claim in general, use resolvents.

The generator has the following properties:

(1)  $G1 = 0$  since  $(P_t - \text{id})1(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[1 - 1] = 0$

(2)  $\pi$  is a stationary measure for  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  if and only if

$$\int Gf(x) d\pi(x) = 0$$

for all  $f$ .

Next we consider some examples.

**1.1 Example** Let  $Gf(x) = f'(x)$ . Then

$$P_t f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x + t - t) = f(x + t).$$

So  $X_t = X_0 + t$ . Therefore,  $(d/dx)$  corresponds to “deterministic flow at rate 1.” •

**1.2 Example** Let  $Gf(x) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x)$ . Recall that this is the generator for Brownian motion. Then

$$P_t f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{-n} t^n}{n!} f^{(2n)}(x).$$

Denote by  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  the Fourier transform of  $f$ . Then

$$\widehat{P_t f}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{-n} t^n}{n!} (-\xi^2)^n \widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{-\frac{t}{2}\xi^2} \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Because  $e^{-(t/2)\xi^2}$  is the Fourier transform of the Gaussian density with variance  $t$ , and the Fourier transform takes convolution to multiplication,

$$P_t f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{-n} t^n}{n!} f^{(2n)}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}} f(y) dy.$$

•

It turns out that if  $Gf(x) = f^{(k)}(x)$  for  $k > 2$ ,  $G$  is not the generator of a Feller process. One reason for this is that for  $k > 2$  there is no way to write a discrete approximation to  $f^{(k)}(x)$  as a sum over values of  $f$  with all coefficients except that of  $f(x)$  positive. More generally, we can appeal to the following theorem.

**1.3 Theorem** (Hille-Yosida Theorem) Let  $A$  be a linear operator on  $\mathcal{D} \subset C_0$ . Then  $A$  has closure that is the generator of a Feller process if and only if

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $C_0$ ;
- (ii) the range of  $\lambda - A$  is dense in  $C_0$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (iii) (Positive Maximum Principle) if  $f(x) \leq f(x_0)$  for all  $x \in S$  and  $f(x_0) > 0$ , then  $Af(x_0) \leq 0$ .

NOTE: If all three conditions in the above theorem hold, then  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$  exists for all  $\lambda > 0$ . To see this, suppose that  $\lambda - A$  is not invertible on  $C_0$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exists  $f \in C_0$  such that  $Af = \lambda f$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)] = e^{t\lambda} f(x)$ . But  $\mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)]$  is bounded since  $f \in C_0$ , so we have reached a contradiction.

NOTE: If  $A = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - \text{id})$ , then third condition automatically holds. For if

$$Af(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - \text{id})f(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}^{x_0}[f(x_t) - f(x_0)].$$

Since  $f(x_t) - f(x_0) \leq 0$  by assumption,  $\mathbb{E}^{x_0}[f(x_t) - f(x_0)] \leq 0$ , and  $Af(x_0) \leq 0$ .