

Logistic Network Design

An ILP Approach

Author1 (ID, email), Author2 (ID, email), Author3 (ID, email)

Department of Computer Science,
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Abstract. The abstract should briefly summarize the contents of the paper in 15–250 words.

Keywords: keywords 1, keywords2.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we describe the variables and denotations we use and the assumptions we propose.

1.1 Denotations

$\mathbf{L} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: The cities set.

$N = |\mathbf{L}|$: The total number of cities.

$P = 2 * N * N$: The total number of all O-D pairs.

$\mathbf{H} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: The hubs set.

D_{ij} : Direct distance between city i and j .

T_{ij} : Direct travel time between city i and j .

F_{ij} : Flow demands from city i to city j .

U_i : Update cost for city i .

C_{ijkm} : The transportation cost per unit flow from city i to city j routed from hub k and m .

K_i : The capacity of city i .

X_{ijkm} : The fraction of flow from origin i to destination j routed from hubs k and m , in other words, the fraction of F_{ij} through the path $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow m \rightarrow j$.

1.2 Assumptions

We assume that the transportation cost per unit flow directly from city p and city q is proportional to the direct distance between p and q and we denote the coefficient as s . Then we have:

$$C_{ijkm} = s(D_{ik} + D_{km} + D_{mj}) \quad (1)$$

1.3 Decision variables

$$X_{ijkm} \in [0, 1] \quad (2)$$

X_{ijkm} is the fraction of flow from origin i to destination j routed from hubs k and m , in other words, the fraction of F_{ij} through the path $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow m \rightarrow j$.

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{where city } i \text{ is selected as a hub} \\ 0 & \text{where city } i \text{ is not selected as a hub} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$A_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{where city } i \text{ is allocated to hub } k \\ 0 & \text{where city } i \text{ is not allocated to hub } k \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

1.4 Object functions

The main aim of our model is to minimize the update cost, meanwhile the total transportation cost and delivery time are also in consideration but not important.

Obviously, the **update cost** of all the hubs is:

$$\sum_k U_k Y_k \quad (5)$$

Then the **transportation cost** from i to j through network is:

$$\sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} \quad (6)$$

The **delivery time** from origin i to destination j through network is:

$$\sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (7)$$

Then the total cost to build the entire network will be:

$$\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \quad (8)$$

As the update cost is the main factor we consider, using the average transportation cost instead of total cost is more suitable. Then we get our first object function:

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \quad (\text{Object 1})$$

P is the total number of all O-D pairs here.

And the second object function is the average delivery time:

$$\frac{1}{P} \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (\text{Object 2})$$

2 Uncapacitated single allocation formulation

In this section, we formulate the uncapacitated single allocation logistic network design as a ILP problem to minimize 2 objects:

1. the cost including transportation cost and update cost .
2. the total travel time between all O-D pairs.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \\ & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{LP1})$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \quad (9)$$

$$A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \quad (10)$$

$$A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_k A_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_k \sum_m X_{ijkm} = 1, \quad \forall i, j \quad (13)$$

$$X_{ijkm} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (14)$$

$$X_{ijkm} = A_{ik} * A_{jm} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (15)$$

As Eq 1 shows, we have $C_{ijkm} = s(D_{ik} + D_{km} + D_{mj})$.

Eq 9,10 assure that Y_k and A_{ik} are binary variables.

Eq 11 enforces that when city i is allocated to city k , city k must be selected as a hub.

Eq 12 enforces that one city i must be allocated to a single hub k .

Eq 13 enforces that all required flow between city i and j must be routed through proper hub k and m , as the sum of the fractions of flow distributed to different paths is 1.

Eq 14 sets X_{ijkm} to binary variable, which means the flow between city i and j could only be routed through a single path.

Eq 15 enforces the route from city i and j through hub k and m is valid, in other words, city k and m must all be selected as hubs.

The decision variable X_{ijkm} makes the model easier to extend to capacitated or multiple allocation problems, but in fact it is redundant here, as it would be either 0 or 1 and could be replaced with $X_{ijkm} = A_{ik} * A_{jm}$. Thus we could simplify the model as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} A_{ik} A_{jm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
 & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (LP2) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \quad (16) \\
 & A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \quad (17) \\
 & A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \quad (18) \\
 & \sum_k A_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \quad (19)
 \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Solution

This is a multi-objective programming problem, there are 2 common approaches to solve this kind of problems:

1. Focus on the first object function and solve the problem, get the optimal value opt . Then set the value as a up bound and find the optimal value for for the second object function.
2. Simply add the 2 object function with proper weights w_1 and w_2 , and to optimize the new object function $w_1 * OBJ1 + w_2 * OBJ2$.

We prefer the first approach.

3 Uncapacitated multiple allocation formulation

Similarly to the single allocation problem, we just modify the constrains of A_{ik} and X_{ijkm} to model the multiple allocation problem. Obviously, the flow demands from city i and j could be distributed to multiple different paths here.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
 & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (LP3) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \quad (20) \\
 & A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \quad (21) \\
 & A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \quad (22) \\
 & \sum_k \sum_m X_{ijkm} = 1, \quad \forall i, j \quad (23) \\
 & 0 \leq X_{ijkm} \leq 1 \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (24) \\
 & X_{ijkm} \leq A_{ik} * A_{jm} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (25)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the single allocation formulation, we make the following changes:

1. Remove the constraint $\sum_k A_{ik} = 1, \forall i$ to assure one city i could be allocated to multiple hubs.
2. X_{ijkm} represents the flow distributed fractions of city i to city j , we modify it from a binary variable 0 or 1 to a decimal number in range of $[0, 1]$.
3. Eq 25 means that, if there are some flows routed through the path $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow m \rightarrow j$, then city i must be allocated to hub k and city j must be allocated hub m .

4 Capacitated single allocation formulation

We simply add a capacity constraint for the sum of all valid paths routed through hub m .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
 & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (\text{LP4}) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \quad (26) \\
 & A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \quad (27) \\
 & A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \quad (28) \\
 & \sum_k A_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \quad (29) \\
 & \sum_k \sum_m X_{ijkm} = 1, \quad \forall i, j \quad (30) \\
 & X_{ijkm} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (31) \\
 & X_{ijkm} = A_{ik} * A_{jm} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (32) \\
 & \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k F_{ij} X_{ijkm} \leq K_m \quad \forall m \quad (33)
 \end{aligned}$$

5 Capacitated multiple allocation formulation

Similarly to the capacitated single allocation formulation, we add a capacity constraint for the sum of all valid paths routed through hub m .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} X_{ijkm} C_{ijkm} + \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
 & \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \quad (\text{LP5}) \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \quad (34) \\
 & A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \quad (35) \\
 & A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \quad (36) \\
 & \sum_k \sum_m X_{ijkm} = 1, \quad \forall i, j \quad (37) \\
 & 0 \leq X_{ijkm} \leq 1 \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (38) \\
 & X_{ijkm} \leq A_{ik} * A_{jm} \quad \forall i, j, k, m \quad (39) \\
 & \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k F_{ij} X_{ijkm} \leq K_m \quad \forall m \quad (40)
 \end{aligned}$$

6 Evaluation

We evaluate our LP2 model on the given dataset. To assure the transportation cost and the update cost are in the same order of magnitude, we set $s = 0.00001$. Here $N = 81$ then $P = 2 * N * N = 13122$.

2-stage multi-objective optimization costs too much time, thus we simply add the two object functions to get the final object function :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} A_{ik} A_{jm} C_{ijk m} + \sum_k U_k Y_k + \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \\
&= \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
&+ \frac{s}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} A_{ik} A_{jm} (D_{ik} + D_{km} + D_{mj}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{P} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \\
&= \sum_k U_k Y_k \\
&+ \frac{0.00001}{13122} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m F_{ij} A_{ik} A_{jm} (D_{ik} + D_{km} + D_{mj}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{13122} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_m (T_{ik} + T_{km} + T_{mj}) A_{ik} A_{jm} \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

The constraints are same with [LP2](#):

$$\text{s.t. } Y_k \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall k \tag{42}$$

$$A_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, k \tag{43}$$

$$A_{ik} \leq Y_k \quad \forall i, k \tag{44}$$

$$\sum_k A_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \tag{45}$$

We build this model with OPL language and solve the problem in IBM CPLEX,

Acknowledgements

Here is your acknowledgements. You may also include your feelings, suggestion, and comments in the acknowledgement section.

References

1. Author, F.: Article title. Journal **2**(5), 99–110 (2016)
2. Author, F., Author, S.: Title of a proceedings paper. In: Editor, F., Editor, S. (eds.) CONFERENCE 2016, LNCS, vol. 9999, pp. 1–13. Springer, Heidelberg (2016).
3. Author, F., Author, S., Author, T.: Book title. 2nd edn. Publisher, Location (1999)
4. Author, A.-B.: Contribution title. In: 9th International Proceedings on Proceedings, pp. 1–2. Publisher, Location (2010)
5. LNCS Homepage, <http://www.springer.com/lncs>. Last accessed 4 Oct 2017