

# **Archaeology Data Infrastructures**

**Data reuse potentials and limitations to modelling settlement systems (...)**

Petr Pajdla

2022-11-12

# Table of contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>3</b>
Notes on writing . . . . .	3
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1 Theory and Method</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1 Archaeology as theory- and/or data-driven science . . . . .	6
1.2 Theorizing data . . . . .	6
1.3 Methodological Approaches . . . . .	6
<b>2 Data</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3 Discussion</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4 Summary</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>10</b>

# Preface

⚠ This is a website for the **work-in-progress** PhD thesis of mine. It is **not** intended to be read by anyone except me (*and maybe few other people*) yet. If you do flick through it anyway, consider yourself warned. It might be messy at some places and will definitely undergo serious rewriting.

i This work can be read online at <https://petrpajdla.github.io/dataInfrastructures/>. The source repository is on GitHub at <https://github.com/petrpajdla/dataInfrastructures/>.

This document is created in an open-source [Quarto](#) scientific and technical publishing system. You might be asking why is it published and written like this even if it is not intended for any audiences except myself yet. I have no answer to this. One evening I simply decided to give *Quarto* publishing a try and set this whole thing up in less than an hour or so.

## Notes on writing

This note is written mostly for a future me, in case I need to set up the working environment again on a different machine and to serve as a memo if I forget how to continue.

As of November 2022, this is written on [Archlabs](#) *GNU/Linux* machine, mostly in [Visual Studio Code](#) editor and sometimes in [RStudio](#). Changes are tracked with *Git* and a remote repository is on *GitHub* (see the note above), same as the rendered website. The rendered version of the manuscript is in the branch **gh-pages**. See a guide on how to set this up [here](#). The online version is published with this command:

## Terminal

```
quarto publish gh-pages
```

In my point of view, there are numerous advantages to scientific writing in this manner over traditional *Office*-based approach. A non-exhaustive list of why to do scientific writing this way is below.

- **Plain text**

Writing in plain text enhanced with a simple *Markdown* syntax and some *Quarto* elements is great because from one source document, a *.pdf*, *.html*, *.docx* (and probably more) document formats can be rendered using [pandoc](#).

- **Version control**

Tracking changes using *git* is easily implemented when writing in a plain text. Keeping track of any changes in the manuscript is obviously crucial for any later revisions etc.

- **Simple citation management**

Bibliography is organized using [Zotero](#) with [Better BibTeX](#) extension which is used to export (and keep updated) necessary collections in a parent folder of the manuscript as *.bib* files. My *Zotero* library is [here](#). To format the citations, a citation style of the *Journal of Computer Applications in Archaeology* is used (.csl file was obtained [here](#)).

- **Embedded code**

Code blocks (and the associated results) can be easily embedded in the text. My language of choice is *R*. For more information on reproducibility see Marwick (2017) and Marwick, Boettiger and Mullen (2018).

# Introduction

This is a book created from markdown and executable code.

See Zhang, Zhao and Ventrella (2018) for additional discussion of literate programming.

# **1 Theory and Method**

## **1.1 Archaeology as theory- and/or data-driven science**

(based on TAG Brno 2021 talk)

## **1.2 Theorizing data**

Defining archaeological data, micro- to macro-scales;

## **1.3 Methodological Approaches**

Review of current approaches: Spatial and/or Landscape archaeology, Macroarchaeology, Big data archaeology etc.

## 2 Data

Sources of (archaeology) data in the Czech Republic, an overview:

Data models, datafication of past reality, simple vs complex data models; Assessing findability, accessibility, interoperability, and reusability (FAIR) principles; Cultural heritage management data vs research data domains; Archaeological information system of the Czech Republic (AIS CR) as the main data infrastructure.

### **3 Discussion**



## 4 Summary

In summary, this book has no content whatsoever.

## References

- Marwick, B. 2017 Computational Reproducibility in Archaeological Research: Basic Principles and a Case Study of Their Implementation. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 24(2): 424–450. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-015-9272-9>.
- Marwick, B, Boettiger, C and Mullen, L. 2018 Packaging Data Analytical Work Reproducibly Using R (and Friends). *The American Statistician* 72(1): 80–88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2017.1375986>.
- Zhang, S, Zhao, B and Ventrella, J. 2018 Towards an Archaeological-Ethnographic Approach to Big Data: Rethinking Data Veracity. *Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference Proceedings* 2018(1): 62–85. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1559-8918.2018.01197>.