



CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

Department of Electric Drives and Traction

Title

Subtitle

Petr Zakopal
Prague 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Design	2
2.1	Stator and Rotor	2
2.2	Magnets	2
3	Control	4
3.1	Mathematical model	4
3.2	Control strategies	5
3.2.1	Scalar Control	5
3.2.2	Vector Control	6
3.2.3	Direct Torque Control	7
3.2.4	Direct Self Control	7
4	Comparison to other types	7
5	Usage	7
	Conclusion	8
	References	10
Appendix A	List of symbols and abbreviations	11
A.1	List of abbreviations	11
A.2	List of symbols	11

LIST OF FIGURES

2 - 1	Hybrid Star-Delta wiring of PMSynRelM. CHANGE THIS IMAGE FOR YOUR OWN, IT IS FROM [4]	2
2 - 2	Rotor design of a Permanent Magnet Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor with permanent magnets oriented solely in the q -axis. [5]	3
2 - 3	Different approaches to permanent magnet orientation in the rotor of a Permanent Magnet Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor. (a) PM embedded along the flux barriers, facing the q -axis; (b) Permanent magnets are crossing the flux barriers, therefore facing the d -axis. [9]	3
3 - 1	Phasor diagram for the SynRelM when the flux of permanent magnets ψ_m is oriented in the negative q -axis direction.	5
3 - 2	General diagram depicting major groups of control strategies for PMSynRelM. Graph inspired by [10]	5

LIST OF TABLES

1 Introduction

P_n ASM

2 Design

The Permanent Magnet assisted synchronous reluctance motor (PMSynRelM) is widely used for its significant advantages of small size, low loss, high efficiency, better performance than plain synchronous reluctance motors SynRelM and wide constant power to speed range. [1, 2]

2.1 Stator and Rotor

There are many solutions on how to connect the stator winding. Research has been carried out for standard Delta or Star winding, but to increase the torque for same stator current the combined Start-Delta winding was proposed. The first research has been carried out for standard SynRelM in [3] and then extended to PMSynRelM prototypes in [4]. The main idea of the hybrid Delta-Star connection is to split the standard phase wiring into two parts. The one part is for the Delta connection, the other for Star connection. Then the coils of wiring are connected to series. Motors utilizing hybrid stator winding with PMs inserted in the rotor flux barriers exhibit constant power factor over 0.9




Figure 2 - 1 Hybrid Star-Delta wiring of PMSynRelM. *CHANGE THIS IMAGE FOR YOUR OWN, IT IS FROM [4]*

In [4] the authors manufactured proposed four prototypes. The prototypes consist of two stators, with either conventional star winding or hybrid star-delta winding, and two rotors, with ferrite permanent magnets or without. Maxwell transient simulations were carried out on the four prototypes, which were then manufactured and experiment using the simulation results was conducted.

According to [4] the researches state, that when using the hybrid stator winding connection, the efficiency increase is rather low compared to efficiency increase when comparing SynRelM with and without PMs.

The design of the PMSynRelM rotor with PM oriented solely in the q -axis is depicted in the figure 2 - 2.

2.2 Magnets

PMSynRelM are very often compared to Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motors (PMSM) used in the automotive field in terms of power and torque density, efficiency and costs. Though the PMSM are very popular [6], the PMs used in their design often consist of rare-earth materials such as neodymium or dysprosium. That is the reason why PMSynRelM motors with rare-earth-free materials are now being the subject of many research studies. Experiments comparing the production-used PMSM and experimental




Figure 2 - 2 Rotor design of a Permanent Magnet Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor with permanent magnets oriented solely in the q -axis. [5]

prototype PMSynRelM show, that the proposed prototype in [7] achieve close values of power density and an efficiency as rare-earth PMSM counterpart, but with much lower costs [8].

It has been observed, that when inserting the PM in the center of the flux barrier, a magnetic flux lines are forced to pass through the flex barriers in the q -axis. This results in the decreased linked magnetic flux in the q -axis and therefore improves the output torque. [4, 9] The two general types of rotor with embedded PM are depicted in figure 2 - 3.




Figure 2 - 3 Different approaches to permanent magnet orientation in the rotor of a Permanent Magnet Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor. (a) PM embedded along the flux barriers, facing the q -axis; (b) Permanent magnets are crossing the flux barriers, therefore facing the d -axis. [9]

3 Control

3.1 Mathematical model

The stator voltage equation of PMSynRelM denoted in the general axis k is as follows

$$\underline{u}_1^k = R_s \underline{i}_1^k + \frac{d\underline{\psi}_1^k}{dt} + j\omega_k \underline{\psi}_1^k. \quad (3 - 1)$$

Where \underline{u}_1^k (V) is space vector of stator voltage, R_s (Ω) is stator resistance, \underline{i}_1^k (A) space vector of a stator current, $\underline{\psi}_1^k$ (Wb) space vector of a stator flux linkage, ω_k (rad s^{-1}) general angular speed.

The voltage equation denoted in dq -axis is as follows

$$\underline{u}_1^{dq} = R_s \underline{i}_1^{dq} + \frac{d\underline{\psi}_1^{dq}}{dt} + j\omega_1 \underline{\psi}_1^{dq}, \quad (3 - 2)$$

where ω_1 (rad s^{-1}) is electrical angular speed of a stator rotating magnetic field. When the equation is denoted in vector components and the subscript "1" for stator is omitted and the axis are newly denoted by the variables subscript

$$\underline{u}_d = R_s i_d + \frac{d\psi_d}{dt} - \omega_1 \psi_q, \quad (3 - 3)$$

$$\underline{u}_q = R_s i_q + \frac{d\psi_q}{dt} + \omega_1 \psi_d. \quad (3 - 4)$$

Equations for flux linkages denoted in the d, q -axis, when PMs are embedded along the q -axis are

$$\psi_d = L_d i_d, \quad (3 - 5)$$

$$\psi_q = L_q i_q + \psi_{PM}. \quad (3 - 6)$$

Where L_q (H), L_d (H) are inductances in d -axis and q -axis respectively, ψ_{PM} (Wb) is a flux linkage of permanent magnets. Very often the PM flux linkage is oriented negatively in the q -axis when respecting the vector orientation the equation 3 - 6 can be rewritten as

$$\psi_q = L_q i_q - \psi_{PM}. \quad (3 - 7)$$

The general equation for electromagnetic torque is then

$$T = \frac{3}{2} p_p |\underline{\psi}_{dq} \times \underline{i}_{dq}| = \frac{3}{2} p_p (\psi_d i_q - \psi_q i_d). \quad (3 - 8)$$

where p_p (-) is number of pole pairs.

After the substitution of 3 - 5 and 3 - 7 to 3 - 8 the torque equation may be rewritten

$$M = \frac{3}{2} p_p (L_d i_d i_q - (L_q i_q - \psi_{PM}) i_d) = \frac{3}{2} p_p (L_d i_d i_q - L_q i_q i_d + \psi_{PM} i_d). \quad (3 - 9)$$

As can be seen from eq. 3 - 8, when the linkage flux of PMs is oriented negatively to the q -axis (as presented), higher value of flux linkages make the electromagnetic torque higher.

Graphical expression of the phasor diagram for the SynRelM is depicted in the figure 3 - 1.

Effect of different layers of PM in rotor on realized the phasor diagram may be observed in [2].




Figure 3 - 1 Phasor diagram for the SynRelM when the flux of permanent magnets ψ_m is oriented in the negative q -axis direction.

3.2 Control strategies

There are many options on how to control the PMSynRelM. The principles may be divided in two major groups: **Scalar Control** and **Vector Control**. The two major subcategories of vector control strategies are **Field Oriented Control** (FOC) and **Direct Torque Control** (DTC). These strategies then may use different approaches to achieve the desired results of less torque ripple and dynamic performance. [10] The general group decomposition is depicted in the figure 3 - 2.




Figure 3 - 2 General diagram depicting major groups of control strategies for PMSynRelM. Graph inspired by [10]

3.2.1 Scalar Control

Scalar drive control is straightforward solution for controlling the drive. It is relatively simple to execute and there is no need of a high performance Digital Signal Processors (DSPs). However Scalar Control can not provide the dynamic performance and speed control compared to FOC and DTC. [10]

Scalar control is mainly known as a V/f (Voltage/frequency) control. The control methods mainly produce output voltage so the ratio between voltage and frequency is kept constant for the magnetizing flux to be the highest possible, so the torque is possibly maximized as well. Another methods use I/f (current/frequency) control based strategies. [11]

3.2.2 Vector Control

Vector control strategies became increasingly popular due to the lower cost and higher computational power of available DSPs. [10]

FOC control mainly uses the theory of space vectors and DTC the theory of controlling the electro-magnetic torque and magnetic flux based on the desired speed and magnetization. Control strategies are different but objective is the same. The main aim of vector control strategies is to achieve the desired torque and flux values based on the reference values which are set as an input to the control strategy. [11, 10]

Maximum Torque Per Ampere (MTPA) PMSynRelM control

The main objective of the MTPA strategy is to achieve the reference (desired) torque with minimum value of stator currents in d and q -axis (i_d and i_q). According to [10], there are multiple methods how to realize the MTPA.

The control strategy is parameter dependent. In [12] authors present a robust online parameter estimation technique which improves the control strategy. With calculated and estimated parameters and measured stator currents the torque, which would the machine provide is calculated and then used as a reference value for further calculations. The proposed controller provides increased robustness against the variations of motor parameters.

Maximum Torque Per Voltage (MTPV) PMSynRelM control

The higher the speed of rotor, the larger the magnitude of the back electromotive force (EMF), the larger magnitude of voltage provided from source is needed. When speed reaches the value, where nominal source voltage is reached, then the current flowing through stator wires must decrease due to the back EMF. Thus the voltage value restricts the current based on the rotor speed. In [13] the exemplar curves presenting MTPV are depicted. Another mathematical expression of the MTPV trajectory is presented in [14]. Cited paper also depicts the exemplar trajectories in the i_d-i_q plane.

The MTPV trajectories are plotted based on the current values in i_d-i_q , where the possible torque is at the peak. The maximum torque value in the diagram is based on the operation speed.

Unity Power Factor Control (UPFC)

wide variety of applications it is required for the machine to work with maximum power factor. It is preferable to achieve a unity power factor, to eliminate reactive power consumption. In [15] two methods are proposed: 1) controlling the d -axis stator current i_d and 2) controlling the angle of stator current space

vector i_{stator} .

According to [15] the UPFC allows wider speed range where the torque is constant. This results in a higher output power of the drive.

1) controlling the d-axis stator current i_d

Method compares the space angles of stator current and voltage space vectors to achieve the unity power factor. From the unity power factor condition the current i_d may then be expressed. Using the expressed current value, the voltage equations of the machine then may be modified to evaluate the steady-state performance at a unity power-factor. [15]

2) controlling the angle of stator current space vector i_{stator}

This method forces the space vector of a stator current to be aligned with space vector of a stator electromagnetic force. When the space vectors of a stator voltage and current will coincide the unity power factor will be achieved. [15]

3.2.3 Direct Torque Control

Compared to vector control the DTC is in fact simpler. It does not require the Pulse Width Modulation (PWM). During the sample period of DSP only one space vector of supply voltage is provided as an output from the inverter. The calculation and control is done in the stationary reference frame (eg. stator linked frame in $\alpha\beta$ axis). Hysteresis based DTC works on keeping the values of torque and magnetic flux (independently) in the hysteresis band of desired/allowed values. This control needs only a portion of parameters which are necessary for vector control strategies, thus making the method very convenient. [10]

3.2.4 Direct Self Control

4 Comparison to other types

5 Usage

Conclusion

References

- [1] LI, Xinmin; SUN, Zihan; SUN, Wenbo; GUO, Liyan; WANG, Huimin. Design of Permanent Magnet-Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor with Low Torque Ripple. *World Electric Vehicle Journal*. 2023, roč. 14, č. 4. ISSN 2032-6653. Available from DOI: 10.3390/wevj14040082.
- [2] HUYNH, Thanh Anh; HSIEH, Min-Fu; SHIH, Kai-Jung; KUO, Hsiu-Fu. Design and analysis of permanent-magnet assisted synchronous reluctance motor. In: *2017 20th International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS)*. 2017, pp. 1–6. Available from DOI: 10.1109/ICEMS.2017.8056462.
- [3] IBRAHIM, Mohamed Nabil Fathy; ABDEL-KHALIK, Ayman S.; RASHAD, Essam M.; SERGEANT, Peter. An Improved Torque Density Synchronous Reluctance Machine With a Combined Star--Delta Winding Layout. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. 2018, roč. 33, č. 3, pp. 1015–1024. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TEC.2017.2782777.
- [4] IBRAHIM, Mohamed N.; SILWAL, Bishal; SERGEANT, Peter. Permanent Magnet-Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor Employing a Hybrid Star-Delta Winding for High Speed Applications. In: *2018 XIII International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM)*. 2018, pp. 379–385. Available from DOI: 10.1109/ICELMACH.2018.8506694.
- [5] SHAO, Lingyun; TAVERNINI, Davide; HARTAVI KARCI, Ahu Ece; SORNIOTTI, Aldo. Design and optimisation of energy-efficient PM-assisted synchronous reluctance machines for electric vehicles. *IET Electric Power Applications*. 06/2023, roč. 17, č. 6, pp. 788–801. ISSN 1751-8660, ISSN 1751-8679. Available from DOI: 10.1049/elp2.12303.
- [6] MORIMOTO, Shigeo; OOI, Shohei; INOUE, Yukinori; SANADA, Masayuki. Experimental Evaluation of a Rare-Earth-Free PMASynRM With Ferrite Magnets for Automotive Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 10/2014, roč. 61, č. 10, pp. 5749–5756. ISSN 0278-0046, ISSN 1557-9948. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2013.2289856.
- [7] OBATA, Masahiro; MORIMOTO, Shigeo; SANADA, Masayuki; INOUE, Yukinori. Performance of PMASynRM With Ferrite Magnets for EV/HEV Applications Considering Productivity. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*. 07/2014, roč. 50, č. 4, pp. 2427–2435. ISSN 0093-9994, ISSN 1939-9367. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TIA.2013.2294999.
- [8] CAI, Haiwei; GUAN, Bo; XU, Longya. Low-Cost Ferrite PM-Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Machine for Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 10/2014, roč. 61, č. 10, pp. 5741–5748. ISSN 0278-0046, ISSN 1557-9948. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2014.2304702.
- [9] NGO; HSIEH. Performance Analysis of Synchronous Reluctance Motor with Limited Amount of Permanent Magnet. *Energies*. 09/2019, roč. 12, č. 18, p. 3504. ISSN 1996-1073. Available from DOI: 10.3390/en12183504.
- [10] DWIVEDI, Shruti; TRIPATHI, S. M.; SINHA, S. K. Review on Control Strategies of Permanent Magnet-Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor Drive. In: *2020 International Conference on Power Electronics IoT Applications in Renewable Energy and its Control (PARC)*. Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India: IEEE, 02/2020, pp. 124–128. ISBN 978-1-72816-575-2. Available from DOI: 10.1109/PARC49193.2020.236570.

- [11] HEIDARI, Hamidreza; RASSÖLKIN, Anton; KALLASTE, Ants; VAIMANN, Toomas; ANDRIUSHCHENKO, Ekaterina; BELAHSEN, Anouar; LUKICHEV, Dmitry V. A Review of Synchronous Reluctance Motor-Drive Advancements. *Sustainability*. 01/2021, roč. 13, č. 2, p. 729. ISSN 2071-1050. Available from DOI: 10.3390/su13020729.
- [12] NIAZI, Peyman; TOLYAT, Hamid A.; GOODARZI, Abbas. Robust Maximum Torque per Ampere (MTPA) Control of PM-Assisted SynRM for Traction Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 07/2007, roč. 56, č. 4, pp. 1538–1545. ISSN 0018-9545, ISSN 1939-9359. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TVT.2007.896974.
- [13] SANZ, Alberto; OYARBIDE, Estanis; GÁLVEZ, Rubén; BERNAL, Carlos; MOLINA, Pilar; SAN VICENTE, Igor. Analytical maximum torque per volt control strategy of an interior permanent magnet synchronous motor with very low battery voltage. *IET Electric Power Applications*. 07/2019, roč. 13, č. 7, pp. 1042–1050. ISSN 1751-8660, ISSN 1751-8679. Available from DOI: 10.1049/iet-epa.2018.5469.
- [14] FLETCHER, J.; XIAO, D.; RAHMAN, M.F.; DUTTA, R.; EKANAYAKE, S. Operation along the maximum torque per voltage trajectory in a direct torque and flux controlled interior permanent magnet synchronous motor. In: *8th IET International Conference on Power Electronics, Machines and Drives (PEMD 2016)*. Glasgow, UK: Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2016, pp. 6.–6. ISBN 978-1-78561-188-9. Available from DOI: 10.1049/cp.2016.0326.
- [15] MOUSSA, M. F.; HELAL, A.; GABER, Y.; YOUSSEF, H. A. Unity Power Factor control of permanent magnet motor drive system. In: *2008 12th International Middle-East Power System Conference*. Aswan, Egypt: IEEE, 03/2008, pp. 360–367. ISBN 978-1-4244-1933-3. Available from DOI: 10.1109/MEPCON.2008.4562309.
- [16] M., Popescu. Induction Motor Modelling for Vector Control Purposes. In: *Helsinki University of Technology, Laboratory of Electromechanics* [online]. 2000 [visited on 2023-10-14]. Available from: https://avys.omu.edu.tr/storage/app/public/mustafa.aktas/110896/induction_motor_modelling.pdf.
- [17] LIPČÁK, Ondřej; BAUER, Jan. Doprovodný materiál k přednáškám. In: *Materiál k přednáškám a cvičení v předmětu BIM14EPT* [online]. [B.r.] [visited on 2023-02-28]. Available from: <https://moodle.cvut.cz>.
- [18] BOAZZO, Barbara; VAGATI, Alfredo; PELLEGRINO, Gianmario; ARMANDO, Eric; GUGLIELMI, Paolo. Multipolar Ferrite-Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Machines: A General Design Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2015, roč. 62, č. 2, pp. 832–845. Available from DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2014.2349880.

Appendix A: List of symbols and abbreviations

A.1 List of abbreviations

ASM	Asynchronní Motor
DSP	Digital Signal Processor
DTC	Direct Torque Control
EMF	Electromotive Force
FOC	Field Oriented Control
MTPA	Maximum Torque Per Ampere
MTPV	Maximum Torque Per Voltage
PM	Permanent Magnets
PMSM	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor
PMSynRelM	Permanent Magnet Assisted Synchronous Reluctance Motor
PWM	Pulse Width Modulation
SynRelM	Synchronous Reluctance Motor
UPFC	Unity Power Factor Control

A.2 List of symbols

P_n (W) jmenovitý výkon