

# Documentation: Policy synthesis via formal abstraction

## Do abstraction of LTI system

Define LTI system as

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k \\ y_k &= Cx_k + Du_k + v_k\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

with

- $x$  state of size  $n$
- $u$  input of size  $m$
- $A$  matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B$  matrix of size  $n \times m$
- $y$  the output (used to compare accuracy)
- $C$  output matrix of size  $q \times n$
- $D$  matrix currently assumed to be zero

$$x_+ - \tilde{x}_+ = (A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}\tag{2}$$

with

- $\mathbf{r}$  in a polytope, i.e.,  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}(r_i)$ , the polytope generated from vertices  $r_i$ .

Consider a set defined as

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(\tilde{x}, x) \mid (x - \tilde{x})^T M (x - \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon\}\tag{3}$$

**Objective:** Design  $M$ ,  $K$  and  $\epsilon$  such that if  $(\tilde{x}, x) \in \mathcal{R}$  then also

$$\{(x_+ - \tilde{x}_+) \mid \text{s.t. (2)} \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}(r_i)\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}.$$

More over for all  $(\tilde{x}, x) \in \mathcal{R}$  it should hold that  $d(\tilde{y}, y) \leq \epsilon$ . The latter can be expressed as  $C^T C \preceq M$ . The former can be written with matrix inequalities as

$$\begin{aligned}(x_+ - \tilde{x}_+)^T M (x_+ - \tilde{x}_+) &\leq \epsilon \\ ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r})^T M ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}) &\leq \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get something of this form

$$(x - \tilde{x})^T M(x - \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon^2 \implies ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r})^T M((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}) \leq \epsilon^2$$

### S-procedure<sup>a</sup>

The implications

$$x^T F_1 x + 2g_1^T x + h_1 \leq 0 \implies x^T F_2 x + 2g_2^T x + h_2 \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

holds if and only if there exists  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & g_1 \\ g_1^T & h_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & g_2 \\ g_2^T & h_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (5)$$

<sup>a</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S-procedure>

Using the S-procedure we get

$$(x - \tilde{x})^T (A + BK)^T M (A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + 2\mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \leq \epsilon^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & -\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M (A + BK) & (A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} - \epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M - ((A + BK)^T M (A + BK)) & -(A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ -\mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 - \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} ((A + BK)^T M (A + BK)) & (A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M \\ \mathbf{r}^T M \end{bmatrix} M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M \\ \mathbf{r}^T M \end{bmatrix}^T \succeq 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 & (A + BK)^T M \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & \mathbf{r}^T M \\ M(A + BK) & M\mathbf{r} & M \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M^{-1} & 0 & M^{-1}(A + BK)^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & \mathbf{r}^T \\ (A + BK)M^{-1} & \mathbf{r} & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M^{-1} & 0 & M^{-1}(A + BK)^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & r_i^T \\ (A + BK)M^{-1} & r_i & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \forall r_i \quad (13)$$

$$(14)$$

Remark that this implies that  $1 - \lambda \geq 0$  hence  $1 \geq \lambda \geq 0$ . And remark that

The objective to find a minimal  $\epsilon$  can be expressed as follows

$$\text{Objective : } \min_{M_{inv}, L} \epsilon^2 \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M_{inv} & 0 & M_{inv} A^T + L^T B^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & r_i^T \\ A M_{inv} + B L & r_i & M_{inv} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{inv} & M_{inv} C^T \\ C M_{inv} & I \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (17)$$

with  $LM = K$  and  $M^{-1} = M_{inv}$ .