

Documentation:
Policy synthesis via formal abstraction

December 7, 2017

Contents

1	Do abstraction of LTI system	5
1.1	Computation of simulation relation	5
1.1.1	Verify that Polytope $\mathcal{V}(r_i)$ is in relation.	7
1.1.2	Plot simulation relation	7

Chapter 1

Do abstraction of LTI system

1.1 Computation of simulation relation

Define LTI system as

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k \\ y_k &= Cx_k + Du_k + v_k\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

with

- x state of size n
- u input of size m
- A matrix of size $n \times n$
- B matrix of size $n \times m$
- y the output (used to compare accuracy)
- C output matrix of size $q \times n$
- D matrix currently assumed to be zero

$$x_+ - \tilde{x}_+ = (A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}\tag{1.2}$$

with

- \mathbf{r} in a polytope, i.e., $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}(r_i)$, the polytope generated from vertices r_i .

Consider a set defined as

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(\tilde{x}, x) \mid (x - \tilde{x})^T M (x - \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon^2\}\tag{1.3}$$

Objective: Design M , K and ϵ such that if $(\tilde{x}, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ then also

$$\{(x_+ - \tilde{x}_+) \mid \text{s.t. (1.2)} \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}(r_i)\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}.$$

More over for all $(\tilde{x}, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ it should hold that $d(\tilde{y}, y) \leq \epsilon$. The latter can be expressed as $C^T C \preceq M$. The former can be written with matrix inequalities as

$$(x_+ - \tilde{x}_+)^T M (x_+ - \tilde{x}_+) \leq \epsilon^2$$

$$((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r})^T M ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}) \leq \epsilon^2$$

Hence we get something of this form

$$(x - \tilde{x})^T M (x - \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon^2 \implies ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r})^T M ((A + BK)(x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}) \leq \epsilon^2$$

S-procedure^a

The implications

$$x^T F_1 x + 2g_1^T x + h_1 \leq 0 \implies x^T F_2 x + 2g_2^T x + h_2 \leq 0 \quad (1.4)$$

holds if and only if there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & g_1 \\ g_1^T & h_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & g_2 \\ g_2^T & h_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.5)$$

^a<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S-procedure>

Using the S-procedure we get

$$(x - \tilde{x})^T (A + BK)^T M (A + BK) (x - \tilde{x}) + 2\mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) (x - \tilde{x}) + \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \leq \epsilon^2 \quad (1.6)$$

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & -\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M (A + BK) & (A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} - \epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.7)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M - ((A + BK)^T M (A + BK)) & -(A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ -\mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 - \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.8)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} ((A + BK)^T M (A + BK)) & (A + BK)^T M \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}^T M (A + BK) & \mathbf{r}^T M \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.9)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M \\ \mathbf{r}^T M \end{bmatrix} M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK)^T M \\ \mathbf{r}^T M \end{bmatrix}^T \succeq 0 \quad (1.10)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M & 0 & (A + BK)^T M \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & \mathbf{r}^T M \\ M(A + BK) & M \mathbf{r} & M \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.11)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M^{-1} & 0 & M^{-1}(A + BK)^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & \mathbf{r}^T \\ (A + BK)M^{-1} & \mathbf{r} & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.12)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M^{-1} & 0 & M^{-1}(A + BK)^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & r_i^T \\ (A + BK)M^{-1} & r_i & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \forall r_i \quad (1.13)$$

$$(1.14)$$

Remark that this implies that $1 - \lambda \geq 0$ hence $1 \geq \lambda \geq 0$. And remark that

The objective to find a minimal ϵ can be expressed as follows

$$\text{Objective : } \min_{M_{inv}, L} \epsilon^2 \quad (1.15)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda M_{inv} & 0 & M_{inv} A^T + L^T B^T \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda)\epsilon^2 & r_i^T \\ A M_{inv} + B L & r_i & M_{inv} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.16)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{inv} & M_{inv} C^T \\ C M_{inv} & I \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad (1.17)$$

with $LM = K$ and $M^{-1} = M_{inv}$. This has been implemented as function `eps_err()` in python.

1.1.1 Verify that Polytope $\mathcal{V}(r_i)$ is in relation.

$\mathcal{V}(r_i)$ is in relation $\mathcal{R} := \{(\tilde{x}, x) \mid (x - \tilde{x})^T M (x - \tilde{x}) \leq \epsilon^2\}$ if for all r_i it holds that

$$r_i^T M r_i \leq \epsilon^2$$

.

1.1.2 Plot simulation relation

Input

$$\mathcal{R} := \{x \mid x^T M_\epsilon x \leq 1\}$$

Algorithm:

1. Compute $M_\epsilon^{1/2} = U \Sigma^{1/2}$ with singular value decomposition $M_\epsilon = U \Sigma V^T$

2. Switch variable

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(\tilde{x}, x) \mid z^T z \leq 1 \text{ with } z = M_\epsilon^{1/2} x\}$$

3. compute outline given angle α

$$z(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

remark $z^T z = 1$. then $x(\alpha) = \Sigma^{-1/2} U^T z(\alpha)$.